

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## VOLUME I: DRAFT SUBSEQUENT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>ES-1</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
<b>1.1 Purpose of a Subsequent Environmental Impact Report.....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
<b>1.2 Summary of the Proposed Project.....</b>	<b>1-3</b>
<b>1.3 Environmental Review Process .....</b>	<b>1-4</b>
1.3.1 Scope of this Environmental Impact Report.....	1-4
1.3.2 Public Review .....	1-5
1.3.3 Use of the SEIR.....	1-5
<b>1.4 Discretionary Actions .....</b>	<b>1-6</b>
<b>1.5 Responsible and Trustee Agencies .....</b>	<b>1-6</b>
<b>1.6 Report Organization .....</b>	<b>1-7</b>
<b>CHAPTER 2: PROJECT DESCRIPTION.....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
<b>2.1 Introduction.....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
<b>2.2 Project Background .....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
<b>2.3 Project Purpose .....</b>	<b>2-2</b>
<b>2.4 Project Objectives .....</b>	<b>2-11</b>
<b>2.5 Environmental Setting.....</b>	<b>2-11</b>
2.5.1 Project Location and Access .....	2-11
2.5.2 Assessor Parcel Numbers .....	2-12
2.5.3 Existing Land Uses and Conditions.....	2-13
2.5.4 General Plan Land Use Designations.....	2-13
2.5.5 Zoning Classifications.....	2-13
2.5.6 Mineral Resource Designations.....	2-14
2.5.7 Utilities.....	2-19
2.5.8 Equipment .....	2-19
<b>2.6 Proposed Project Elements.....</b>	<b>2-19</b>
<b>2.7 Intended Uses of the SEIR.....</b>	<b>2-28</b>
2.7.1 Imperial County .....	2-28
2.7.2 Other Agencies Whose Approval May Be Required .....	2-31
<b>CHAPTER 3: TERMINOLOGY, APPROACH, AND ASSUMPTIONS .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
<b>3.1 Terminology.....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
<b>3.2 Approach to the Environmental Analysis.....</b>	<b>3-2</b>

<b>3.3</b>	<b>Approach to Subsequent Environmental Impact Report .....</b>	<b>3-3</b>
3.3.1	Scope of Environmental Review .....	3-3
3.3.2	Use of an SEIR to Evaluate Environmental Impacts.....	3-4
3.3.3	Statutory and Regulatory SEIR Provisions .....	3-4
3.3.4	Age of Previous CEQA Document.....	3-6
3.3.5	Project Description and Impacts Previously Considered in the 2008 EIR/EIS .....	3-6
3.3.6	New Impacts to Be Considered in the SEIR .....	3-8
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Resource Section Format .....</b>	<b>3-8</b>
<b>3.5</b>	<b>Mitigation Measures .....</b>	<b>3-8</b>
<b>CHAPTER 4:</b>	<b>ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS .....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
<b>4.1</b>	<b>Air Quality .....</b>	<b>4.1-1</b>
4.1.1	Environmental Setting .....	4.1-1
4.1.1.1	<i>Regional Setting.....</i>	<i>4.1-1</i>
4.1.1.2	<i>Pollutants and Health Effects .....</i>	<i>4.1-1</i>
4.1.1.3	<i>Regional Air Quality and Attainment Status .....</i>	<i>4.1-4</i>
4.1.1.4	<i>Toxic Air Contaminants .....</i>	<i>4.1-6</i>
4.1.1.5	<i>Air Quality Conditions at the Time of the 2008 EIR/EIS .....</i>	<i>4.1-6</i>
4.1.1.6	<i>Air Quality Conditions at Present .....</i>	<i>4.1-7</i>
4.1.2	Regulatory Setting.....	4.1-8
4.1.2.1	<i>Federal.....</i>	<i>4.1-8</i>
4.1.2.2	<i>State.....</i>	<i>4.1-9</i>
4.1.2.3	<i>Local .....</i>	<i>4.1-11</i>
4.1.3	Significance Thresholds and Analysis Methodology.....	4.1-14
4.1.3.1	<i>Significance Criteria .....</i>	<i>4.1-14</i>
4.1.3.2	<i>Analysis Methodology .....</i>	<i>4.1-16</i>
4.1.4	Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	4.1-17
4.1.4.1	<i>2008 EIR/EIS Impact Analysis .....</i>	<i>4.1-17</i>
4.1.4.2	<i>2019 SEIS Impact Analysis.....</i>	<i>4.1-18</i>
4.1.4.3	<i>Substantial Project Changes.....</i>	<i>4.1-18</i>
4.1.4.4	<i>Subsequent Environmental Analysis.....</i>	<i>4.1-20</i>
<b>4.2</b>	<b>Biological Resources .....</b>	<b>4.2-1</b>
4.2.1	Environmental Setting .....	4.2-1
4.2.1.1	<i>Regional Setting.....</i>	<i>4.2-1</i>
4.2.1.2	<i>Biological Resource Conditions at the Time of the 2008 EIR/EIS .....</i>	<i>4.2-2</i>
4.2.1.3	<i>Biological Resource Conditions at Present .....</i>	<i>4.2-3</i>
4.2.2	Regulatory Setting.....	4.2-34
4.2.2.1	<i>Federal.....</i>	<i>4.2-34</i>

