## PROJECT REPORT

TO: ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION AGENDA DATE: November 17, 2022

COMMITTEE

FROM: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES AGENDA TIME 1:30 PM/ No. 3

DACS PROJECT TYPE:Conditiona	A Trucking, LLC I Use Permit #22-00		
LOCATION: 2095 Old High	nway 111,	044 APN: <u>044</u>	-460-032-000 & -460-042-000
			+/- 23.67 & 4.53 Acres
GENERAL PLAN (existing)	Urban (El Centro)	GENERAL PLAN (	proposed) <u>NA</u>
ZONE (existing) M-2-U (Medium In	dustrial with Urban O	verlay) Z	ZONE (proposed) N/A
GENERAL PLAN FINDINGS	CONSISTENT	☐ INCONSISTENT	MAY BE/FINDINGS
PLANNING COMMISSION DEC	CISION:	HEARING D	ATE:
	APPROVED	DENIED	OTHER
PLANNING DIRECTORS DECI-	SION:	HEARING D	ATE:
	APPROVED	DENIED	OTHER
ENVIROMENTAL EVALUATION	N COMMITTEE DE	CISION: HEARING D	ATE: 11/17/2022
		INITIAL STU	DY:#22-0008
☐ NEGA	TIVE DECLARATION	MITIGATED NEG.	DECLARATION
DEPARTMENTAL REPORTS /	APPROVALS:		
PUBLIC WORKS AG APCD E.H.S. FIRE / OES SHERIFF. OTHER	☐ NONE ☑ NONE ☐ NONE ☑ NONE ☐ NONE ☐ NONE ☐ ID, Caltrar		ATTACHED ATTACHED ATTACHED ATTACHED ATTACHED ATTACHED ATTACHED

### **REQUESTED ACTION:**

(See Attached)

# □ NEGATIVE DECLARATION□ MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Initial Study & Environmental Analysis For:

Conditional Use Permit #22-0006 Initial Study #22-0008 DACSA Trucking, LLC



Prepared By:

#### **COUNTY OF IMPERIAL**

Planning & Development Services Department

801 Main Street El Centro, CA 92243 (442) 265-1736 www.icpds.com

November 2022

## □ NEGATIVE DECLARATION □ MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Initial Study & Environmental Analysis For:

Conditional Use Permit #22-0006 Initial Study #22-0008 DACSA Trucking, LLC



Prepared By:

#### **COUNTY OF IMPERIAL**

Planning & Development Services Department 801 Main Street

El Centro, CA 92243 (442) 265-1736 www.icpds.com

November 2022

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

		PAGE
SEC	TION 1	
I. IN	ITRODUCTION	3
050	TION 0	
SEC	TION 2	
II. E	NVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST	8
	ROJECT SUMMARY	10
	NVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS	14
_		14
1.	AESTHETICS	15
ii.	AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES	15
III.	AIR QUALITY	
IV.	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	
V.	CULTURAL RESOURCES	
VI.	ENERGY	
VII.	GEOLOGY AND SOILS	
VIII.	GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION	
IX.	HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	
X.	HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY	
XI.	LAND USE AND PLANNING	
XII.	MINERAL RESOURCES	
XIII.	NOISE	
XIV.	POPULATION AND HOUSING	
XV.	PUBLIC SERVICES	
XVI.	RECREATION	
XVII.		
,	TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES	
XIX.	UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS	
XX.	WILDFIRE	
,,,,		
SEC	TION 3	
	TANDATORY FINDINGS OF GIONIFICANIOS	.~
	IANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE	27
	ERSONS AND ORGANIZATIONS CONSULTED	28
	REFERENCES	29
	IEGATIVE DECLARATION - COUNTY OF IMPERIAL	30
VII. F	INDINGS	31
SECT	TION 4	
	RESPONSE TO COMMENTS (IF ANY)	32
IX.	MITIGATION MONITORING & REPORTING PROGRAM (MMRP) (IF ANY)	33

## SECTION 1 INTRODUCTION

#### A. PURPOSE

This document is a policy-level, project level Initial Study for evaluation of potential environmental impacts resulting with the proposed Conditional Use Permit #22-0006, where the intent of the project is to operate a trucking facility that transports goods in and out of the Imperial Valley. (Refer to Exhibit "A" & "B").

## B. CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) REQUIREMENTS AND THE IMPERIAL COUNTY'S GUIDELINES FOR IMPLEMENTING CEQA

As defined by Section 15063 of the State California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines and Section 7 of the County's "CEQA Regulations Guidelines for the Implementation of CEQA, as amended", an **Initial Study** is prepared primarily to provide the Lead Agency with information to use as the basis for determining whether an Environmental Impact Report (EIR), Negative Declaration, or Mitigated Negative Declaration would be appropriate for providing the necessary environmental documentation and clearance for any proposed project.

According to Section 15065, an EIR is deemed appropriate for a particular proposal if the following condition	IS
occur:	

- The proposal has the potential to substantially degrade quality of the environment.
- The proposal has the potential to achieve short-term environmental goals to the disadvantage of long-term environmental goals.
- The proposal has possible environmental effects that are individually limited but cumulatively considerable.
- The proposal could cause direct or indirect adverse effects on human beings.

ir	n any significant	effect on the en	vironment.		•	•				
	According to Sec	tion 15070(b),	Mitigated Negativ	e Declaration	is c	leemed ap	propi	riate if it is	determined	t

According to Section 15070(a), a **Negative Declaration** is deemed appropriate if the proposal would not result

that though a proposal could result in a significant effect, mitigation measures are available to reduce these significant effects to insignificant levels.

This Initial Study has determined that the proposed applications will not result in any potentially significant

This Initial Study has determined that the proposed applications will not result in any potentially significant environmental impacts and therefore, a Negative Declaration is deemed as the appropriate document to provide necessary environmental evaluations and clearance as identified hereinafter.

This Initial Study and Negative Declaration are prepared in conformance with the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended (Public Resources Code, Section 21000 et. seq.); Section 15070 of the State & County of Imperial's Guidelines for Implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Chapter 3, Section 15000, et. seq.); applicable requirements of the County of Imperial; and the regulations, requirements, and procedures of any other responsible public agency or an agency with jurisdiction by law.

Pursuant to the County of Imperial <u>Guidelines for Implementing CEQA</u>, depending on the project scope, the County of Imperial Board of Supervisors, Planning Commission and/or Planning Director is designated the Lead Agency,

in accordance with Section 15050 of the CEQA Guidelines. The Lead Agency is the public agency which has the principal responsibility for approving the necessary environmental clearances and analyses for any project in the County.

#### C. INTENDED USES OF INITIAL STUDY AND NEGATIVE DECLARATION

This Initial Study and Negative Declaration are informational documents, which are intended to inform County of Imperial decision makers, other responsible or interested agencies, and the general public of potential environmental effects of the proposed applications. The environmental review process has been established to enable public agencies to evaluate environmental consequences and to examine and implement methods of eliminating or reducing any potentially adverse impacts. While CEQA requires that consideration be given to avoiding environmental damage, the Lead Agency and other responsible public agencies must balance adverse environmental effects against other public objectives, including economic and social goals.

The Initial Study and Negative Declaration, prepared for the project will be circulated for a period of 20 days (30-days if submitted to the State Clearinghouse for a project of area-wide significance) for public and agency review and comments. At the conclusion, if comments are received, the County Planning & Development Services Department will prepare a document entitled "Responses to Comments" which will be forwarded to any commenting entity and be made part of the record within 10-days of any project consideration.

#### D. CONTENTS OF INITIAL STUDY & NEGATIVE DECLARATION

This Initial Study is organized to facilitate a basic understanding of the existing setting and environmental implications of the proposed applications.

#### SECTION 1

**I. INTRODUCTION** presents an introduction to the entire report. This section discusses the environmental process, scope of environmental review, and incorporation by reference documents.

#### **SECTION 2**

**II. ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM** contains the County's Environmental Checklist Form. The checklist form presents results of the environmental evaluation for the proposed applications and those issue areas that would have either a significant impact, potentially significant impact, or no impact.

**PROJECT SUMMARY, LOCATION AND EVIRONMENTAL SETTINGS** describes the proposed project entitlements and required applications. A description of discretionary approvals and permits required for project implementation is also included. It also identifies the location of the project and a general description of the surrounding environmental settings.

**ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS** evaluates each response provided in the environmental checklist form. Each response checked in the checklist form is discussed and supported with sufficient data and analysis as necessary. As appropriate, each response discussion describes and identifies specific impacts anticipated with project implementation.

#### **SECTION 3**

- **III. MANDATORY FINDINGS** presents Mandatory Findings of Significance in accordance with Section 15065 of the CEQA Guidelines.
- IV. PERSONS AND ORGANIZATIONS CONSULTED identifies those persons consulted and involved in

preparation of this Initial Study and Negative Declaration.

V. REFERENCES lists bibliographical materials used in preparation of this document.

VI. NEGATIVE DECLARATION - COUNTY OF IMPERIAL

VII. FINDINGS

#### **SECTION 4**

VIII. RESPONSE TO COMMENTS (IF ANY)

IX. MITIGATION MONITORING & REPORTING PROGRAM (MMRP) (IF ANY)

#### E. SCOPE OF ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS

For evaluation of environmental impacts, each question from the Environmental Checklist Form is summarized and responses are provided according to the analysis undertaken as part of the Initial Study. Impacts and effects will be evaluated and quantified, when appropriate. To each question, there are four possible responses, including:

- No Impact: A "No Impact" response is adequately supported if the impact simply does not apply to the proposed applications.
- 2. Less Than Significant Impact: The proposed applications will have the potential to impact the environment. These impacts, however, will be less than significant; no additional analysis is required.
- 3. Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated: This applies where incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact".
- Potentially Significant Impact: The proposed applications could have impacts that are considered significant. Additional analyses and possibly an EIR could be required to identify mitigation measures that could reduce these impacts to less than significant levels.

#### F. POLICY-LEVEL or PROJECT LEVEL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS

This Initial Study and Negative Declaration will be conducted under a policy-level, project level analysis. Regarding mitigation measures, it is not the intent of this document to "overlap" or restate conditions of approval that are commonly established for future known projects or the proposed applications. Additionally, those other standard requirements and regulations that any development must comply with, that are outside the County's jurisdiction, are also not considered mitigation measures and therefore, will not be identified in this document.

#### G. TIERED DOCUMENTS AND INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE

Information, findings, and conclusions contained in this document are based on incorporation by reference of tiered documentation, which are discussed in the following section.

#### 1. **Tiered Documents**

As permitted in Section 15152(a) of the CEQA Guidelines, information and discussions from other documents can be included into this document. Tiering is defined as follows:

"Tiering refers to using the analysis of general matters contained in a broader EIR (such as the one prepared

for a general plan or policy statement) with later EIRs and negative declarations on narrower projects; incorporating by reference the general discussions from the broader EIR; and concentrating the later EIR or negative declaration solely on the issues specific to the later project."

Tiering also allows this document to comply with Section 15152(b) of the CEQA Guidelines, which discourages redundant analyses, as follows:

"Agencies are encouraged to tier the environmental analyses which they prepare for separate but related projects including the general plans, zoning changes, and development projects. This approach can eliminate repetitive discussion of the same issues and focus the later EIR or negative declaration on the actual issues ripe for decision at each level of environmental review. Tiering is appropriate when the sequence of analysis is from an EIR prepared for a general plan, policy or program to an EIR or negative declaration for another plan, policy, or program of lesser scope, or to a site-specific EIR or negative declaration."

Further, Section 15152(d) of the CEQA Guidelines states:

"Where an EIR has been prepared and certified for a program, plan, policy, or ordinance consistent with the requirements of this section, any lead agency for a later project pursuant to or consistent with the program, plan, policy, or ordinance should limit the EIR or negative declaration on the later project to effects which:

- (1) Were not examined as significant effects on the environment in the prior EIR; or
- (2) Are susceptible to substantial reduction or avoidance by the choice of specific revisions in the project, by the imposition of conditions, or other means."

#### 2. Incorporation By Reference

Incorporation by reference is a procedure for reducing the size of EIRs/MND and is most appropriate for including long, descriptive, or technical materials that provide general background information, but do not contribute directly to the specific analysis of the project itself. This procedure is particularly useful when an EIR or Negative Declaration relies on a broadly-drafted EIR for its evaluation of cumulative impacts of related projects (*Las Virgenes Homeowners Federation v. County of Los Angeles* [1986, 177 Ca.3d 300]). If an EIR or Negative Declaration relies on information from a supporting study that is available to the public, the EIR or Negative Declaration cannot be deemed unsupported by evidence or analysis (*San Francisco Ecology Center v. City and County of San Francisco* [1975, 48 Ca.3d 584, 595]). This document incorporates by reference appropriate information from the "Final Environmental Impact Report and Environmental Assessment for the "County of Imperial General Plan EIR" prepared by Brian F. Mooney Associates in 1993 and updates.

When an EIR or Negative Declaration incorporates a document by reference, the incorporation must comply with Section 15150 of the CEQA Guidelines as follows:

- The incorporated document must be available to the public or be a matter of public record (CEQA Guidelines Section 15150[a]). The General Plan EIR and updates are available, along with this document, at the County of Imperial Planning & Development Services Department, 801 Main Street, El Centro, CA 92243 Ph. (760) 482-4236.
- This document must be available for inspection by the public at an office of the lead agency (CEQA Guidelines Section 15150[b]). These documents are available at the County of Imperial Planning & Development Services Department, 801 Main Street, El Centro, CA 92243 Ph. (760) 482-4236.
- These documents must summarize the portion of the document being incorporated by reference or briefly

describe information that cannot be summarized. Furthermore, these documents must describe the relationship between the incorporated information and the analysis in the tiered documents (CEQA Guidelines Section 15150[c]). As discussed above, the tiered EIRs address the entire project site and provide background and inventory information and data which apply to the project site. Incorporated information and/or data will be cited in the appropriate sections.

- These documents must include the State identification number of the incorporated documents (CEQA Guidelines Section 15150[d]). The State Clearinghouse Number for the County of Imperial General Plan EIR is SCH #93011023.
- The material to be incorporated in this document will include general background information (CEQA Guidelines Section 15150[f]). This has been previously discussed in this document.

#### II. Environmental Checklist

- 1. Project Title: Conditional Use Permit #22-0006 / Initial Study #22-0008 DACSA Trucking, LLC
- Lead Agency: Imperial County Planning & Development Services Department
- 3. Contact person and phone number: Diana Robinson, Planning Division Manager, (442) 265-1736
- 4. Address: 801 Main Street, El Centro CA, 92243
- 5. **E-mail**: dianarobinson@co.imperial.ca.us
- 6. Project location: The project site is located at 2095 Old Highway 111, El Centro CA 92243. The parcel is identified as Assessor's Parcel Numbers (APN) 044-460-042-000 and -032-000 and is legally described as Portion of Parcel 80-A of Lot Line Adjustment 80 of Parcel Map 1312 of Tract 40, Township 15 South, Range 14 East, and a Portion of Parcel 80-A of Lot Line Adjustment 80 of Parcel 3 of Parcel Map 1312 of Tract 40, Township 15 South, Range 14 East, S.B.B.M., in an unincorporated area of the County of Imperial.
- 7. Project sponsor's name and address: DACSA Trucking, LLC, 2095 Old Highway 111, El Centro CA 92243
- 8. General Plan designation: Urban
- 9. Zoning: M-2-U (Medium Industrial with Urban Overlay)
- 10. Description of project: The project consists of a trucking facility that transports goods in and out of the Imperial Valley to over 11 western states, such as Nevada, Utah, and California, with primary focus being Oregon and Washington. The applicant has been operating within the El Centro City limits and is looking to relocate to the project site.

