

## Imperial County Planning & Development Services Planning / Building

Jim Minnick

## **NOTICE OF INTENT**

**NOTICE OF INTENT IS HERBY GIVEN** for the preparation of a ☐ Negative Declaration ☒ Mitigated Negative Declaration for Tract Map #00992 Initial Study #22-0002 Miraluz Affordable Housing & State Route 86/Pitzer Rd. Intersection improvement Project. Project, pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act and the County of Imperial's Rules and Regulations to Implement California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) as amended.

LEAD AGENCY: Imperial County Planning & Development Services Department (ICPDS)

APPLICANT: David Davis on behalf of Heber Meadows Land Holdings, LLC

PROJECT LOCATION: 175 E. Correll Rd., Heber CA

The project site □ is ☒ is not listed on any list under Government Code Section 65962.5.

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION:** The applicant proposes to subdivide approximately 16 acres into five lots for the purpose of constructing a phased affordable housing project that anticipates 320 apartment units. Phase 1, lot 1 which is a 2.95 acre project would construct 64 apartments for low income families. The site is part of the previously approved Heber Meadows subdivision project Tract #00956, CEQA compliance was met with adoption of a Mitigated Negative Declaration (SCH#2004031098). The project also includes improvements to the SR-86/Pitzer Road intersection located approximately 2,000 feet south of the site.

**PUBLIC HEARING:** An Imperial County Planning Commission public hearing will be conducted on Wednesday March 9<sup>th</sup>, 2022, at 9:00 a.m. in the County Administration Center (Board Room) located at 940 Main Street, El Centro, CA, 92243 to consider the adoption of the proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration, in conjunction with the proposed project.

**COMMENT PERIOD:** 01/28/22 to 02/28/22 at 5:00 p.m.

Comments will be accepted for the proposed project during this period. You may submit comments by mail, fax or email at <a href="mailto:marielamoran@co.imperial.ca.us">marielamoran@co.imperial.ca.us</a>. Only comments submitted during the specified period will be considered unless otherwise allowed under CEQA.

**DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY:** All required documents for the project are available at the ICPDS office located at 801 Main St. El Centro, CA 92243 during normal working hours of 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday.

Sincerely,

**POSTED** 

Jim Minnick, Director

JAN 2 8 2022

Planning & Development Services

POST FOR 30 DAYS

Imperial County Clerk-Recorder California

## Cover Sheet Assessment Form (County of Imperial)

Initial Stu	dy #22-0002		Date:	01/28/2022
Project ty	pe/name: Tract Map 00992 N Improvement Project		Housing and State Route	86/Pitzer Road Intersection
Applicant	's name: <u>David Davis on beha</u>	lf of Heber Meadov	ws Land Holdings, LLC	
Applicant	's address: 6339 Paseo Del Lago,	Carlsbad, CA 9201	1	
Name of p	person preparing Initial Study:	Mariela Moran,	Planner III	
Signature	of person preparing Initial Study:	my	45	
l. <u>P</u> ı	roject Information			
a.	Assessor's Parcel Number: 054-601-016-00		)	
b.	Street address:	175 E. Correll Rd	., Heber CA	
C.	Cross street:	Pitzer Road and I	Bloomfield Road	
d.	Township/Section/Range:	Township 16 South, Range 14 East, SBBM		
e.	Project area (acres) □:	+/- 16 acres		
II. <u>G</u>	eneral Plan Consistency			
a.	General Plan Designation.		Heavy Residential per H Plan	eber Urban Area
b.	Is Project in an Urban area?		Yes	
c.	Name of Urban area.		Heber Urban Area Plan	
d.	Is Project within an adopted Specif Plan area?	ic	No	
e.	Name of Specific Plan area.		N/A	
f.	Existing zoning.		R-3 Medium-Heavy Densi	ity Residential
g.	Proposed zoning, if any.		N/A	

h.	Adjacent zoning.	A-2 (Medium Agriculture) and Density Residential)	R-1 (Low
i.	Is proposal consistent with the site's existing or proposed zoning?	Yes	
J.	Is proposal compatible with existing or surrounding zoning or can it be made compatible?	Yes	
k.	Is the proposal consistent with a Specific Plan for the area?	N/A	
J.	Is the proposal compatible with existing plans and planned surrounding land uses or can it be made compatible?	Yes	
m.	Is the proposal consistent with the land use designation and policies of the 1993 General Plan?	Yes	
Comments	s: (if any)		
None.			