4.2.2.2	State.....	4.2-36
4.2.2.3	Local .....	4.2-38
4.2.3	Significance Criteria and Analysis Methodology .....	4.2-40
4.2.3.1	Significance Criteria .....	4.2-40
4.2.3.2	Analysis Methodology .....	4.2-41
4.2.4	Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures .....	4.2-41
4.2.4.1	2008 EIR/EIS Impact Analysis .....	4.2-41
4.2.4.2	2019 SEIS Impact Analysis .....	4.2-44
4.2.4.3	Substantial Project Changes .....	4.2-48
4.2.4.4	Subsequent Environmental Analysis.....	4.2-49
<b>4.3</b>	<b>Cultural Resources.....</b>	<b>4.3-1</b>
4.3.1	Environmental Setting .....	4.3-1
4.3.1.1	Cultural Resources Conditions at the Time of the 2008 EIR/EIS.....	4.3-1
4.3.1.2	Cultural Resources Conditions at Present.....	4.3-2
4.3.2	Regulatory Setting .....	4.3-7
4.3.2.1	Federal.....	4.3-7
4.3.2.2	State.....	4.3-8
4.3.2.3	Local .....	4.3-10
4.3.3	Significance Criteria and Analysis Methodology .....	4.3-12
4.3.3.1	Significance Criteria .....	4.3-12
4.3.3.2	Analysis Methodology .....	4.3-12
4.3.4	Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures .....	4.3-12
4.3.4.1	2008 EIR/EIS Impact Analysis .....	4.3-12
4.3.4.2	2019 SEIS Impact Analysis .....	4.3-13
4.3.4.3	Substantial Project Changes .....	4.3-13
4.3.4.4	Subsequent Environmental Analysis.....	4.3-14
<b>4.4</b>	<b>Geology, Soils, and Paleontological Resources.....</b>	<b>4.4-1</b>
4.4.1	Environmental Setting .....	4.4-1
4.4.1.1	Geology, Seismicity and Soils.....	4.4-1
4.4.1.2	Paleontological Resources.....	4.4-1
4.4.2	Regulatory Setting .....	4.4-3
4.4.2.1	Federal.....	4.4-3
4.4.2.2	State.....	4.4-3
4.4.2.3	Local .....	4.4-4
4.4.3	Significance Thresholds and Analysis Methodology.....	4.4-4
4.4.3.1	Significance Criteria .....	4.4-4
4.4.3.2	Analysis Methodology .....	4.4-9

4.4.4	Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures .....	4.4-10
4.4.4.1	2008 EIR/EIS Impact Analysis .....	4.4-10
4.4.4.2	2019 SEIS Impact Analysis .....	4.4-10
4.4.4.3	Substantial Project Changes .....	4.4-11
4.4.4.4	Subsequent Environmental Analysis .....	4.4-12
<b>4.5</b>	<b>Greenhouse Gas Emissions .....</b>	<b>4.5-1</b>
4.5.1	Environmental Setting .....	4.5-1
4.5.1.1	Climate Change Background .....	4.5-1
4.5.2	Regulatory Setting .....	4.5-3
4.5.2.1	Federal .....	4.5-4
4.5.2.2	State .....	4.5-7
4.5.2.3	Regional .....	4.5-14
4.5.2.4	Local .....	4.5-15
4.5.3	Analysis Methodology and Significance Criteria .....	4.5-18
4.5.3.1	Significance Criteria .....	4.5-18
4.5.3.2	Methodology .....	4.5-19
4.5.4	Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures .....	4.5-19
4.5.4.1	2008 EIR/EIS Impact Analysis .....	4.5-19
4.5.4.2	2019 SEIS Impact Analysis .....	4.5-21
4.5.4.3	Substantial Project Changes .....	4.5-21
4.5.4.4	Subsequent Environmental Analysis .....	4.5-22
<b>4.6</b>	<b>Hydrology and Water Quality .....</b>	<b>4.6-1</b>
4.6.1	Environmental Setting .....	4.6-1
4.6.1.1	Regional Setting .....	4.6-1
4.6.1.2	Hydrology and Water Quality Conditions at the Time of the 2008 EIR/EIS .....	4.6-1
4.6.1.3	Hydrology and Water Quality Conditions at Present .....	4.6-2
4.6.2	Regulatory Setting .....	4.6-10
4.6.2.1	Federal .....	4.6-10
4.6.2.2	State and Regional .....	4.6-11
4.6.2.3	Local .....	4.6-15
4.6.3	Significance Criteria and Analysis Methodology .....	4.6-18
4.6.3.1	Significance Criteria .....	4.6-18
4.6.3.2	Analysis Methodology .....	4.6-18
4.6.4	Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures .....	4.6-19
4.6.4.1	2008 EIR/EIS Impact Analysis .....	4.6-19
4.6.4.2	2019 SEIS Impact Analysis .....	4.6-21