There are 27 employees and 22 semi-trucks. The applicant intends to have a fleet of 25 semi-trucks within the next 5 years. Trucks are proposed to leave the facility on Sunday afternoons or Monday mornings, return on Friday or Saturday afternoons for maintenance and to park within the designated parking yard as depicted on the application site plan, thru an existing paved road.

Project site has three existing buildings as depicted in the application site plan:

- Building #1 has an existing retail space operation for truck and trailer parts retail space. It also provides administrative offices for DACSA Trucking, LLC.
- Buildings #2 and #3 are used for an existing business that provides truck maintenance and repairs and will also provide service to the proposed project trucks. Maintenance includes oil changes, tire rotations, and routine inspections.

The following product is brought back down to the Imperial Valley: lumber, grass seed, onions, potatoes, and plastic packaging material, among other commodities.

- 11. Surrounding land uses and setting: The project site is bounded at North and West by agricultural fields with A-2 (General Agricultural) zones; M-2 (Medium Industrial) and A-1 (Limited Agricultural) zoned parcels are located South of the project site. To the East the parcel abuts Old Highway 111, and State Highway 111 is located approximately 250 feet to the East of the project.
- 12. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement.): Planning Commission.

13.	Have California Native American tribes traditionally and culturally affiliated with the project area requested consultation pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21080.3.1? If so, is there a plan for consultation that includes, for example, the determination of significance of impacts to tribal cultural resources, procedures regarding confidentially, etc.?  Pursuant to AB52, a consultation request letter was sent out to the Quechan Indian Tribe for a 30 day consultation
	period for review and comment. No comments were received.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:**

	vironmental factors check a "Potentially Significant Ir						ist one impact
	Aesthetics		Agriculture and Forestry	Resources		Air Quality	
	Biological Resources		Cultural Resources			Energy	
	Geology /Soils		Greenhouse Gas Emissi	ons		Hazards & Hazardous M	laterials
	Hydrology / Water Quality		Land Use / Planning			Mineral Resources	
	Noise		Population / Housing			Public Services	
	Recreation		Transportation			Tribal Cultural Resource	S
	Utilities/Service Systems		Wildfire			Mandatory Findings of S	ignificance
After R	IVIRONMENTAL eview of the Initial Study, to	he Env	vironmental Evaluat	ion Comm	ittee has:	•	
	und that the proposed pro <u>RATION</u> will be prepared.	•	OULD NOT have a	i signilicar	it effect on t	ne environment, ar	u a <u>NEGATIVE</u>
significa	und that although the propent ant effect in this case beca SATED NEGATIVE DECL	use rev	visions in the projec	t have bee			
	und that the proposed pro TREPORT is required.	ject M	AY have a significa	ant effect o	on the enviro	nment, and an <u>EN'</u>	<u>/IRONMENTAI</u>
mitigate oursuar analysis	und that the proposed pred impact on the environment to applicable legal stars as described on attache effects that remain to be	nent, b ndards, d shee	ut at least one effect and 2) has been ts. An ENVIRONM	ct 1) has be addressed	een adequate I by mitigatio	ely analyzed in an e on measures base	earlier document d on the earlie
significa applica DECLA	und that although the proposition of the propositio	analyz have	ed adequately in a been avoided or	an earlier l mitigated	EIR or NEGA pursuant to	ATIVE DECLARATI that earlier EIR	ON pursuant to or NEGATIVE
CALIFO	DRNIA DEPARTMENT OF	FISH	AND WILDLIFE DE	MINIMIS	IMPACT FIN	DING: Yes	☐ No
	EEC VOTES  PUBLIC WORKS  ENVIRONMENTAL HE  OFFICE EMERGENCY  APCD  AG  SHERIFF DEPARTME  ICPDS	SERV		<u>NO</u>	ABSENT		

Jim Minnick, Director of Planning/EEC Chairman Date:	
one manner, product of the mingrate of charman	
PROJECT SUMMARY	

- A. Project Location: The project site is located at 2095 Old Highway 111, El Centro CA 92243. The parcel is identified as Assessor's Parcel Numbers (APN) 044-460-042 and -32-000 and is legally described as Portion of Parcel 80-A of Lot Line Adjustment 80 of Parcel 1 of Parcel Map 1312 of Tract 40, Township 15 South, Range 14 East; and a Portion of Parcel 80-A of Lot Line Adjustment 80 of Parcel 3 of Parcel Map 1312 of Tract 40, Township 15 South, Range 14 East, S.B.B.M., in an unincorporated area of the County of Imperial.
- B. Project Summary: Applicant proposes to relocate and continue the operations of a trucking facility that transports goods in and out of the Imperial Valley to over 11 western states, such as Nevada, Utah, and California, with primary focus being Oregon and Washington. The project proposes to continue the 27 employees and a fleet of 22 semi-trucks.


The site has three existing buildings:

- Building #1 (frontage of property) has an existing retail space operation for truck and trailer parts retail space and it also provides administrative offices for DACSA Trucking, LLC
- Buildings #2 and #3 are located rear of Building #1 and are used for trucking repair and services.
- C. Environmental Setting: The project site was previously used for a construction company office and storage yard. The area is bounded by agricultural fields to North and West, and by parcels with industrial uses to the south of the parcel. To the East the parcel abuts Old Highway 111, and State Highway 111 is located approximately 250 feet east of the project.

The City of El Centro boundary is located approximately 3,400 feet southwest of the proposed project parcel

- D. Analysis: The project site is designated Urban under the Land Use Element of the Imperial County General Plan. The site is zoned "M-2-U" (Medium Industrial with an Urban Overlay) per Zoning Map #1 under Title 9 Land Use Ordinance. The proposed use is allowed pursuant to the Imperial County's Title 9 Ordinance, Chapter 15, Section 90516.02 (bb), which allows for a trucking services and terminals; trucking firms with a Conditional Use Permit.
- E. General Plan Consistency: The County's General Plan land use designation of the project site is "Urban", and it is zoned M-2-U (Medium Industrial with Urban Overlay). The proposed project could be considered consistent with the General Plan and the County Land Use Ordinance Section 90516.00 upon the approval of the proposed CUP. No changes are being proposed to the existing "Urban" land use designation.

# Exhibit "A" Vicinity Map






DACSA TRUCKING, LLC CUP# 22-0006 APN# 044-460-032 & 044-460-042





## Exhibit "B" Site Plan



#### **EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:**

- A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4) "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analyses," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
- 5) Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
  - a) Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
  - b) Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
  - c) Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8) This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
- 9) The explanation of each issue should identify:
  - a) the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
  - b) the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance

		Potentially Significant Impact (PSI)	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No Impact (NI)
I. AE	STHETICS				
Excep	t as provided in Public Resources Code Section 21099, would the p	roject:			
a)	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista or scenic highway?				
	<ul> <li>a) According to the Imperial County General Plan Circulat considered a "scenic" highway. In addition, the applicant pla with new structures. The project describes that the trucks wi are expected.</li> </ul>	ns on using the	e existing buildings and	therefore, no	t impacting
b)	Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				
	<ul> <li>b) There are no scenic resources such as trees, rock out therefore, no impacts are expected.</li> </ul>	croppings or h	nistoric buildings surr	ounding the p	roject site;
c)	In non-urbanized areas, substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of public views of the site and its surrounding? (Public views are those that are experienced from publicly accessible vantage point.) If the project is in an			$\boxtimes$	
	urbanized area, would the project conflict with applicable zoning and other regulations governing scenic quality?  c) The proposed project does not conflict with the current zor The parking lot will be located behind the buildings and w maintenance purposes from 1 to 2 days only; therefore, less the	ill be in use 5	out of 7 days, leaving	g them at the	facility for
d)	Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?  d) The proposed project may create an additional source of the project may cre		for accounty purposes	District all limbin	
	shielded into the property to avoid affecting neighboring parc				ig silali be
D.	AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES				
Agriculuse in enviror the sta	ermining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significantural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining when mental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by te's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessmeasurement methodology provided in Forest Protocols adopted by	by the California other impacts to f the California E sment Project ar	Department of Conserv forest resources, including Department of Forestry and the Forest Legacy As	ation as an opti ng timberland, a and Fire Protect sessment proje	onal model to are significant ion regarding act; and forest
a)	Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of	,			
	Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?				$\boxtimes$
	<ul> <li>a) According to the California Department of Conservation's designated as "Urban and Built Up Land". Since it is not farml impacts are expected.</li> </ul>				
b)	Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act Contract? b) The County has cancelled all Williamson Act contracts; the		acts are expected		$\boxtimes$
۵)		orore, no impo	asso are expected.		
c)	Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by County 54104(a))?				$\boxtimes$
	<ul> <li>by Government Code Section 51104(g))?</li> <li>c) The project is located within M-2 (Medium Industrial) zo timberland or timberland zoned Timberland Production. There</li> </ul>			g zoning or fo	orest land,

			Potentially Significant Impact (PSI)	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No Impact (NI)
	d)	Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?  d) The project site is not within or near any forest land; then	efore, no impact	s are expected.		$\boxtimes$
	e)	Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?  e) The proposed project will not convert existing farmland used for such; therefore, no impacts are expected to occur.	nor forest land	since it is an industria	al use zone and	⊠ d has been
m.	AIR	QUALITY				
V	Vhere elied ι	available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air upon to the following determinations. Would the Project:	quality managem	nent district or air pollutio	on control distric	t may be
	a)	Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?			$\boxtimes$	
		a) Air Pollution Control District provided a comment requestion VIII, specifically with Rules 804 and 805. Compoperational Dust Control plan will maintain impacts at less the	oliance with said	d regulation and with		
	b)	Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard?				
		<ul> <li>b) Less than significant levels are expected with continued c all applicable County Development Standards.</li> </ul>	ompliance with	APCD requirements lis	sted above as v	vell as with
	c)	Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutants concentrations?				
		c) The closest rural residence is located approximately 700 are expected to be on the road and away from the facility for 5 less than significant impacts are expected. In addition, impotential pollutant exposure.	days and to be p	arked for the remainin	g 2 days for ma	intenance,
	d)	Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors adversely affecting a substantial number of people?			$\boxtimes$	
		d) Trucks are expected on-site on weekends from 7:00 am to deliveries and to park in the facility for maintenance, not cal approved Operational Dust Control Plan, which will help pote	using a substan	tial release of emissio	ns. The applic	ant has an
٧.	BIO	LOGICAL RESOURCES Would the project:				
	a)	Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?  a) The proposed project site is not located within a designat is within the "Burrowing Owl Species Distribution Model" acc Open Space Element, Figure 2. However, the site has alreatherefore, it is not likely it would a have a substantial adverse.	cording to the Im dy been disturb e effect, either di	perial County General ed and has existing b rectly or through habi	Plan's Conser ouildings and sitat modificatio	vation and structures; ns, on any
		species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish an				
	b)	Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?  b) Per the Imperial County General Plan's Conservation and	d Open Space 5	lament the project of	to is not least.	Manual Ma

		(PSI)	(PSUMI)	(LTSI)	No Impac (NI)
	sensitive or riparian habitat, nor within a sensitive natural com	munity; there	fore, no impacts are ex	pected.	
c)	Have a substantial adverse effect on state or federally protected wetlands (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				
	c) The proposed project site will not have a substantial adversariation project site is not located near a protected wetland. In addition 404 <sup>1</sup> , since there is no intention to discharge dredged or fill n	, the project c	ould not interfere with	Clean Water	Act, Section
	pool or coastal wetlands are in the area, so no impacts can be				
d)	Interfere substantially with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				$\boxtimes$
	d) The project site is not located near a body of water, so no i	mpacts to rish	nor whome species ar	e expected.	
e)	Conflict with any local policies or ordinance protecting biological resource, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?			$\boxtimes$	
	e) The proposed project is not expected to conflict with any such as tree preservation policy; therefore, less than significa			ting biologica	l resources
f)	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				
	f) There are no habitat conservation plans in the County; there	efore, no impa	cts are expected.		
CUI	TURAL RESOURCES Would the project:				
a)	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource pursuant to §15064.5?				
	a) According to the Imperial County General Plan's Conservationated within a does not locate the project within a "Known A office received an email from the Quechan Historic Preservatherefore, any impacts are expected to be less than significant	Areas of Native Ition Officer s	American Cultural Se	nsitivity". In a	ddition, our
b)	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5?			$\boxtimes$	
	b) The project site has already been disturbed and the area is and Open Space Element; therefore, less than significant impa			ding to the Co	onservation
c)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries?				
	c) The project site is disturbed and it is not known to have a project is not expected to disturb any human remains. Less the				e proposea
ENE	ERGY Would the project:				
a)	Result in potentially significant environmental impact due to			$\bowtie$	
	wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation?  a) The proposed project parcel has three existing buildings that	∟ It are to be use	ed as a commercial true	king facility i	f the CUP is
	approved; therefore, no energy consumption is expected for e				

٧.

VI.

Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation

Less Than Significant

Potentially Significant

Clean Water Act, Section 404 https://www.epa.gov/cwa-404/clean-water-act-section-404
 I.C. General Plan Conservation & Open Space Element https://www.icpds.com/assets/planning/conservation-open-space-element-2016.pdf

				Potentially Significant Impact ( <b>PSI</b> )	Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No Impact (NI)
			further operation of the project would result in potential innecessary consumption of energy resources. Impacts a				inefficient,
	b)	ene	inflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable argy or energy efficiency?			$\boxtimes$	
			The project description does not include activities that refore, less than significant impacts are expected.	t would conflict	with or obstruct plar	ns for renewab	ole energy;
/II.	GE	OLO	GY AND SOILS Would the project:				
	a)	effe	ectly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse cts, including risk of loss, injury, or death involving:			$\boxtimes$	
		•	The existing buildings will be used for offices and a portion scope of work would appear to cause for adverse effect impacts are expected.				
		1)	Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning				
			Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42?  1) The proposed project is not located within a known factor of the project is not located within a known factor of the project is not located within a known factor of the project is not located within a known factor of the project is not located within a known factor of the project is not located within a known factor of the project is not located wi	ulf zone accordi	ng to the California De	nartment of Co	nservation
			Earthquake Zone Map <sup>3</sup> . The Imperial Fault Zone is local however, impacts are expected to be less than significa	ted approximate			
		2)	Strong Seismic ground shaking?  2) Ground shaking is expected to occur since the pull-however, less than significant impacts are expected to and truck movement. No new buildings are part of the pull-	occur since the i	majority of the busines	s will be relate	d to trucks
		3)	Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction and seiche/tsunami?			$\boxtimes$	
			<ol> <li>The area is not within or near a Tsunami inundatio Map<sup>4</sup>; therefore, no impacts are expected.</li> </ol>	n area accordin	g to the California Off	icial Tsunami I	Inundation
		4)	Landslides?				$\boxtimes$
			4) Per the Imperial County General Plan Landslide Ac project site is not located within a landslide activity are				ement, the
	b)	b) '	ult in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? The proposed project is not located within an area of eroment, Figure 3; therefore, potential impact are expected to			eismic and Pu	☐ blic Safety
	c)	wou pote sub	located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable or that all become unstable as a result of the project, and entially result in on- or off-site landslides, lateral spreading, sidence, liquefaction or collapse?				
		as a	The project site is not known to be located on unstable ge a result of the trucking terminal. Compliance with Californ impact less than significant.				
	d)	Buil	ocated on expansive soil, as defined in the latest Uniform ding Code, creating substantial direct or indirect risk to life roperty?				
ا ع							

Potentially

<sup>3</sup> California Department of Conservation Earthquake Zone Map
https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/EQZApp/

4 Department of Conservation Tsunami Inundation Map - http://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/informationwarehouse/index.html?map=tsunami
5 I.C. Seismic and Public Safety Element https://www.icpds.com/assets/planning/seismic-and-public-safety.pdf

			Potentially Significant Impact (PSI)	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No Impact (NI)
		d) The scope of work does not include new buildings, and therefore, less than significant impacts are expected.	no records of ex	kpansive soil were fou	und on file for t	this parcel;
	e)	Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?			$\boxtimes$	
		e) The site is currently developed with existing septic/waste the existing system since there are no proposed parking applicant will be required to go under a Plan review with I. proposed project will adequately support the use of septic significant levels.	areas over any C. Environment	leach fields. For any tal Health Department	new wastewa t (EHS) to ensu	ter system ire that the
	f)	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?			$\boxtimes$	
		f) The proposed project does not appear to affect any un geologic features or paleontological resources on site and impacts are anticipated.				
VIII.	GR	EENHOUSE GAS EMISSION Would the project:				
	a)	Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?			$\boxtimes$	
		a) The applicant describes this project as an "over the road north to western states and return for a day or two for maint also expected on the facility for about a day or two for possi are expected with the use of trucks and the applicant has an compliance with APCD and their regulations will keep potent	enance. There ar ble repairs or ma approved opera	e five short-range true aintenance as needed tional dust control pla	cks for deliverion. No substantian No with APCD. (	es that are Il impacts
	b)	Conflict with an applicable plan or policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?			$\boxtimes$	
		b) The proposed project is subject to Regulation VIII and Ruwell as with the Operational Dust Control Plan will help lowe				gulation as
IX.	HA	ZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS Would the project	ot:			
	a)	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?			$\boxtimes$	
		<ul> <li>The proposed project is not expected to create a significult include any handling of hazardous materials. Impacts are co</li> </ul>	icant hazard to t nsidered to be le	he public or the envires than significant.	ronment since	it does not
	b)	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonable foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?		$\boxtimes$		
		b) The project consists of a trucking facility that transports from out of state, such as lumber, grass seed, onions, potat Measure HHM-1 would lower potential impacts to less than s	oes and plastic	packaging material. Co		
		Mitigation Measure HHM-1:				
		Pursuant to the I. C. Fire Department's comment letter dated submitted to Certified Unified Program Agency (CUP) for the reported to I.C. Fire Department and CUPA as required by the	their review and	lazardous Waste Mate approval. All spills s	rial Plan (HWM shall be docum	P) shall be nented and
	c)	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely			$\bowtie$	

Incorporated Impact No Impact Impact (PSI) (PSUMI) (NI) (LTSI) hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school? c) The proposed project is located approximately 1.55 miles south of the Imperial Valley College. Compliance with an approved HWMP as stated above will lower potential impacts to less than significant levels. Be located on a site, which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code  $\boxtimes$ Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment? d) The project site was not included on a list of hazardous materials sites according to the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) the DTSC EnviroStor Database<sup>6</sup>. Also, there are no known hazardous sites within 10,000 feet radius of the site; therefore, no impacts are anticipated. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public  $\boxtimes$ airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard or excessive noise for people residing or working in the e) The project site is located approximately 4.75 miles southeast of the Imperial Airport and not within any of the compatibility zoning areas, according to the Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (Figure 3E)<sup>7</sup>; therefore, no impacts are expected. Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an  $\boxtimes$ adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan? f) The proposed project is not expected to interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan. Any impacts are expected to be less than significant. Expose people or structures, either directly or indirectly, to a M significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires? g) According to the Cal Fire Draft Fire Hazard Severity Zones in LRA for Imperial County Map, the proposed project parcel is not located within a Fire Hazard Severity Zone, and the area is not surrounded by wildland; therefore, less than significant levels are expected. X. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY Would the project: Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge a) X requirements or otherwise substantially degrade surface or ground water quality? a) The project scope of work does not include any changes to the existing buildings regarding water or wastewater; therefore, less than significant impacts are expected regarding impacts to water. Substantially decrease groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project  $\boxtimes$ П may impede sustainable groundwater management of the basin? b) The proposed project would not require the usage of groundwater nor groundwater recharge; therefore, less than significant impacts are expected. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream  $\boxtimes$ or river or through the addition of impervious surfaces, in a manner which would: (i) result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site; (i) According to the Imperial County General Plan Erosion Activity Map, Figure 3, of the Seismic and Public Safety Element, the area is designated as low activity. There is plenty of undeveloped area to be left undisturbed, so no changes to drainage patters are expected; therefore, less than significant impacts are expected.

Potentially Significant

Unless Mitigation

Potentially

Significant

Less Than

Significant

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> EnviroStor Database http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/

Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan http://www.icpds.com/CMS/Media/Airport-Locations.pdf

			Potentially Significant Impact (PSI)	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No Impact (NI)
		<ul> <li>substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or offsite;</li> </ul>			$\boxtimes$	
		(ii) The proposed project is not expected to substant which would result in flooding on- or offsite as th buildings are proposed. Any impact is expected to	e site is develo	ped with existing build		
		<ul> <li>(iii) create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff; or;</li> </ul>			$\boxtimes$	
		(iii) The proposed project is not expected to create of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems no new buildings are proposed. Impacts are expected.	nor provide sub	stantial additional sou		
		(iv) impede or redirect flood flows?				$\boxtimes$
		(iv) The project site is located on Zone X, per Federa Rate Map Panel 06025C1725C effective September	l Emergency M 26, 2008; there	anagement Agency's fore, no impacts are e	(FEMA) <sup>8</sup> Flood xpected.	Insurance
	d)	In flood hazard, tsunami, or seiche zones, risk release of pollutants due to project inundation?  d) The proposed project site is not located in a Tsunami Inunc	O Area ace		Emergency M	
		Agency and the Department of Conservation <sup>9</sup> ; therefore, no i		_	Emergency wa	anayement
	۵)	Conflict with an abstract implementation of a vactor quality		•		
	e)	Conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality control plan or sustainable groundwater management plan?  e) The proposed project site has been previously disturbed significant impacts are expected.	d and no new i	nfrastructure is propo	Sed; therefore	, less than
XI.	LA	ND USE AND PLANNING Would the project:				
	a)	Physically divide an established community?  a) The proposed project is not expected to divide any established agricultural land and the proposed use is industrial, therefore			Ounded by ind	⊠ ustrial and
	b)	Cause a significant environmental impact due to a conflict with any land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the			$\boxtimes$	
		purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?  b) The proposed project consists on a trucking facility, which county Land Use Ordinance Title 9, Division 5, Section 90516 County's General Plan nor Land Use Ordinance. The applicant compliance with the conditions of approval. Compliance wignificant levels.	.02, and therefo t is subject to C	re it is not expected to UP approval and is exp	conflict with the conflict wit	he Imperial continued
XII.	MII	NERAL RESOURCES Would the project:				
	a)	Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?  a) The project site is not located in an area classified to be			Source per the	⊠ California
		Department of Conservation- Mineral Land Classification 10; to	herefore, no imp	pacts are anticipated.		
	b)	Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral				
8	FEM	A- https://www.fema.gov/flood-maps/national-flood-hazard-layer				

<sup>9</sup> https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/tsunami/maps 10 California Department of Conservation - https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/informationwarehouse/index.html?map=mlc

Potentially Significant Potentially Less Than Significant Unless Mitigation Significant Impact Incorporated Impact No Impact (PSI) (PSUMI) (LTSI) (NI)

resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?

XIII.

XIV.

b) Although the Imperial County General Plan, Conservation and Open Space Element- Figure 8- Existing Mineral Resources Map<sup>11</sup> appears to identify the project area as a "Sand and Gravel, Construction" site, no minerals are part of the scope of work; therefore, less than significant impacts are expected.

NO	SE Would the project result in:				
a)	Generation of a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?  a) The proposed project parcel is located on a property the in the Imperial County Noise Element 12 on Table 6 "Roa from an State Highway and within one-quarter mile (1,32 parcel is also zoned M-2 (Medium Industrial), and the pracceptable" noise levels shown in the Noise/Land Use C parcel has an applicable one-hour average sound level (located 700 feet Southwest from the proposed truck pacause a significant noise impact to sensitive receptors a densely populated. Therefore, it is expected that complising significant levels.	adway Noise Impact 20 feet) of existing roposed trucking fir compatibility Guidel (decibels) limit of 7! irking yard, the pro-	t Zones" since it is to farmland which is in rm operation seems lines for Industrial Z 5 decibels. Since the ject is not consider tys. Additionally, the	within a 1,100 fe n an agricultura to be within the ones. The induse closest rural re ed to have the p e vicinity area is	et distance I zone. The "normally strial zoned esidence is potential to not highly
b)	Generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?			$\boxtimes$	
	b) Since no new development is being proposed, no generation Noise Element of the Imperial County will lessen potential is	ation of ground bor impacts to less that	rne vibration is expe n significant levels.	ected. Complian	ce with the
c)	For a project located within the vicinity of a private airstrip or an airport land use plan or where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?  c) The proposed project is not located within the vicinity of County Airport per the Imperial County Airport Land Use Copeople to excessive noise levels. Impacts are considered levels.	ompatibility Plan; t	herefore the project		
POF	PULATION AND HOUSING Would the project:				
a)	Induce substantial unplanned population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and business) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?  a) The proposed project consists on a trucking facility that week. No residential development is anticipated to be impact away from residential zones and because no new employee less than significant impacts are expected to regarding population.	cted as a result of p es are anticipated as	roject approval sind	e the project sit	te is not far
b)	Displace substantial numbers of existing people or housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?  b) The zone for this parcel is medium industrial, so no respected regarding housing.	□ houses are on site	nor on surrounding	☐ g parcels. No ir	⊠ mpacts are

 <sup>11</sup> I.C. Conservation and Open Space Element https://www.icpds.com/assets/planning/conservation-open-space-element-2016.pdf
 12 I.C. Noise Element https://www.icpds.com/assets/planning/noise-element-2015.pdf

Potentially Significant Impact (PSI)

Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)

Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)

No Impact (NI)

expected regarding housing.

XV.	Pl	JBLIC SERVICES						
	a)	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:  a) The project site was used for an office and construction m facility, which would reuse the existing buildings and would ratios is expected from any public services. except for Treategory.	use the rear yard	as parking. No sub	stantial increase	e in service		
		1) Fire Protection?  1) The Imperial County Fire Department has reviewed the prequirements. Compliance with the following mitigation mean potential impacts to less than significant levels.						
		Mitigation Measure FD - 1 An approved water supply capable of supplying the required California Fire Code shall be installed and maintained. Privaccordance with NFPA 24.						
		Mitigation Measure FD - 2						
		Fire department access roads shall be a width of a least 20 fe These roads will be provided with approved turn around a accordance with the current adapted fire code and the facility	pproved by Impe	rial County Fire De	partment. Gates			
		Mitigation Measure FD - 3						
		A Secondary access shall be required and shall be kept clear	of vehicle conge	stion and other fact	ors that could li	mit access.		
		Mitigation Measure FD - 4						
		All storage and handling of flammable and combustible liquids shall be in accordance with the California Fire Code and all federal, state, and local regulations, codes, and ordinances.						
		As mentioned in the Hazard and Hazardous Materials Categor to Certified Unified Program Agency (CUPA) for their review Imperial County Fire Department and CUPA as required by the for all hazardous materials on site.	v and approval. A	ll spills shall be do	cumented and I	reported to		
		Finally, the project shall be in compliance at all times with recrequirements. Imperial County Fire Department shall conduct				nances and		
		<ul><li>2) Police Protection?</li><li>2) Although no comment letters were received from the Cousince the majority of the staff are expected to be out of Staroutes and away from the facility except for maintenance.</li></ul>						
		3) Schools?				$\boxtimes$		
		3) The proposed project does not propose residential uses; new or physically altered public school facilities, no impacts		d not cause or con	tribute a need to			
		4) Parks?						
		4) The proposed project is not expected to result in advers	لــا se physical impac	اسا cts in parks as no	ك residential deve	⊠ lopment is		

		<b>5</b>	Potentially		
		Potentially Significant	Significant Unless Mitigation	Less Than Significant	
		Impact	Incorporated	Impact	No Impact
-		(PSI)	(PSUMI)	(LTSI)	(NI)
	proposed. No impacts are expected.				
	<ul><li>5) Other Public Facilities?</li><li>5) The proposed project does not anticipate new development therefore, an increase in demand for other public facilities seems.</li></ul>			or a similar ind	⊠ ustrial use;
XVI. F	RECREATION				
a)	Would the project increase the use of the existing				
-,	neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the				$\boxtimes$
	facility would occur or be accelerated?		_	_	
	<ul> <li>a) The proposed project is for a trucking terminal and does are expected to recreational facilities.</li> </ul>	s not propose an	y type of residential u	se; therefore,	no impacts
b)	Does the project include recreational facilities or require the	_	_	_	_
	construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse effect on the environment?				$\boxtimes$
	<ul> <li>The proposed project does not include recreational fa recreational facilities, therefore, no impacts are anticipated.</li> </ul>	cilities nor woul	ld it require the cons	truction or ex	pansion of
10 m ===					
XVII. <b>TF</b>	RANSPORTATION Would the project:				
a)	Conflict with a program plan, ordinance or policy addressing				
	the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle and pedestrian facilities?	Ц			Ш
	a) The applicant has provided a Traffic Report as requested in				
	PWD's November 1, 2022 letter, has requested a traffic analy access shall be completed in five (5) year intervals after the C	CUP recordation (	ne need for the install date, if approved, or a	s required by t	he Director
	of Public Works. Comments regarding the required traffic at #22-0006. compliance with the following mitigation to less				
	significant impacts to program plans, the County's ordinance				
	Mitigation-Measure PWD – 1				
	A left-hand turn lane at old SR-111 is necessary based upo road.	n the ITE genera	tors that where evalu	ated for the sp	peed of the
b)	Would the project conflict or be inconsistent with the CEQA	П	П	$\boxtimes$	· 🗀
	Guidelines section 15064.3, subdivision (b)?  b) The applicant has responded to PWD's request for a Traff	ic Report and it w	vas found that a loft tu		nococcany
	to lessen impacts. The applicant's traffic report appears to cless than significant impacts are expected regarding conflict miles travelled (VMT).	cover all of the as	spects that PWD requ	ested informa	tion on, so
c)	Substantially increases hazards due to a geometric design				
,	feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?			$\boxtimes$	
	c) The proposed project consists on a trucking facility, reusi features that would increase hazards to traffic; therefore, less	ing the existing p s than significant	aved roads and buildi t impacts are expected	ngs. There are i.	no design
d)	Result in inadequate emergency access?	П		$\square$	
1	d) The proposed project parcel has an existing access from under the Conditions of Approval Mitigation Measure	Old State Highw		with PWD's rec	uiremetns
	PWD 1 will lessen notantial impacts to less than significant	lovole			

				Potentially Significant Impact ( <b>PSI</b> )	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No Impact (NI)
		by Impe	rial County but no comments were received on this pr	oject. Therefore i	impacts are expected t	to be less than	significant.
		(i)	Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as define in Public Resources Code Section 5020.1(k), or  (i) The project site is not listed under the California	Listorical Resou	Urgon in County of Imp	⊠	
			to be eligible under Public Resources Code Section expected.	21074 or 5020.1	(k); therefore, less that	an significant i	mpacts are
		(ii)	A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth is subdivision (c) of Public Resource Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American Tribe.  (ii) It appears that no previous history or association therefore, impacts are considered less than signific		 historical resources h	⊠ as been identifi	ied on site;
XIX.	UTI	ILITIES A	ND SERVICE SYSTEMS Would the project:				
	a)	expande drainage facilities environn a) The I is antici expande	or result in the relocation or construction of new or ed water, wastewater treatment or stormwater e, electric power, natural gas, or telecommunications, the construction of which could cause significant nental effects?  project is proposed in a previously developed parcel pated; therefore, the proposed project is not expected water, wastewater treatment or stormwater drainage struction of which could cause significant environment.	d to require or re ge, electric powe	sult in the relocation	or construction	n of new or
			nally, Imperial Irrigation District is requesting an encr roperty. No foundations or buildings will be allowed			construction o	r operation
		is not linetc.). IID	relocated, modified or reconstructed IID facilities app mited to electrical utility substations, electrical trans should be consulted prior to the installation of any on adjacent facilities to mitigate or avoid impacts to I	mission and dist facilities adjace	tribution lines, water o	deliveries, cana	als, drains,
		Applica	nt compliance with IID requirements is expected to b	ring any impacts	to less than significal	nt levels.	
	b)	from exist during no	fficient water supplies available to serve the project sting and reasonably foreseeable future development ormal, dry and multiple dry years? applicant, the project will obtain water services from	IID it is expect.	ed that it would have	Sufficient water	er supplies
		available provide	e for the proposed project, additionally; applicant m r pursuant to the State of California Safe Drinking red to be less than significant.	ust have its pota	ible water delivered b	y a state-appro	oved water
	c)	provider adequate addition c) The	in a determination by the wastewater treatment which serves or may serve the project that it has e capacity to serve the project's projected demand in to the provider's existing commitments?  proposed project has an existing septic system as ant impacts are expected.	nd no additional	buildings are propos	⊠ sed; therefore,	less than

<sup>13</sup> Office of Historic Preservation http://ohp.parks.ca.gov/ListedResources/?view=county&criteria=13

		Potentially Significant Impact (PSI)	Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No Impact (NI)
d)	Generate solid waste in excess of State or local standards, or in excess of the capacity of local infrastructure, or otherwise impair the attainment of solid waste reduction goals?  d) The proposed project consists on a trucking facility that we have the consists of the	ill take product	in and out of Imperial	⊠ Valloy and will	not use the
	parcel as storage. No solid waste in excess of the capacity of impact are expected.				
e)	Comply with federal, state, and local management and reduction statutes and regulations related to solid waste?  e) The proposed project does not require a solid waste plan			⊠ il, state and loc	al statues
	and regulations related to solid waste; therefore, less than si	ignificant impact	ts are expected.		
<Χ. <b>W</b>	LDFIRE				
If loca	ated in or near state responsibility areas or lands classified as very h	igh fire hazard se	verity zones, would the	Project:	
a)	Substantially impair an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?			$\boxtimes$	
	<ul> <li>a) Compliance with Fire Department's requirement for acces lessen any potential impacts to less than significant levels.</li> </ul>	s roads with app	proved turns and a se	condary access	s shall
b)	Due to slope, prevailing winds, and other factors, exacerbate wildfire risks, and thereby expose project occupants to pollutant concentrations from a wildfire or the uncontrolled spread of a wildfire?			$\boxtimes$	
	<ul> <li>b) The proposed project is surrounded by flat agricultural a Fire Department requirements per letter dated May 6, 2022; the</li> </ul>				
c)	Require the installation or maintenance of associated infrastructure (such as roads, fuel breaks, emergency water sources, power lines or other utilities) that may exacerbate fire risk or that may result in temporary or ongoing impacts to the				$\boxtimes$
	<ul><li>environment?</li><li>c) The project will not be required to install any infrastructur expected.</li></ul>	e that may exac	erbate fire risks; there	efore, no impac	ts are
d)	Expose people or structures to significant risks, including downslope or downstream flooding or landslides, as a result of runoff, post-fire slope instability, or drainage changes?				
	d) The proposed project is not expected to expose people or result of runoff, post-fire slope instability or drainage change				

Potentially

Note: Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21083.05, Public Resources Code. Reference: Section 65088.4, Gov. Code; Sections 21080(c), 21080.1, 21080.3, 21083, 21083.05, 21083.3, 21093, 21094, 21095, and 21151, Public Resources Code; Sundstrom v. County of Mendocino, (1988) 202 Cal. App. 3d 296; Leonoff v. Monterey Board of Supervisors, (1990) 222 Cal. App. 3d 1337; Eureka Citizens for Responsible Govt. v. City of Eureka (2007) 147 Cal. App. 4th 357; Protect the Historic Amador Waterways v. Amador Water Agency (2004) 116 Cal. App. 4th at 1109; San Franciscans Upholding the Downtown Plan v. City and County of San Francisco (2002) 102 Cal. App. 4th 656.

Revised 2009- CEQA Revised 2011- ICPDS Revised 2016 - ICPDS Revised 2017 - ICPDS Revised 2019 - ICPDS

Potentially
Potentially
Significant
Significant
Unless Mitigation
Impact
Impact
(PSI)

Potentially
Significant
Less Than
Significant
Impact
Impact
No Impact
(LTSI)
(NI)

#### **SECTION 3**

#### **III. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE**

The following are Mandatory Findings of Significance in accordance with Section 15065 of the CEQA Guidelines.

a)	Does the project have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, eliminate tribal cultural resources or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?		
b)	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.)		
c)	Does the project have environmental effects, which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?		

#### IV. PERSONS AND ORGANIZATIONS CONSULTED

This section identifies those persons who prepared or contributed to preparation of this document. This section is prepared in accordance with Section 15129 of the CEQA Guidelines.

#### A. COUNTY OF IMPERIAL

- Jim Minnick, Director of Planning & Development Services
- Michael Abraham, AICP, Assistant Director of Planning & Development Services
- Diana Robinson, Planning Division Manager
- Imperial County Air Pollution Control District
- Imperial County Public Works
- Imperial County Environmental Health Department
- Imperial County Ag Commissioner

#### **B. OTHER AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS**

- Imperial Irrigation District
- Quechan Indian Tribe

(Written or oral comments received on the checklist prior to circulation)

#### ٧. REFERENCES

- Clean Water Act, Section 404 https://www.epa.gov/cwa-404/clean-water-act-section-404
- I.C. General Plan Conservation & Open Space Element https://www.icpds.com/assets/planning/conservation-open-space-element-2016.pdf

California Department of Conservation Earthquake Zone Map

https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/EQZApp/
Department of Conservation Tsunami Inundation Map http://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/informationwarehouse/index.html?map=tsunami

- I.C. Seismic and Public Safety Element https://www.icpds.com/assets/planning/seismic-and-public-
- EnviroStor Database http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/
- Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan http://www.icpds.com/CMS/Media/Airport-Locations.pdf

FEMA- https://www.fema.gov/flood-maps/national-flood-hazard-layer

10. Department of Conservation Tsunami Inundation Maps https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/tsunami/maps

- California Department of Conservation https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/informationwarehouse/index.html?map=mlc
  I.C. Conservation and Open Space Element https://www.icpds.com/assets/planning/conservation-openspace-element-2016.pdf
- 13. I.C. Noise Element https://www.icpds.com/assets/planning/noise-element-2015.pdf
- 14. Office of Historic Preservation http://ohp.parks.ca.gov/ListedResources/?view=county&criteria=13
- **4.15**. "County of Imperial General Plan EIR", prepared by Brian F. Mooney & Associates in 1993; and as Amended by County in 1996, 1998, 2001, 2003, 2006 & 2008, 2015, 2016.
- 16. Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan
- http://www.icpds.com/CMS/Media/Airport-Locations.pdf
- California Department of Conservation Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program (2016) ftp://ftp.consrv.ca.gov/pub/dlrp/FMMP/pdf/2016/imp16.pdf
- 3. Imperial County Williamson Act FY 2016/2017 Map
- 4. California Department of Conservation Earthquake Zone Map
- https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/EQZApp/
- 5. Department of Conservation Tsunami Inundation Maps
  - http://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/informationwarehouse/index.html?map=tsunami
- EnviroStor Database
  - http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/
- Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan
- http://www.icpds.com/CMS/Modia/Airport-Locations.pdf
- 8. FEMA
  - https://www.fema.gov/flood-maps/national-flood-hazard-layer
- California Emergency Management Agency and the Department of Conservation https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/tsunami/maps
- California Department of Conservation
  - https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/informationwarehouse/index.html?map=mlc
- Office of Historic Preservation

#### VI. **NEGATIVE DECLARATION – County of Imperial**

The following Negative Declaration is being circulated for public review in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act Section 21091 and 21092 of the Public Resources Code.

**Project Name:** 

Conditional Use Permit #22-0006 DACSA Trucking/ Initial Study #22-0008

**Project Applicant:** 

DACSA Trucking LLC

**Project Location:** 

The project site is located at 2095 Old Highway 111, El Centro CA 92243. The parcel is identified as Assessor's Parcel Numbers (APN) 044-460-042-000 and -032-000 and is legally described as Portion of Parcel 80-A of Lot Line Adjustment 80 of Parcel 1 of Parcel Map 1312 of Tract 40, Township 15 South, Range 14 East, and a Portion of Parcel 80-A of Lot Line Adjustment 80 of Parcel 3 of Parcel Map 1312 of Tract 40, Township 15 South, Range 14 East, S.B.B.M., in an unincorporated area of the County of Imperial.

Description of Project: The project consists of a trucking facility that transports goods in and out of the Imperial Valley to over 11 western states, such as Nevada, Utah, and California, with primary focus being Oregon and Washington. The applicant has been operating within the El Centro City limits and is looking to relocate to the project site.

> There are 27 employees and 22 semi-trucks. The applicant intends to have a fleet of 25 semitrucks within the next 5 years. Trucks are proposed to leave the facility on Sunday afternoons or Monday mornings, return on Friday or Saturday afternoons for maintenance and to park within the designated parking yard as depicted on the application site plan, thru an existing paved road.

Project site has three existing buildings as depicted in the application site plan:

- Building #1 has an existing retail space operation for truck and trailer parts retail space. It also provides administrative offices for DACSA Trucking, LLC.
- Buildings #2 and #3 are used for an existing business that provides truck maintenance and repairs and will also provide service to the proposed project trucks. Maintenance includes oil changes, tire rotations, and routine inspections.

The following product is brought back down to the Imperial Valley: lumber, grass seed, onions, potatoes, and plastic packaging material, among other commodities.

#### VII. FINDINGS

determi	ine if the	project may	unty of Imperial, acti have a significant ef ollowing findings:					
		•	s that there is no substa NEGATIVE DECLARA				iay have a s	significant effect on
		The Initia	Study identifies poten	ıtially signi	ficant effect	s but:		
	(1)	was released	de or agreed to by the for public review would effects would occur.				•	_
	(2)	There is no su the environme	ubstantial evidence bef ent.	ore the ag	ency that th	e project m	ay have a s	significant effect on
	(3)	Mitigation mea	asures are required to e	ensure all p	ootentially s	ignificant in	npacts are r	educed to levels of
		A NEGAT	TIVE DECLARATION W	vill be prep	ared.			
to supp availabl	ort this f	inding are incl	ration means that an I uded in the attached inty of Imperial, Planr -1736.	Initial Stu	dy. The pro	oject file a	nd all relate	ed documents are
				NOTICE				
The pub	olic is inv	ited to comme	ent on the proposed I	Negative I	Declaration	during the	e review pe	eriod.
Date of I	Determina	ation .	Jim Minnick, Director o	f Planning	& Developr	nent Servio	es	
		•	dges and accepts the l Mitigation Measures, if					nmittee (EEC) and
				<u> </u>	pplicant Sig	nature		Date

#### **SECTION 4**

VIII.

**RESPONSE TO COMMENTS** 

(ATTACH DOCUMENTS, IF ANY, HERE)

IX.	MITIGATION MONITORING & REPORTING PROGRAM (MMRP)
(ATTACH DOCUME	ENTS, IF ANY, HERE)
S:\AllUsers\APN\044\460\0	32\CUP22-0006\EEC\CUP22-0006 INITIAL STUDY.docx

# MITIGATION, MONTORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

# DRAFT MITIGATION MEASURES PURSUANT TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION COMMITTEE November 17, 2022 DACSA Trucking, LLC [CUP #22-0006]

(APN 044-460-032 & -042-000)

(CEQA - Mitigated Negative Declaration)

Pursuant to the review and recommendations of the Imperial County Environmental Evaluation Committee (EEC) on November 17, 2022, the following Mitigation Measures are hereby proposed for the project:

#### HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS:

Mitigation Measure H&HM -1

Pursuant to the I. C. Fire Department's comment letter dated May 6, 2022, a Hazardous Waste Material Plan (HWMP) shall be submitted to Certified Unified Program Agency (CUP) for their review and approval. All spills shall be documented and reported to I.C. Fire Department and CUPA as required by the HWMP.

(Monitoring Agency: Imperial County Fire Department/Planning & Development Services Department)

FIRE DEPARTMENT:

Mitigation Measure FD – 1

An approved water supply capable of supplying the required fire flow determined by the fire code official, appendix B in the California Fire Code shall be installed and maintained. Private fire service mains and appurtenance shall be installed in accordance with NFPA 24.

#### Mitigation Measure FD - 2

Fire department access roads shall be a width of a least 20 feet and all weather surface capable of supporting fire apparatus. These roads will be provided with approved turn around approved by Imperial County Fire Department. Gates will be in accordance with the current adapted fire code and the facility will maintain a Knox Box/lock for access on site.

#### Mitigation Measure FD - 3

A Secondary access shall be required and shall be kept clear of vehicle congestion and other factors that could limit access.