4.6.4.3	<i>Substantial Project Changes</i> .....	4.6-21
4.6.4.4	<i>Subsequent Environmental Analysis</i> .....	4.6-23
<b>4.7</b>	<b>Land Use and Planning</b> .....	<b>4.7-1</b>
4.7.1	Environmental Setting .....	4.7-1
4.7.1.1	<i>Land Use Conditions at the Time of the 2008 EIR/EIS</i> .....	4.7-1
4.7.1.2	<i>Land Use Conditions at Present</i> .....	4.7-2
4.7.2	Regulatory Setting.....	4.7-3
4.7.2.1	<i>Imperial County General Plan</i> .....	4.7-3
4.7.2.2	<i>Imperial County Zoning Ordinance</i> .....	4.7-5
4.7.2.3	<i>Imperial County Surface Mining and Reclamation Ordinance</i> .....	4.7-5
4.7.2.4	<i>San Diego County General Plan</i> .....	4.7-6
4.7.2.5	<i>San Diego County Zoning Ordinance</i> .....	4.7-7
4.7.2.6	<i>Project Consistency with Local Planning Documents</i> .....	4.7-7
4.7.3	Significance Thresholds and Analysis Methodology.....	4.7-12
4.7.3.1	<i>Significance Criteria</i> .....	4.7-12
4.7.3.2	<i>Analysis Methodology</i> .....	4.7-13
4.7.4	Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures .....	4.7-13
4.7.4.1	<i>2008 EIR/EIS Impact Analysis</i> .....	4.7-13
4.7.4.2	<i>2019 SEIS Impact Analysis</i> .....	4.7-13
4.7.4.3	<i>Subsequent Environmental Analysis</i> .....	4.7-13
<b>4.8</b>	<b>Tribal Cultural Resources</b> .....	<b>4.8-1</b>
4.8.1	Environmental Setting .....	4.8-1
4.8.1.1	<i>Tribal Cultural Resources Conditions at the Time of the 2008 EIR/EIS</i> .....	4.8-1
4.8.1.2	<i>Cultural Resources Conditions at Present</i> .....	4.8-2
4.8.2	Regulatory Setting.....	4.8-3
4.8.2.1	<i>Federal</i> .....	4.8-3
4.8.2.2	<i>State</i> .....	4.8-3
4.8.2.3	<i>Local</i> .....	4.8-4
4.8.3	Significance Criteria and Analysis Methodology .....	4.8-5
4.8.3.1	<i>Significance Criteria</i> .....	4.8-5
4.8.3.2	<i>Analysis Methodology</i> .....	4.8-5
4.8.4	Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	4.8-6
4.8.4.1	<i>2008 EIR/EIS Impact Analysis</i> .....	4.8-6
4.8.4.2	<i>2019 SEIS Impact Analysis</i> .....	4.8-6
4.8.4.3	<i>Substantial Project Changes</i> .....	4.8-6
4.8.4.4	<i>Subsequent Environmental Analysis</i> .....	4.8-7