#### Mitigation Measure FD - 4

All storage and handling of flammable and combustible liquids shall be in accordance with the California Fire Code and all federal, state, and local regulations, codes, and ordinances.

As mentioned in the Hazard and Hazardous Materials Category, a Hazardous Waste Material Plan (HWMP) shall be submitted to Certified Unified Program Agency (CUPA) for their review and approval. All spills shall be documented and reported to Imperial County Fire Department and CUPA as required by the Hazardous Waste Material Plan. The HMMP shall be required for all hazardous materials on site.

Finally, the project shall be in compliance at all times with requirements in the California Fire Code and local ordinances and requirements. Imperial County Fire Department shall conduct annual fire and life safety inspections.

(Monitoring Agency: Imperial County Fire Department/Planning & Development Services Department)

#### **PUBLIC WORKS:**

#### Mitigation Measure PWD 1

A left hand turn lane at old SR111 is necessary based upon the ITE generators that where evaluated for the speed of the road.

(Monitoring Agency: Imperial County Public Works Department/Planning & Development Services Department)

S:\AllUsers\APN\044\460\032\CUP22-0006\EEC\CUP22-0006 MM&RP 11-17-22.docx

COMMENTS



COUNTY OF

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

155 S. 11th Street El Centro, CA 92243

Tei: (442) 265-1818 Fox: (442) 265-1858

Follow Us:



www.facebook.com/ ImperialCountyDPW



https://twitter.com/ CountyDpw/

#### Public Works works for the Public



November 1, 2022

Mr. Jim Minnick, Director Planning & Development Services Department 801 Main Street El Centro, CA 92243

Attention:

Diana Robinson, Planning Division Manager

SUBJECT:

**CUP 22-0006 DASCA Trucking, LLC** 

Located on 2095 old Highway 111, El Centro, CA.

APN's 044-460-032 & 044-460-042

Dear Mr. Minnick:

This letter is in response to your submittal received by this department on April 19, 2022 for the above mentioned project. The applicant proposes the operation of a Trucking Firm that transports goods in and out of the Imperial Valley.

Department staff has reviewed the package information, including two versions of a document prepared by Intersecting Metrics dated June 22, 2022 and October 24, 2022 related to project trip generation and traffic patterns. The following comments shall be Conditions of Approval:

- 1. A traffic analysis to evaluate the need for the installation of turn lanes for site access shall be completed in five (5) year intervals after the CUP recordation date or as required by the Director of Public Works.
- 2. The traffic analysis shall be completed by this Department and financed by the Developer.
- 3. If the traffic analysis determines that turn lanes are required, the Developer shall either:
  - a. complete off-site improvements along Old Highway 111 to provide for turn lanes
  - b. or provide financial security (in the form of bonds or letter of credit) for 150% of the cost estimate of such improvements.

The development will have 12 months to provide the necessary design plans, bonding and start of construction after determination by the Department that turn lanes are necessary.

- 4. The Department will recommend that the CUP be revoked if the Developer fails to meet any of these conditions as specified.
- 5. Alternatives to asphalt paving for the onsite parking lot may be considered in consultation with the Planning Department. A grading plan (or drainage letter) will be

required that details the type of cover for the parking lot along with grading information to ensure the site controls all storm water runoff per County requirements.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact this office. Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on this project.

Respectfully,

Bv:

John A. Gay, P.E.

Director of Public Works

GM/gv

## Mariela Moran

Sent:	Tuesday, July 1	2, 2022 10:33 AM	:i@dot.ca.gov>
To: Subject:	Mariela Moran DASCA Truckin	ng LLC (Old Highway SR-111)	
	nail originated outsic	de our organization; please use o	caution.
Hi Mariela,			
After reviewing the docu	ments you provided, Cal	trans has not additional comments on	this project.
	– Margo Sanchez/Ana L // Sandra <u>M</u> endivil/ Carlos Claverie	Castillo - District #5  IC Fire/OES Office - Andrew Loper/ Alfredo Estrada/Robert Malek	H. Jill McCormick/Jordan D. Joaquii Torres-Martinez Desert Cahuilla Indians – Thomas Tortez
From: Project ID:	Diana Robinson, Planning Conditional Use Permit #2	Division Manager - (442) 265-1736 or ICF 22-0006	PDSCommentLetters@co.imperial.ca.
Project Location:	2095 Old Highway 111, E	i Centro, CA 92243 / APN 044-460-032-00	0 & 044-460-042-000
Project Description:	The applicant proposes the	ne operation of a Trucking Firm that transp	orts goods in and out of the Imperial \
Applicants:	DASCA Trucking, LLC		
Comments due by:	May 4th, 2022 at 5:00PM		
COMMENTS: (attach a	separate sheet if necessary) (if	no comments, please state below and mail, fax,	or e-mail this sheet to Case Planner)
Name:	Signature:	Title:	
Date:	Telephone No.:	E-mail:	
MAIVGIS:\AMJsers\APN\044	M6010321CUP22-00061CUP22-0006 F	Request for Comments 04 19 22.docx	
Thank you			
From: Mariela Moran <n Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2 To: Sanchez Rangel, Roge Subject: RE: Test</n 	2022 1:32 PM		

Thank you,

Please also find Traffic Report.

**EXTERNAL EMAIL. Links/attachments may not be safe.** 

From: Mariela Moran

Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 1:30 PM

**To:** Roger Sanchez < <u>roger.sanchez-rangel@dot.ca.gov</u>> **Subject:** RE: CUP22-0006 Request for comments

Roger,

Per our conversation, please find attachaed.

From: Sanchez Rangel, Rogelio@DOT < roger.sanchez-rangel@dot.ca.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 1:31 PM

To: Mariela Moran < Mariela Moran@co.imperial.ca.us >

Subject: Test

CAUTION: This email originated outside our organization; please use caution.

#### Rogelio Sanchez

Associate Transportation Planner California Department of Transportation roger.sanchez-rangel@dot.ca.gov Tel (619) 987-1043



June 22, 2022

David Aguilera Dacsa Trucking LLC 105 W Commercial Ave El Centro, CA 92243

ICDPW Comments 10/24/22

Regarding: Dacsa Trucking Trip Generation

Dear Mr. Aguilera,

The purpose of this letter is to document the anticipated trip generation and traffic patterns that will be associated with proposed Dacsa trucking site (Proposed Project), to be located at 2095 CA-111 in the El Centro community of Imperial County. The Proposed Project will replace a batch asphalt plant, operated by Granite Construction, which previously occupied the site up until approximately a year ago. The Proposed Project location and site plan are displayed in **Figures 1**.

#### 1.0 Day to Day Operations

Dacsa Trucking operates approximately 20 weekly long-haul trucking routes between Calexico, CA and different areas located throughout the Pacific Northwest, as well as five shorter truck routes that travel to the Los Angeles area multiple times a week. The Proposed Project will move Dacsa's current operations approximately three miles east from 105 W Commercial Ave to 2095 CA-111 in the City of El Centro, as shown in the graphic below.







The 20 trucks conducting long-haul routes travel to the Dacsa site approximately once a week for maintenance. The trucks arrive at the Dacsa site at the beginning of their run, after they pick up their load for Calexico. The trucks are generally housed at the site for a day or two and then head out to run their route. The trucks typically arrive at the site on Friday or Saturday and leave the site for their routes on Sunday or Monday. The five trucks that serve the shorter routes typically run several routes without accessing the Dacsa site and generally only access the site twice a week for maintenance.

Dacsa currently has four employees that access the project site every day. Dacsa's hours of operation for the site are 7:00 AM to 5:00 PM; therefore, it assumed that all employees arrive during the AM peak hour and depart during the PM peak hour.

#### 2.0 Trip Generation

**Table 1** displays the anticipated trip generation that would be associated with the Proposed Project site. To be conservative, the following is assumed:

- Trucks would enter the site during the PM peak hour on Friday or Saturday and exit the site during the AM peak hour on Sunday or Monday.
- All five trucks serving the shorter routes would also access the site on the same day.
- Employees will arrive at the site during the AM peak hour and leave during the PM peak hour.
- Vendor trips, such as USPS and other delivery services, are anticipated to occur during the typical workday, thus, they would be outside of the typical peak hours.

Table 1: Proposed Project Trip Generation

		Trip Generation				Peak our		l Peak our
Туре	Amount	Rate	PCE	ADT	ln	Out	. □ In	Out
Friday & Saturday								2
Long-haul Trucks	10 <sup>1</sup>	1 / Truck	3	30	0	0	30	0
Shorter route Trucks	5	2 / Truck	3	30	0	15	15	0
Employees	4	2 / Employee	1	8	4	0	0	4
Vendors	2	2 / Vendor	1.5	6	0	0	0	0
			Total	74	4	15	45	4
Sunday & Monday						V and the		
Long-haul Trucks	10¹	1 / Truck	3	30	0	30	0	0
Shorter route Trucks	5	2 / Truck	3	30	0	15	15	0
Employees	4	2 / Employee	1	8	4	0	0	4
Vendors	2	2 / Vendor	1.5	6	0	0	0	0
			Total	74	4	45	15	4
Tuesday through Thu	rsday						7	
Long-haul Trucks	0	1 / Truck	3	0	0	0	0	0
Shorter route Trucks	5	2 / Truck	3	30	0	15	15	0
Employees	4	2 / Employee	1	8	4	0	0	4
Vendors	2	2 / Vendor	1.5	6	0	0	0	0
			Total	44	4	15	15	4

#### **Notes**

PCE: Passenger car equivalent factor

As shown the Proposed Project is anticipated to generate 74 daily trips Friday through Monday, and 44 daily trips on Tuesday through Thursday.

Per Table 12-7 of the ITE Traffic Engineering Handbook, any rural highways with a speed limit greater than 40 miles per hour require a deceleration lane for left turns when the number of left turns exceeds 10 vehicles per hour.

The number of left turns created by Long-Haul Trucks entering the project site from the south during Friday and Saturday PM Peak Hours is 30. The project trips exceeds the ITE threshold for a deceleration turn lane; therefore, the project is required to install a northbound left turn lane on Old Highway 111 at the project entrance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Assumes half the long haul trucks come in on Friday and the other half come in on Saturday, and half the trucks leave on Sunday and the other half leave on Monday.



#### 3.0 Trip Distribution

Long-haul truck trips are anticipated to access the Proposed Project site from SR-111 via Evan Hewes Highway and Old Highway 111. Truck trips entering the site will be coming from the south (from Calexico), and trucks exiting the site will head north on SR-111. The shorter truck routes would access the site to/from the north via SR-111.

Employees and vendors coming from Calexico are anticipated to access the Proposed Project site via SR-111, and those coming from El Centro are anticipated to access the site via Evan Hewes Highway.

#### 4.0 Current Traffic Patterns

**Table 2** displays the current average daily traffic volumes (ADT) and roadway segment level of service (LOS) for the roadway segments adjacent to the Proposed Project site.

Table 2: Current Roadway Operations Around the Project Site

Roadway	Segment	Roadway Classification!	LOS C Capacity <sup>1</sup>	ADT <sup>2</sup>	LOS'
Aten Road	West of Old Highway 111	Secondary Arterial	27,400	8,009	Α
Aten Koad	Old Highway 111 to SR-111	Secondary Arterial	27,400	7,522	Α
Evan Hewes	West of Old Highway 111	Secondary Arterial	27,400	9,619	Α
Highway	Old Highway 111to SR-111	Secondary Arterial	27,400	10,135	Α
Old Highway	North of Evan Hewes Highway	Collector	7,100	1,238	Α
111	South of Evan Hewes Highway	Collector	7,100	989	Α

#### <u>Notes</u>

898, per traffic counts

As shown, all roadway segments adjacent to the Proposed Project site currently operate at acceptable LOS A. All of the roadway segments have a substantial surplus of capacity, which should be sufficient to accommodate the additional traffic associated with the Proposed Project. Therefore, the implementation of the Proposed Project will not substantially degrade or impact roadway operations within the area.

#### 5.0 Vehicle Miles Traveled Analysis

As shown in Table 1, the Proposed Project is anticipated to generate 74 daily trips. This is below the "Small Project Criteria" outlined in the *California Governor's Office or Planning and Research's Technical Advisory on Evaluating Transportation Impacts in CEQA, December 2018*<sup>1</sup> of 110 daily trips. As such, the Proposed Project would be considered to have a less than significant Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) related impact. Additionally, as described in Section 1.0, the Proposed Project already operates in a different adjacent location and will be moved to a new location; thus, it is not anticipated to generate any additional VMT than current conditions. The Proposed Project will actually be located within a closer proximity to the current truck routes on SR-111 (approximately three miles); therefore, the Proposed Project may actually reduce the overall VMT generated within the area since trucks will not longer need to detour from their route to get to the site. Consequently, the implementation of the Proposed Project will not result in a significant VMT related impact, under section 15064.3(b) of the CEQA Guidelines.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Roadway classification and capacities are based on the standards set by the County's General Plan. Relevant excerpts from the plan are provided in **Attachment 1**.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Daily roadway segment counts were conducted in June 2022. Count worksheets are provided in Attachment 2.

https://opr.ca.gov/docs/20190122-743 Technical Advisory.pdf



#### 6.0 Conclusions

The Proposed Project is anticipated to generate a total of 74 trips on a daily basis (see Table 1). There is more than sufficient capacity in the surrounding roadway network to accommodate the traffic generated by the Proposed Project site (see Table 2), thus, no impact to existing traffic operations around the Proposed Project site are anticipated. Furthermore, the Proposed Project site is closer to the existing truck routes in which it serves; therefore, trucks will no longer need to travel three miles out of direction to reach the Dacsa site, which will reduce the overall VMT associated with the Proposed Project. As such, implementation of the Proposed Project would not result in a significant VMT related impact.

Per comments on Page 3, a left turn lane for northbound traffic on Old Highway 111 at the project entrance is required.

Sincerely

Stephen Cook, TE California TR: 2528


Steve@IntersectingMetrics.com



## Attachment 1 - General Plan Exerpts

Proposed Imperial Cou	TABLE 2 PROPOSED IMPERIAL COUNTY STANDARD STREET CLASSIFICATION AVERAGE DAILY VEHICLE TRIPS									
Road		Level of Service								
Class	X-Section	A	В	С	D	E				
Prime Arterial	106/126	22,200	37,000	44,600	50,000	57,000				
Major Arterial	82/102	14,800	24,700	29,600	33,400	37,000				
Secondary Arterial	64/84	13,700	22,800	27,400	30,800	34,200				
Collector	40/70	1,900	4,100	7,100	10,900	16,200				
Residential Street	36/60	uje	*	1,500	*	練				
Residential Cul-de-Sac or Loop Street	32/60	*	**	200	*	*				

Levels of service are not applied to residential streets since their primary purpose is to serve abutting lots, not carry through traffic. Levels of service normally apply to roads carrying through traffic between major trip generators and attractors.





# Attachment 2 - Daily Traffic Count Worksheets

City of El Centro Aten Road W/ Old Highway 111 24 Hour Directional Volume Count

ECO003 Site Code: 999-22587

Start	15-Jun-22	Easti	oound	Hour	Totals	West	bound	Hour	Totals	Combin	ed Totals
Time	Wed	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoo
12:00			52	0.00		9	74	7.50		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
12:15		3 6 5	66			9	56		i i		
12:30		5	57			8	62				
12:45		9	71	23	246	8 5 5	81	26	273	49	51
		3	85	23	240	5	56	20	2/3	49	31
01:00					1	5	50				
01:15		1	72			6	50				
01:30		4	70			10	60				
01:45		4	75	12	302	1	84	22	250	34	55
02:00		6	54			3	67				
02:15		10	79		1	3 7	55				
02:30		5	56		1	8	70				
02:45		4	65	25	254	3	67	21	259	46	51
03:00		12	55		201	13	62		-55		٠.
						11	77				
03:15		8	50								
03:30		15	65			11	69				
03:45		10	68	45	238	13	76	48	284	93	52
04:00		10	71			16	72				
04:15		11	67		1	14	75		1		
04:30		16	63		1	33	60				
04:45		19	54	56	255	43	54	106	261	162	51
05:00			101	50	200	24	56	100	201	102	31
		26							1		
05:15		36	80			35	91				
05:30		65	60			43	65				
05:45		39	63	166	304	63	66	165	278	331	58
06:00		27	54			33	53				
06:15		36	65			40	55		- 1		
06:30		56	51		1	43	61		1		
06:45		38	32	157	202	65	38	181	207	338	40
00.40				157	202			101	201	330	40
07:00		47	37		1	45	46				
07:15		62	45			55	38				
07:30		77	29			83	42				
07:45		76	24	262	135	103	30	286	156	548	29
08:00		59	24			58	34				
08:15		52	43			75	34				
08:30		41	30			46	26				
00.30			30	200	400		26	054	440	400	0.4
08:45		56	32	208	129	75	25	254	119	462	24
09:00		34	32			47	42				
09:15		31	38			47	26				
09:30		39	26			56	37		1		
09:45		48	24	152	120	68	31	218	136	370	25
10:00		44	20			51	35				
10:15		38	12		1	73	36				
10:30		52	16			50	28		1		
10.30		32		400	70	30		222	400	404	40
10:45		48	22	182	70	48	21	222	120	404	19
11:00		60	13			50	18				
11:15		47	14			64	19				
11:30		67	14			69	16		- 8		
11:45		42	12	216	53	61	8	244	61	460	11
Total		1504	2308	1504	2308	1793	2404	1793	2404	3297	471
ombined											
Total		38	12	38	12	419	97	41	97	80	09
		07.45		127		07:00					
M Peak		07:15	-	-	-	07:30	-	-	_	-	
Vol.		274			₹.	319		50	*	7.0	
P.H.F.		0.890				0.774					
M Peak	G-	2	05:00	-	<u>=</u>	-	03:15	<u> </u>	-		
Vol.	5.75	-	304		*	J=2	294		_	<del>1</del> 78	
P.H.F.			0.752				0.955				
			J., UL				2.000				
arcentea											
ercentag e		39.5%	60.5%			42.7%	57.3%				

City of El Centro Aten Road B/ Old Highway 111 - State Route 111 24 Hour Directional Volume Count

ECO004 Site Code: 999-22587

12:00	Start	15-Jun-22	Eastbe			Totals	Westi		Hour <sup>-</sup>		Combined	
12:15		Wed			Morning	Afternoon			Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon
12:30	12:00		2					54				
12:45	12:15		7				4			- 1		
12:45	12:30		5	56			9	65		- 1		
01:100	12:45		8		22	229	7	84	28	261	50	49
01:15											•	,,,
01:30			2			10						
01:45			2									
02:00 6 57			0									
02:15			5		16	295		84	22	254	38	54
02:30	02:00					1	4	60				
02:45				64		i i	6	53				
03:00				56		- 1	7	63				
03:00	02:45		4	53	26	230	3	63	20	239	46	46
03:15 8 46 11 72	03:00		11	53			12	53				
03:30						1	11	72				
0346						- 1		65				
04:100 9 67 04:15 100 59 144 67 04:130 18 63 04:45 114 50 51 239 48 58 109 256 160 05:00 26 86 86 05:15 32 77 30 92 05:30 51 55 16:00 22 47 26 44 26 29 07:15 33 56 07:30 69 28 77 38 07:30 69 38 32 274 146 508 08:15 41 43 81 34 98:30 41 29 92 6 08:30 41 29 92 6 08:45 48 30 175 125 74 24 259 114 434 09:00 34 29 09:15 30 38 18 10:15 41 13 16 66 83 2 215 134 356 10:10 38 18 10:15 411 13 68 37 10:20 38 18 10:30 41 18 18 64 38 17 10:30 41 18 18 61 18 11:15 44 13 11:15 44 13 18 61 18 11:15 11:15 44 13 11:15 44 13 11:15 44 13 11:15 44 13 11:15 44 13 11:15 44 13 11:15 44 13 11:15 44 13 13 61 18 18 11:15 44 13 11:15 44 13 11:15 44 13 11:15 44 13 11:15 44 13 11:15 44 13 11:15 44 13 11:15 44 13 11:15 44 13 11:15 44 13 11:15 44 13 11:15 44 13 11:15 44 13 11:15 44 13 11:15 44 13 13 61 18 18 18 11:15 44 13 13 10:15 44 13 13 10:15 44 13 13 10:15 44 13 13 10:15 44 13 13 10:15 44 13 13 10:15 44 13 13 10:15 44 1					42	224			47	255	00	47
04:16					43	221			47	255	90	47
04:30						- 1						
0445	04:15			59			14			1		
05:00								55				
05:00	04:45		14	50	51	239	48	58	109	256	160	49
05:16	05:00		26	86				50		- 1		
05:30			32	77				92				
05:45	05:30		51				45					
06:00	05:45		36		145	268	57	62	160	266	305	53
06:15 06:30 52 44	05.40		22		173	200		46	100	200	303	33
06:30			22					40				
06:45	06:15		33			4	34	45		1		
07:00								51				
07:15				38	141	187	60	35	161	177	302	36
07:30 07:45 62 22 234 124 98 32 274 146 508 08:00 45 23 08:15 41 43 08:30 41 29 49 26 08:45 68:48 30 175 125 74 24 259 114 434 09:00 34 29 47 40 09:15 30 38 424 55 37 09:45 41 13 68 37 10:00 38 18 10:15 41 13 68 37 10:30 41 18 10:45 46 19 166 68 39 20 209 116 375 11:15 41 13 68 37 11:15 44 13 11:15 44 13 11:15 44 13 11:15 44 13 11:45 40 14 191 45 73 13 242 62 433  Total  Combined Total  AM Peak - 07:15 07:30								39		- 1		
07:30 07:45 62 08:00 45 23 08:15 41 43 08:30 41 29 08:45 48 30 07:45 48 30 08:45 48 30 08:45 48 30 08:45 48 30 08:45 48 30 08:45 48 30 08:45 48 30 08:45 48 30 08:45 48 30 08:45 47 40 09:00 34 429 47 40 09:15 30 38 424 55 37 09:45 43 23 141 114 66 32 215 134 356 10:00 38 18 10:15 41 13 68 37 10:30 41 18 68 37 10:30 41 18 48 26 10:45 46 19 166 68 39 20 209 116 375 11:00 45 13 11:15 44 13 61 18 11:30 62 5 11:00 45 13 11:45 40 14 191 45 73 13 242 62 433  Total  Combined Total  AM Peak - 07:15 07:30	07:15		59	35			57	38		1		
07:45 62 22 234 124 98 32 274 146 508 08:00 45 23 81 34 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81	07:30		69									
08:00					234	124			274	146	508	27
08:15											333	
08:30										- 1		
08:45												
09:00 34 29 47 40 09:15 30 38 47 25 09:30 34 24 14 114 66 32 215 134 356 10:00 38 18 54 33 10:15 41 13 68 37 10:30 41 18 18 46 18 11:15 44 13 61 18 11:15 44 13 61 18 11:15 40 14 191 45 73 13 242 62 433 11:45 10:45 10:45 13					475	405			050		40.4	
09:15         30         38         47         25         99:30         34         24         55         37         37         356         37         356         37         356         37         356         37         356         37         356         37         356         37         356         36         37         356         36         37         356         356         37         356         356         36         37         356         356         36         37         356         356         36         37         36         36         37         36         36         37         36         36         37         36         37					1/5	125			259	114	434	23
09:30				29						1		
09:45     43     23     141     114     66     32     215     134     356       10:00     38     18     54     33     33     356       10:15     41     13     68     37     37       10:30     41     18     48     26       10:45     46     19     166     68     39     20     209     116     375       11:00     45     13     46     18     37     375 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>												
10:00 38 18 8 68 37 10:15 41 13 68 37 10:30 41 18 18 48 26 10:45 46 19 166 68 39 20 209 116 375 11:00 45 13 61 18 11:15 44 13 61 18 11:30 62 5 13 11:45 40 14 191 45 73 13 242 62 433 11:45 40 14 191 45 73 13 242 62 433 11:45 40 14 191 45 73 13 242 62 433 11:45 40 14 191 45 73 13 242 62 433 11:45 40 14 191 45 73 13 242 62 433 11:45 40 14 191 45 73 13 12 280 3097 10:40 13:51 21:51 13:51 21:51 17:61 22:60 17:66 22:60 30:97 10:51 10:				24				37				
10:00 38 18 8 68 37 10:15 41 13 68 37 10:30 41 18 68 37 10:45 46 19 166 68 39 20 209 116 375 11:00 45 13 61 18 61 18 61 18 62 13 11:15 44 13 61 18 62 13 11:45 40 14 191 45 73 13 242 62 433 11:45 40 14 191 45 73 13 242 62 433 11:45 349 3496 3496 4026 4026 7522 AM Peak - 07:15 07:30	09:45		43	23	141	114	66	32	215	134	356	24
10:15						1						
10:30						- 1						
10:45						1				1		
11:00	10:45				166	68			200	116	275	18
11:15	11:00				100	00			209	110	313	10
11:30 62 5 40 14 191 45 73 13 242 62 433  Total 1351 2145 1351 2145 1746 2280 1746 2280 3097  Combined Total 3496 3496 4026 4026 7522  AM Peak - 07:15 07:30				13								
11:45         40         14         191         45         73         13         242         62         433           Total         1351         2145         1351         2145         1746         2280         1746         2280         3097           Combined Total         3496         3496         4026         4026         4026         7522           AM Peak         - 07:15         07:30						1						
Total 1351 2145 1351 2145 1746 2280 1746 2280 3097  Combined Total 3496 3496 4026 4026 7522  AM Peak - 07:15 07:30							62					
Combined Total         3496         3496         4026         4026         7522           AM Peak         - 07:15         07:30												10
Total 3496 3496 4026 4026 7522  AM Peak - 07:15 07:30			1351	2145	1351	2145	1746	2280	1746	2280	3097	442
AM Peak - 07:15 07:30	Combined		240	2	24	ne .	402	ie.	402	c	7500	,
AM Peak - 07:15 07:30			3490	,	34	<del>20</del>	402	.0	402	U	1522	4
Vol.     -     235     -     -     311     -     -     -     -       P.H.F.     0.851     0.793       PM Peak     -     -     01:00     -     -     -     -     -       Vol.     -     -     295     -     -     273     -     -     -       P.H.F.     0.889     0.898    ercentag  e  38.6% 61.4% 43.4% 56.6%		-	07:15	320	12	≅	07:30	-	2.2	-	-	
P.H.F. 0.851 0.793  PM Peak - 01:00 03:30 Vol 295 273 P.H.F. 0.889 0.898  ercentag 88.6% 61.4% 43.4% 56.6%				:=:		-				-	7/2	
PM Peak 01:00 03:30	PHF											
Vol.       -       -       295       -       -       273       -       -       -       -         P.H.F.       0.889       0.898         ercentag       38.6%       61.4%       43.4%       56.6%		927		01-00	929	25	0.790	บร∙รบ		=		
P.H.F. 0.889 0.898  Percentag 38.6% 61.4% 43.4% 56.6%		•		01.00					100		20	
ercentag 38.6% 61.4% 43.4% 56.6%		( <b>=</b> 3	₹.		350	=	÷		(*	*		
e 35.0% 01.4% 43.4% 30.6%	P.H.F.			0.889				0.898				
e 35.0% 01.4% 43.4% 30.6%	ercentag		00.004	04 151			40 -51	=0 ===				
DT/AADT ADT 7,522 AADT 7,522	е						43.4%	56.6%				
	)T/AADT	A	ADT 7,522	A	ADT 7,522							

City of El Centro Evan Hewes Highway W/ Old Highway 111 24 Hour Directional Volume Count

ECO005 Site Code: 999-22587

****	15-Jun-22	Eastbo		Hour		Westl			Totals	Combined	Totals
Time	Wed		Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon
12:00		4	71			11	83				
12:15		2 8	74			5 9 5	81		1		
12:30		8	69			9	89				
12:45		7	77	21	291	5	100	30	353	51	644
01:00		5	74			9	78				
01:15		4	74			12	94		- 1		
01:30		7	73			6	76		- 1		
01:45		3	69	19	290	9	110	36	358	EE	640
				19	290	9		30	336	55	648
02:00		5	72			7	87		- 1		
02:15		10	87			4	91				
02:30		7	83			7	122				
02:45		6	72	28	314	6	96	24	396	52	710
03:00		7	70			6	84				
03:15		13	88			10	84				
03:30		12	100		1	18	93				
03:45		13	79	45	337	12	86	46	347	91	684
		22	92	73	337			40	347	31	004
04:00					1	28	99				
04:15		19	90			21	90				
04:30		36	66			29	59				
04:45		37	75	114	323	42	76	120	324	234	647
05:00		47	94		- 1	33	63				
05:15		56	87		1	53	96				
05:30		64	85			32	73				
05:45		34	60	201	326	56	92	174	324	375	650
06:00		22	66	201	020	29	47	17-7	OL-T	0,0	000
06:15		42	54			53	52		1		
06:30		36	65		1		32		1		
				440	004	54	35	004	400	044	100
06:45		40	46	140	231	68	65	204	199	344	430
07:00		58	50			79	46				
07:15		59	34			86	33				
07:30		60	39			99	34				
07:45		79	46	256	169	122	50	386	163	642	332
08:00		49	47			66	28			· · · ·	
08:15		45	40			79	29				
08:30		64	37			114	29				
08:45		47	33	205	157	74	24	333	110	538	267
		44	30	203	137		24	333	110	556	201
09:00			39			70	32				
09:15		48	38			92	18				
09:30		57	22			108	14				
09:45		47	23	196	122	86	29	356	93	552	215
10:00		57	16			95	29				
10:15		54	18			83	13				
10:30		69	11			91	28				
10:45		59	14	239	59	90	28	359	98	598	157
11:00		83	11		• •	79	12	000	30	000	
11:15		58	12		1	91	17		- 1		
									- 1		
11:30		68	7			77	10				
11:45		70	11	279	41	85	12	332	51	611	92
Total		1743	2660	1743	2660	2400	2816	2400	2816	4143	5476
Combined		4403	ı	440	าว	521	6	52	16	9619	
Total		7700	,		,,,	JZI	o .	32	10	3013	
AM Peak	-	11:00	-	-	#	07:00	-				
Vol.	3 mg	279	200		=	386	: <del></del> -	-		-	-
P.H.F.		0.840				0.791					
PM Peak	.2	0.040	03:30	-		0.701	01:45		_	_	
Vol.	1027		361	673		=	410	175			120
	: <del>-</del> :	-		2 <del></del>	-	-		(₩)	-	9-	
P.H.F.			0.903				0.840				
ercentag		39.6%	60.4%			46.0%	54.0%				
e		39.6% ADT 9,619		ADT 9,619		40.0%	04.0%				
DT/AADT											