**CHAPTER 5: CUMULATIVE IMPACTS..... 5-1**

**5.1 Geographic Scope and Temporal Scope..... 5-1**

**5.2 Related Projects ..... 5-2**

5.2.1 Analysis Method ..... 5-2

5.2.2 List of Nearby Projects ..... 5-2

**5.3 Cumulative Impacts Evaluation..... 5-5**

5.3.1 Air Quality..... 5-5

5.3.2 Biological Resources ..... 5-11

5.3.3 Cultural Resources and Tribal Cultural Resources ..... 5-14

5.3.4 Geology, Soils and Paleontological Resources ..... 5-15

5.3.5 Greenhouse Gas Emissions ..... 5-16

5.3.6 Hydrology and Water Quality..... 5-17

5.3.7 Land Use and Planning ..... 5-17

5.3.8 Summary of Significant and Unavoidable Cumulative Impacts..... 5-18

**CHAPTER 6: ALTERNATIVES..... 6-1**

**6.1 Introduction..... 6-1**

**6.2 CEQA Requirements for Alternatives Analysis ..... 6-1**

**6.3 Summary of Project Objectives and Impacts ..... 6-1**

6.3.1 Project Objectives..... 6-1

6.3.2 Significant and Unavoidable Impacts of the Proposed Project ..... 6-2

**6.4 Alternatives Formulation Process and Description of Project Alternatives..... 6-2**

6.4.1 Alternatives Considered but Rejected from Further Analysis..... 6-3

6.4.2 Alternatives Evaluated in Detail ..... 6-4

6.4.2.1 *Features Common to All Project Alternatives*..... 6-4

6.4.2.2 *Alternative 1: No Project*..... 6-7

6.4.2.3 *Alternative 2: Lower Quarry Watershed  
 Reduced Mining Footprint “A” Alternatives*..... 6-7

6.4.2.4 *Alternative 3: Lower Quarry Watershed  
 Reduced Mining Footprint “B” Alternative*..... 6-8

6.4.2.5 *Alternative 4: Middle Quarry Watershed  
 Reduced Mining Footprint Alternative* ..... 6-8

6.4.2.6 *Alternative 5: Upper Quarry Watershed  
 Reduced Mining Footprint Alternative* ..... 6-8

**6.5 Alternatives Impact Analysis and Summary ..... 6-17**

6.5.1 Alternative 1: No Project..... 6-21

6.5.2 Alternative 2: Lower Quarry Watershed  
 Reduced Mining Footprint “A” Alternative ..... 6-22

6.5.3	Alternative 3: Lower Quarry Watershed Reduced Mining Footprint “B” Alternative .....	6-24
6.5.4	Alternative 4: Middle Quarry Watershed Reduced Mining Footprint Alternative .....	6-25
6.5.5	Alternative 5: Upper Quarry Watershed Reduced Mining Footprint Alternative .....	6-27
<b>6.6</b>	<b>ENVIRONMENTALLY SUPERIOR ALTERNATIVE .....</b>	<b>6-29</b>
<b>CHAPTER 7: OTHER CEQA TOPICS .....</b>		<b>7-1</b>
7.1	<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>7-1</b>
7.2	<b>Mandatory Findings of Significance .....</b>	<b>7-1</b>
7.3	<b>Energy Consumption and Conservation .....</b>	<b>7-4</b>
7.4	<b>Significant Environmental Effects Which Cannot Be Avoided if the Proposed Project is Implemented .....</b>	<b>7-5</b>
7.5	<b>Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes That Would Be Caused by the Project Should it be Implemented.....</b>	<b>7-5</b>
7.6	<b>Growth Inducing Analysis Overview .....</b>	<b>7-7</b>
<b>CHAPTER 8: LIST OF PREPARERS .....</b>		<b>8-1</b>
8.1	<b>Lead Agency Staff .....</b>	<b>8-1</b>
8.2	<b>Responsible Agency Staff.....</b>	<b>8-1</b>
8.3	<b>Consultants and Other Individuals Involved in the Preparation of the EIR.....</b>	<b>8-1</b>
<b>CHAPTER 9: REFERENCES AND RESOURCES .....</b>		<b>9-1</b>
<b>CHAPTER 10: ACRONYMS.....</b>		<b>10-1</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table ES-1	2008 EIR/EIS Mitigation Measure Locations .....	ES-1
Table ES-2	Summary of Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	ES-10
Table 2-1	Assessor’s Parcel Numbers.....	2-12
Table 4.1-1	Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	4.1-4
Table 4.1-2	ICAPCD Thresholds of Significance for Project Operations .....	4.1-15
Table 4.1-3	San Diego County APCD Air Quality Significance Threshold Standards.....	4.1-16
Table 4.1-4	Estimated Air Pollutant Emissions (Quarry, Well No. 3, and Associated Pipeline) Existing Conditions and Proposed Conditions.....	4.1-22
Table 4.1-5	Estimated Air Pollutant Emissions (Viking Ranch) (Unmitigated) .....	4.1-24