ECO006

Site Code: 999-22587

Counts Unlimited, Inc.
PO Box 1178
Corona, CA 92878
Phone: (951) 268-6268
email: counts@countsunlimited.com

City of El Centro Evan Hewes Highway B/ Old Highway 111 - State Route 111 24 Hour Directional Volume Count

Start	15-Jun-22	Eastb	ound	Hour	Totals	West	bound	Hour	Totals	Combin	ed Totals
Time	Wed	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon
12:00		5	73			12	91	7			
12:15		2	82		- 1	7	90		- 1		
12:30		9	87			8	90		- 1		
12:45		6	84	22	326	8 6	110	33	381	55	707
01:00		8	94		1	9	79				
01:15		3	82		1	12	98		- 1		
01:30		6	70			8	80				
01:45		4	81	21	327	10	116	39	373	60	700
02:00		6	76			7	89				
02:15		7	97		1	3	102		- 1		
02:30		9	94			10	125		- 1		
02:45		7	82	29	349	6	97	26	413	55	762
03:00		7	76			7	88				
03:15		13	94			10	97				
03:30		13	112			18	87				
03:45		15	86	48	368	13	88	48	360	96	728
04:00		19	101			27	104				,
04:15		19	86			22	89				
04:30		40	77			27	59				
04:45		43	81	121	345	40	72	116	324	237	669
05:00		49	97			33	61	-			
05:15		59	96			58	95				
05:30		70	95			34	75				
05:45		37	73	215	361	56	88	181	319	396	680
06:00		20	75			33	46				
06:15		48	54			55	55				
06:30		33	71			56	43		1		
06:45		51	58	152	258	71	63	215	207	367	465
07:00		51	49		1	78	46				
07:15		72	41		1	88	36				
07:30		52	37			120	40				
07:45		83	56	258	183	116	49	402	171	660	354
08:00		50	49			68	30				00,
08:15		59	46		- 1	82	28		1		
08:30		53	42		- 1	111	32		1		
08:45		47	34	209	171	73	27	334	117	543	288
09:00		44	41			76	31				
09:15		50	40		1	90	20				
09:30		53	25			107	16				
09:45		58	28	205	134	91	32	364	99	569	233
10:00		59	14		1	97	33				
10:15		65	21		1	77	11				
10:30		72	12			96	29				
10:45		63	14	259	61	83	29	353	102	612	163
11:00		86	10		-	77	13				
11:15		63	13			93	16		1		
11:30		72	7			78	9		1		
11:45		87	11	308	41	88	13	336	51	644	92
Total		1847	2924	1847	2924	2447	2917	2447	2917	4294	5841
Combined		477	1	477	71	536	64	536	34	101	35
Total			•		•			000	<b>3-</b> 1	101	00
AM Peak	•	11:00	3	•	-	07:00					
Vol.	269	308	: <del></del>	<u>;</u> €3	*	402	(m)	( ·	×	-	( <b></b> )
P.H.F.		0.885	00.17			0.838					
PM Peak	•	-	03:15		5	-	01:45	1/5:		=	
Vol.	3.00	-	393	) <u>=</u> 3	-		432	3( <del>=</del> :	*	18	-
P.H.F.			0.877				0.864				
Dercenter											
Percentag e		38.7%	61.3%			45.6%	54.4%				
ADT/AADT	ΔΙ	OT 10,135	ΔΛ	DT 10,135							
	AL	J 10,100	~~	01 10,133							

City of El Centro Old Highway 111
N/ Evan Hewes Highway
24 Hour Directional Volume Count

ECO001 Site Code: 999-22587

Start	15-Jun-22	Northb			Totals	South			Totals	Combine	
Time	Wed		Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon
12:00		0	7			1	22				
12:15		0	3		- 1	0	9		- 1		
12:30		0	4			1	8		- 1		
12:45		0	6	0	20	0	13	2	52	2	72
01:00		0	3			0	12		- 1		
01:15		1	8			0	8		I		
01:30		0	14			1	25				
01:45		0	12	1	37	1	13	2	58	3	9
02:00		0	7		1	0	17				
02:15		0	7		1	0	17				
02:30		0	10			0	19				
02:45		1	8	1	32	Ō	12	0	65	1	97
03:00		2	9	•	02	ő	11	Ū	99	•	3.
03:15		ō	15			Ö	10				
03:30		1	14			0	26				
		-			40			4		_	
03:45		1	10	4	48	1	19	1	66	5	114
04:00		6	13		1	. 1	23		J		
04:15		2	10			0	19		10		
04:30		7	7		- 1	3	14				
04:45		3	6	18	36	3 6	11	10	67	28	103
05:00		5	4			1	20				
05:15		6	4			5	17				
05:30		7	2			4	11		1		
05:45		6	2	24	12	8	19	18	67	42	79
06:00		2	5		1	4	6	10	0,	74	,,
06:15		2 8	7		1	4	11		- 1		
06:30		8	5			2	13		- 1		
06:45		12	4	30	21	2 8		40	20	40	
				30	21		6	18	36	48	57
07:00		14	3		1	11	3				
07:15		10	2			14	6				
07:30		14	4			7	4				
07:45		12	2	50	11	15	7	47	20	97	31
08:00		8	2			11	2				
08:15		13	2			14	2				
08:30		14	5			12	8				
08:45		8	7	43	16	7	5	44	17	87	33
09:00		6	1			7	4			O,	00
09:15		8	il			5	1				
09:30		11	2		1	8	3				
09:45		7	ő	32	4	5	3	25	40		
10:00				32	4		2	25	10	57	14
		8	2			10	2				
10:15		7	0			17	1				
10:30		4	2			6	0				
10:45		13	0	32	4	9	1	42	4	74	8
11:00		9	0			15	3				
11:15		10	1			14	1				
11:30		11	1			8	2		1		
11:45		4	1	34	3	11	0	48	6	82	9
Total		269	244	269	244	257	468	257	468	526	712
ombined		540		-4							
Total		513		51	3	72	•	72	5	1230	В
M Peak	-	06:45	9	·	12	07:45	120	-	2	9	
Vol.	-	50	-	35.1		52	_	53 50	= =	5	
P.H.F.		0.893		57)	1/21	0.867	:30	3.50	=	·=	
M Peak	:2:	0.093	03:15	Seg. (	025	0.007	03.30	9=	532		
Vol.	1			-	_	Ť	03:30	•	5	77	
	-7.0	7.5	52	2.00	2.00	i <del></del>	87	3.50		*	3
P.H.F.			0.867				0.837				
rcentag		52.4%	47.6%			35.4%	64.6%				
ее							00 /0				
T/AADT	F	ADT 1,238	A/	DT 1,238							

# Counts Unlimited, Inc. PO Box 1178

Corona, CA 92878 Phone: (951) 268-6268 email: counts@countsunlimited.com

City of El Centro Old Highway 111 S/ Evan Hewes Highway 24 Hour Directional Volume Count

ECO002 Site Code: 999-22587

Start	15-Jun-22	Northbo		Hour	Totals	Southb		Hour 7			ed Totals
Time	Wed	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoor
12:00		0	5			1	23			= =====================================	
12:15		0	3			1	18				
12:30		0	4			0	1				
12:45		Ō	3	0	15	Ö	26	2	68	2	8
01:00		Ö	11		19	ő	15	2	00	2	U
		-									
01:15		0	3		1	0	18				
01:30		0	6			2	22				
01:45		0	6	0	26	1	4	3	59	3	8
02:00		0	7			0	16		1		
02:15		0	1		1	0	16				
02:30		0	3		- 1	0	7		- 1		
02:45		Õ	10	0	21	ŏ	12	0	51	0	7
03:00		1	2	U		ő	11	U	31	U	,
						0					
03:15		0	3		1	0	9				
03:30		0	5			0	10				
03:45		2	4	3	14	0	16	0	46	3	6
04:00		3	6		- 1	2	19		1		
04:15		2	3		- 1	2 0	20				
04:30		6	4			1	9				
04:45		4	3	15	16	1	13	4	61	19	7
05:00		5	2	10	.01		11	7	١٠٠	13	,
			6			2					
05:15		4				2 3 7 3 6 0 5 6	18				
05:30		5	0			7	6				
05:45		3	0	17	8	3	6	15	41	32	4
06:00		0	4		1	6	6		- 1		
06:15		1	4			0	11		1		
06:30		7	2		- 1	5	9		1		
06:45		9	2 2	17	12	6	1	17	27	34	3
07:00		4	1			7		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		04	0
07:00		4	o l		1	4	2 5		1		
						4	2				
07:30		7	0			11	3				
07:45		5	4	20	5	9	3	31	13	51	1
08:00		7	2			9	1				
08:15		7	4			20	1				
08:30		11	1			11	5				
08:45			2	27	9		1	45	8	72	1
09:00		2 5	1		١	5 5 3 5	il	43	۱	12	
09.00		3				9					
09:15		7	1			3	4		1		
09:30		4	0			5	2				
09:45		2 5	0	18	2	7	3	20	10	38	1
10:00		5	0			14	1				
10:15		7	1			11	1				
10:30		3	2			8	0				
10:45		5	ō	20	3	3	ŏ	36	2	56	
11:00		4	ŏ	20	٦	11	1	00	-	50	
		8	2			10					
11:15							2				
11:30		5	0		_	8	2		_		
11:45		6	0	23	2	12	0	41	5	64	
Total		160	133	160	133	214	391	214	391	374	52
ombined		293		29	13	605	:	60	5	89	0
Total		293		25		000	,	00:	•	09	U
M Peak	1965	07:45		œ.:	3 H	07:30		(e)	-	· ·	
Vol.	3=3	30		S=3		49		84		=	
P.H.F.		0.682				0.613					
PM Peak			01:00				00.45				
	2.30	<del>5</del>	01:00	(#.)	( =	:=	00:45	93#3	-	·	
Vol.	9	2	26		12	=	81		-	=	
P.H.F.			0.591				0.779				
ercentag		54.6%	45.4%			35.4%	64.6%				
е		U-1.070	70.770			UU.T /U	U-1.U /U				
T/AADT		ADT 898		AADT 898							

From:

Guillermo Mendoza

To:

Mariela Moran; Alphonso Andrade; Mario Salinas; Jorge Perez; Monica Soucier; Matt Dessert; John Gay; Andrew

Cc:

Michael Abraham: Francisco Olmedo

Subject:

RE: CUP22-0006 Request for comments Dacsa Trucking LLC

Date:

Thursday, May 26, 2022 9:57:40 AM

Attachments:

image002.png image003.png

#### Good morning,

The project documents don't provide any information related to traffic. In order to continue with the review of the project, please have the applicant address the following comments:

- The applicant shall complete a Traffic Report and submit it to this Department for review and approval. The Traffic Report will be used to determine whether a complete Traffic Impact Study is deemed necessary.
  - The Traffic Report shall indicate the total vehicle trips to be generated by the project at full project build-out (passenger trips for employees, clients, visitors, etc., and truck trips for project operation, deliveries, etc.), indicating peak hours and trip distribution.
  - b. Existing traffic counts shall be obtained along the road sections below for the preparation of the traffic report:
    - Old Highway 111 north of the project site
    - Old Highway 111 and south of the project site
    - Aten Road west of Old Highway 111
    - Aten Road between Old Highway 111 and State Route 111
    - Evan Hewes Highway west of Old Highway 111
    - Evan Hewes Highway between Old Highway 111 and State Route 111
  - If it is determined that a complete Traffic Impact Study is required, it shall be prepared and submitted to this Department for review and approval. The applicant will be responsible for any traffic impact study mitigation measures within the Traffic Impact Study, including but not limited to, road improvements and fair share costs. The traffic impact study shall also evaluate the need for the installation of turn lanes for site access and egress and/or at road intersections along the road network.
- The site plan submitted is not legible. A legible version of the site plan indicating the truck facility improvements shall be submitted.

Thanks,

Guillermo Mendoza Permit Specialist Imperial County

## **Department of Public Works**

155 S. 11<sup>th</sup> Street (442) 265 – 1818



From: Mariela Moran < Mariela Moran @co.imperial.ca.us>

Sent: Thursday, May 12, 2022 11:32 AM

To: Alphonso Andrade < Alphonso Andrade@co.imperial.ca.us>; Mario Salinas

<MarioSalinas@co.imperial.ca.us>; Jorge Perez <JorgePerez@co.imperial.ca.us>; Monica Soucier <MonicaSoucier@co.imperial.ca.us>; Matt Dessert <MattDessert@co.imperial.ca.us>; Guillermo Mendoza <GuillermoMendoza@co.imperial.ca.us>; John Gay <JohnGay@co.imperial.ca.us>; Andrew Loper <AndrewLoper@co.imperial.ca.us>

**Cc:** Michael Abraham <Michael Abraham@co.imperial.ca.us> **Subject:** CUP22-0006 Request for comments Dacsa Trucking LLC

Good morning,

I am writing to follow up on comments on the proposed CUP22-0006 project for Dacsa Trucking LLC. If no comments please let us know.

Should you have any questions please do not hesitate in contacting me.

Regards,

Mariela Moran, Planner III
Planning and Development Services



#### Mariela Moran

From:

Sylvia Aguilera <sylvia@dacsatrucking.com>

Sent:

Wednesday, May 18, 2022 3:55 PM

To:

Mariela Moran

Cc:

david@dacsatrucking.com; 'CHRISTIAN AGUILERA'

Subject:

FW: encroachment

#### CAUTION: This email originated outside our organization; please use caution.

Mariela, this is the email I received from IID, for our Conditional Use Permit. She said if she needs to send an email to anyone to let her know.

If not you can see her email below.

Please advise.

#### Sylvia Aguilera



**PO BOX 935** 

IMPERIAL, CA 92251 TEL: 760-482-0100 FAX: 760-482-0102 CELL 760-455-9603

NEW EMAIL: sylvia@dacsatrucking.com

From: Casillas, Patty <pmcasillas@IID.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 18, 2022 2:56 PM
To: Sylvia Aguilera <sylvia@dacsatrucking.com>

Subject: RE: encroachment

Good afternoon Sylvia -

I have reviewed the encroachment permit application submitted by DACSA Trucking relating to its parking area. Per our telephone call, you indicated that the trucks will enter and exit from Highway 111, east of the property, and there will be no traveling or any type of improvements on the west side of the property adjacent to IID's Alder Lateral 7. Based on this information, there is no need for an IID encroachment permit. Should there be any change in the plans that may affect the canal right-of-way or electrical facilities, please contact our offices. Thank you.