Table 4.2-1	Vegetation Communities and Land Cover Types within the Viking Ranch Restoration Site.....	4.2-27
Table 4.2-2	Viking Ranch Restoration Site Jurisdictional Aquatic Resources .....	4.2-31
Table 4.2-3	Vegetation Communities within the Old Kane Springs Road Preservation Site.....	4.2-32
Table 4.2-4	Jurisdictional Resources within the Old Kane Springs Road Preservation Site.....	4.2-34
Table 4.3-1	Cultural Resource Sites and Resources in Proximity to Project Site .....	4.3-4
Table 4.5-1	GHG Sources in California .....	4.5-2
Table 4.5-2	Proposed Project Estimated GHG Emissions.....	4.5-22
Table 4.6-1	Existing Conditions Unit Hydrograph Peak Flowrate .....	4.6-26
Table 4.6-2	Proposed Conditions Unit Hydrograph Peak Flowrate.....	4.6-26
Table 4.7-1	Project Consistency with Local Planning Documents .....	4.7-7
Table 5-1	Geographic Scope of Cumulative Impacts.....	5-2
Table 5-2	List of Nearby Projects .....	5-6
Table 6-1	Alternatives Impact Comparison Summary.....	6-18
Table 7-1	Projected Use of Non-Renewable Resources for USG Expansion Project.....	7-7

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure ES-1	Regional Location.....	ES-3
Figure 2-1	Regional Location.....	2-3
Figure 2-2a	Site Location—Quarry, Well No. 3, and Pipeline .....	2-5
Figure 2-2b	Site Location—Viking Ranch Restoration Site.....	2-7
Figure 2-2c	Site Location—Old Kane Springs Road Preservation Site.....	2-9
Figure 2-3	Viking Ranch Restoration Site .....	2-15
Figure 2-4	Old Kane Springs Road Preservation Site.....	2-17
Figure 2-5	Plaster City Quarry Plan .....	2-21
Figure 2-6	Viking Ranch Conceptual Restoration Plan .....	2-29
Figure 4.2-1	Project Site Vegetation and Landcover .....	4.2-5
Figure 4.2-2	Project Site Biological Resources.....	4.2-9
Figure 4.2-3	Peninsular Bighorn Sheep Critical Habitat.....	4.2-17
Figure 4.2-4	Fish Creek Mountains Radio Collared Ewe Locations.....	4.2-19



Figure 4.4-1a	Geologic Map with Paleontological Sensitivity .....	4.4-5
Figure 4.4-1b	Geologic Map with Paleontological Sensitivity .....	4.4-7
Figure 4.6-1	Hydrologic Setting .....	4.6-5
Figure 4.6-2	Existing Floodplain .....	4.6-7
Figure 5-1	Approximate Location of Cumulative Projects .....	5-3
Figure 6-1	Alternative 2: Modified Lower Watershed Mining Footprint A .....	6-9
Figure 6-2	Alternative 3: Reduced Lower Watershed Mining Footprint B .....	6-11
Figure 6-3	Alternative 4: Middle Quarry Watershed Phased Elimination .....	6-13
Figure 6-4	Alternative 5: Upper Quarry Watershed Reduced Mining Footprint.....	6-15

## **VOLUME II: APPENDICES** *(provided under separate cover)*

### **Appendix A: Initial Study and NOC/NOP**

- A-1: Initial Study
- A-2: NOC/NOP
- A-3: NOP and Scoping Meeting Comments

### **Appendix B: Application Materials**

- B-1: Imperial County Conditional Use Permit #08-0003
- B-2: Application Letter

### **Appendix C: Air Quality Analysis**

- C-1: Air Quality Modeling Analysis
- C-2: SEIS Air Emissions Estimates
- C-3: Estimated Air Quality Emissions—Viking Ranch

### **Appendix D: Biological Resources Reports**

- D-1: SEIS Biological Resources Technical Report
- D-2: 2016 Jurisdictional Delineation
- D-3: Biological Opinion
- D-4: Draft Habitat Mitigation and Monitoring Plan

### **Appendix E: Cultural Resources Reports**

### **Appendix F: Paleontological Technical Study**

### **Appendix G: Hydrology and Water Quality**

- G-1: 2018 Hydrologic and Water Quality Study
- G-2: 2018 Groundwater Conditions Memorandum

THIS PAGE  
INTENTIONALLY  
LEFT BLANK