Patty Casillas
Real Estate Section
Imperial Irrigation District
P. O. Box 937
Imperial, California 92251
Phone (760) 339-9381 Cell: (760) 791-4640

From: Sylvia Aguilera <sylvia@dacsatrucking.com>

Sent: Wednesday, May 18, 2022 9:20 AM To: Casillas, Patty pmcasillas@IID.com>

Cc: david@dacsatrucking.com

Subject: encroachment

[CAUTION] This email originated from outside of the IID. Do not reply, click on any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Here is information. Let us know what other information you may need.

#### Sylvia Aguilera



PO BOX 935

IMPERIAL, CA 92251 TEL: 760-482-0100 FAX: 760-482-0102 CELL 760-455-9603

NEW EMAIL: sylvia@dacsatrucking.com

#### Mariela Moran

From: Monica Soucier

**Sent:** Thursday, May 12, 2022 11:50 AM

To: Mariela Moran; Alphonso Andrade; Mario Salinas; Jorge Perez; Matt Dessert; Guillermo

Mendoza; John Gay; Andrew Loper

Cc: Michael Abraham

Subject: RE: CUP22-0006 Request for comments Dacsa Trucking LLC

#### PLEASE ACCEPT THIS EMAIL AS FORMAL COMMENT

#### Mariela

Not sure what happened on our end but doing a cursory review the language in the document reads as though this is related to a compliance issue. Is this correct? If so, the applicant will need to provide proof of compliance with Regulation VIII.

In addition, it is unclear I the buildings that are identified are also part of the compliance process. If so, they will need to comply with Rule 310 and submit their maps showing the dimensions and a Rule 310 application

#### Respectfully,



Monica N. Soucier, MSL

APC Division Manager Planning and Monitoring 150 S 9<sup>th</sup> Street El Centro, CA 92243 **P.** 442.265.1800 **F.** 442.265.1799

This e-mail message (including any attachments) contains information that may be confidential, be protected by the attorney-client or other applicable privileges, or constitute non-public information.

It is intended to be conveyed only to the designated recipient(s).

If you are not an intended recipient of this message,

please notify the sender by replying to this message and then delete it from your system.

Use, dissemination, distribution, or reproduction of this message by unintended recipients is not authorized and may be unlawful.

From: Mariela Moran < Mariela Moran@co.imperial.ca.us>

Sent: Thursday, May 12, 2022 11:32 AM

To: Alphonso Andrade <AlphonsoAndrade@co.imperial.ca.us>; Mario Salinas <MarioSalinas@co.imperial.ca.us>; Jorge

Perez <lorgePerez@co.imperial.ca.us>; Monica Soucier <MonicaSoucier@co.imperial.ca.us>; Matt Dessert

<MattDessert@co.imperial.ca.us>; Guillermo Mendoza <GuillermoMendoza@co.imperial.ca.us>; John Gay

<JohnGay@co.imperial.ca.us>; Andrew Loper <AndrewLoper@co.imperial.ca.us>

Cc: Michael Abraham < Michael Abraham@co.imperial.ca.us > Subject: CUP22-0006 Request for comments Dacsa Trucking LLC

### Good morning,

I am writing to follow up on comments on the proposed CUP22-0006 project for Dacsa Trucking LLC. If no comments please let us know.

Should you have any questions please do not hesitate in contacting me.

Regards,

Mariela Moran, Planner III

**Planning and Development Services** 



#### ADMINISTRATION / TRAINING

1078 Dogwood Road Heber, CA 92249

#### Administration

Phone: (442) 265-6000 Fax: (760) 482-2427

Training

Phone: (442) 265-6011



#### **OPERATIONS/PREVENTION**

2514 La Brucherie Road Imperial, CA 92251

#### **Operations**

Phone: (442) 265-3000 Fax: (760) 355-1482

#### Prevention

Phone: (442) 265-3020

May 6, 2022

RE: Condition Use Permit 22-0006 DASCA Trucking LLC 2095 Old Highway 111, El Centro CA 92243

Imperial County Fire Department would like to thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on CUP 22-0006 for DASCA Trucking LLC. Located at 2095 Old Highway 111, El Centro CA 92243

Imperial County Fire Department has the following comments and/or requirements.

- An approved water supply capable of supplying the required fire flow determined by the fire code official, appendix B in the California Fire Code shall be installed and maintained. Private fire service mains and appurtenance shall be installed in accordance with NFPA 24.
- Fire department access roads shall be a width of a least 20 feet and all weather surface capable of supporting fire apparatus. Fire department access roads will be provided with approved turn around approved by Imperial County Fire Department. Gates will be in accordance with the current adapted fire code and the facility will maintain a Knox Box/lock for access on site.
- Secondary access shall be required and shall be kept clear of vehicle congestion and other factors that could limit access.
- A Hazardous Waste Material Plan (HWMP) shall be submitted to Certified Unified Program Agency (CUPA) for their review and approval. All spills shall be documented and reported to Imperial County Fire Department and CUPA as required by the Hazardous Waste Material Plan.
- Hazardous Material Management Plan (HMMP) shall be required for all hazardous materials on site.
- All storage and handling of flammable and combustible liquids shall be in accordance with the California Fire Code and all federal, state, and local regulations, codes, and ordinances.
- Compliance with all required sections of the fire code.

The project shall be in compliance at all times with requirements in the California Fire Code and local ordinances and requirements. Imperial County Fire Department shall conduct annual fire and life safety inspections

Imperial County Fire Department reserves the right to comment and request additional requirements pertaining to this project regarding fire and life safety measures, California

#### **ADMINISTRATION / TRAINING**

1078 Dogwood Road Heber, CA 92249

#### Administration

Phone: (442) 265-6000 Fax: (760) 482-2427

Training

Phone: (442) 265-6011



#### **OPERATIONS/PREVENTION**

2514 La Brucherie Road Imperial, CA 92251

#### **Operations**

Phone: (442) 265-3000 Fax: (760) 355-1482

#### Prevention

Phone: (442) 265-3020

Building and Fire Code, and National Fire Protection Association standards at a later time as we see necessary.

If you have any questions, please contact the Imperial County Fire Prevention Bureau at 442-265-3020 or 442-265-3021.

Sincerely
Andrew Loper
Lieutenant/Fire Prevention Specialist
Imperial County Fire Department
Fire Prevention Bureau

Robert Malek Deputy Chief Imperial County Fire Department Fire Prevention Bureau

#### **Diana Robinson**

From:

Ana L Gomez

Sent:

Thursday, May 5, 2022 4:23 PM

To:

Valerie Grijalva; Diana Robinson

Cc:

**ICPDSCommentLetters** 

Subject:

RE: CUP22-0006 Request for Comments (DACSA Trucking LLC)

**Attachments:** 

Annual\_Device\_Registration\_Application-2022.pdf

#### Good afternoon,

From the Ag Commissioner/Sealer of Weight & Measures comments for CUP22-0006 for applicant DASCA Trucking, LLC, we want to let the applicant know that according to County Ordinance 5.68.030 any platform, vehicle scale or counter scale not excluded by section 12240 of the Business and Professions Code for commercial use shall be registered with the County Sealer of Weights and Measures.

I attach the Annual Registration form, if send them our way if they have any further question.

# Thank you, Ana Gomez

From: Valerie Grijalva < Valerie Grijalva@co.imperial.ca.us>

Sent: Tuesday, April 19, 2022 9:33 AM

To: Carlos Ortiz <CarlosOrtiz@co.imperial.ca.us>; Sandra Mendivil <SandraMendivil@co.imperial.ca.us>; Jolene Dessert

- <JoleneDessert@co.imperial.ca.us>; Paul Deol <PaulDeol@co.imperial.ca.us>; Margo Sanchez
- <MargoSanchez@co.imperial.ca.us>; David Claverie <DavidClaverie@co.imperial.ca.us>; Ana L Gomez
- <analgomez@co.imperial.ca.us>; Belen Leon <BelenLeon@co.imperial.ca.us>; Monica Soucier
- <MonicaSoucier@co.imperial.ca.us>; Matt Dessert <MattDessert@co.imperial.ca.us>; Eric Havens
- <EricHavens@co.imperial.ca.us>; Ray Castillo <RayCastillo@co.imperial.ca.us>; Rosa Lopez
- <RosaLopez@co.imperial.ca.us>; Esperanza Colio <EsperanzaColio@co.imperial.ca.us>; Ben Salorio
- <BenSalorio@co.imperial.ca.us>; Alphonso Andrade <AlphonsoAndrade@co.imperial.ca.us>; Jorge Perez
- <JorgePerez@co.imperial.ca.us>; Vanessa Ramirez <VanessaRamirez@co.imperial.ca.us>; Robert Menvielle
- <RobertMenvielle@co.imperial.ca.us>; Mario Salinas <MarioSalinas@co.imperial.ca.us>; Jeff Lamoure
- <JeffLamoure@co.imperial.ca.us>; Alfredo Estrada Jr <AlfredoEstradaJr@co.imperial.ca.us>; Robert Malek
- <RobertMalek@co.imperial.ca.us>; Andrew Loper <AndrewLoper@co.imperial.ca.us>; Guillermo Mendoza
- <GuillermoMendoza@co.imperial.ca.us>; John Gay <JohnGay@co.imperial.ca.us>; Ryan Kelley
- <RyanKelley@co.imperial.ca.us>; Benavidez, Robert <RBenavidez@icso.org>; Ray Loera Sheriff <rloera@icso.org>;
- Scott Sheppeard <scottsheppeard@icso.org>; Vargas, Donald A <DVargas@IID.com>; Marcela Piedra
- <MPiedra@cityofelcentro.org>; Angel\_Hernandez <angel\_hernandez@cityofelcentro.org>; thagen@cityofelcentro.org;
- Eaton, Maurice A@DOT <maurice.eaton@dot.ca.gov>; kimberly.dodson@dot.ca.gov; Sanchez Rangel, Rogelio@DOT
- <roger.sanchez-rangel@dot.ca.gov>; marcuscuero@campo-nsn.gov; Quechan Historic Preservation Officer
- <historicpreservation@quechantribe.com>; Quechan Indian Tribe <tribalsecretary@quechantribe.com>

Cc: Jim Minnick < JimMinnick@co.imperial.ca.us>; Michael Abraham < Michael Abraham@co.imperial.ca.us>; Diana

Robinson < DianaRobinson@co.imperial.ca.us>; Carina Gomez < CarinaGomez@co.imperial.ca.us>; John Robb

<JohnRobb@co.imperial.ca.us>; Maria Scoville <mariascoville@co.imperial.ca.us>; Rosa Soto

<RosaSoto@co.imperial.ca.us>

Subject: CUP22-0006 Request for Comments (DACSA Trucking LLC)

Good Afternoon,

Please see attached Request for Comments packet for CUP22-0006/ APN 044-460-032 & 042-000.

Comments are due by May 4th, 2022 at 5:00PM.

In an effort to increase the efficiency at which information is distributed and reduce paper usage, the Request for Comments packet is being sent to you via this email.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact Diana Robinson (442) 265-1736, or submit your comment letters to <a href="mailto:ICPDScommentletters@co.imperial.ca.us">ICPDScommentletters@co.imperial.ca.us</a>.

Thank you,

Valerie Grijalva

Office Assistant II
Planning and Development Services





Carlos Ortiz Agricultural Commissioner Sealer of Weights & Measures

Jolene Dessert

Asst. Agricultural Commissioner Asst. Sealer of Weights & Measures

## ANNUAL REGISTRATION/RENEWAL APPICATION (expires December 31, 2022)

	Registration No.:			F	Please update any outdated or missing information						
Compan	y Headquarters:										
Name:					Contact:						
					Phone:						
Physical	Location:										
Business Name:				Primary C	Contact:						
Physical Address:					Phone:						
Devic	е Туре	Location Fee	Quantity	Fee per Device	Device Fee Subtotal	DMS Fee per Device	DMS Fee Subtotal	Device Total			
					1						
						TOTAL	FEES DUE:				
	For Department Us	e Only			201 1 1						
MS Receipt #:	-	MS Date:		ı		o <b>r money ord</b> e NTY WFIGHTS		:			
Deposit #:	IMPERIAL COUNTY WEIGHTS & MEASURES 852 Broadway										
		osit Date:			El C	Centro, CA 922	243				
I CER	TIFY THAT THE IN	FORMATION	SUBMITTED	IN THIS AF	PPLICATION I	S TRUE AND	CORRECT.				
rint Name of Authorized Representative Sig				Signature			Date				

We gladly accept check

fiserv.

If your check is returned unpaid, your account will be debited electronically for the original amount and electronically or via paper for the state's maximum allowable service fee. Payment by check constitutes authorization of these transactions. You may revoke this authorization by calling (800) 666-5222, ext. 2, to arrange payment for any outstanding checks and service fees due. www.fiserv.com

#### **County of Imperial Division of Weights and Measures**

#### Registration Fees = Location fee + Device fee + DMS fee (State Surcharge)

Fees are based on a statewide fee structure approved by the State Legislature and Governor. Fees partially offset the cost of administering the commercial weighing and measuring program, and are based on the number and type(s) in use per location. These fees have been adopted in the Imperial County Ordinance Chapter 5.68 and are authorized by the California Business and Professions Code: Device Fees Section 12240(f)-(t); Location Fee Section 12240(u); State Administrative Fee: Section 12241 and California Code of Regulations Title 4, Division 9, Chapter 3, Article 3, Section 4075.

All fees are due and payable by January 1st. Any registration paid after forty-five (45) days will be considered delinquent and be subject to penalties. The penalties are twenty percent (20%) of total device registration fee and location fee accruing each forty-five (45) days in arrears.

Device Location Fee: Each location (scanner/point-of-sale excluded) is charged a location fee of \$100. A location is considered a business with one or more types of devices that require specialized testing equipment that will necessitate more than one trip. Additionally, if a commercial device is installed on a vehicle, each vehicle is considered a single location.


<b>Device Registration Fees</b>	Fee per Device	DMS fee per Device
CNG Meter	\$20.00	\$16.00
Computing Scales <2,000#	\$20.00	\$2.20
Counter Scale < 2,000#	\$50.00	\$2.20
Electric Submeter	\$3.00	\$0.50
Fabric/Cord/Wire	\$20.00	\$2.20
Hanging Scale < 2,000#	\$50.00	\$2.20
Hanging Scale 2,000-10,000#	\$150.00	\$16.00
Hopper & Tank > 10,000#	\$250.00	\$24.00
Hopper & Tank 2,000-10,000#	\$150.00	\$16.00
L.P.G. Meter	\$185.00	\$16.00
Livestock Scale > 10,000#	\$150.00	\$24.00
Livestock Scale 2,000-10,000#	\$100.00	\$16.00
Misc. Measuring Devices	\$20.00	\$2.20
Misc. Weighing Devices < 2,000#	\$50.00	\$2.20
Monorail/Meat < 2,000#	\$50.00	\$2.20
Monorail/Meat 2,000-10,000#	\$150.00	\$16.00
Odometers	\$60.00	\$2.20
Platform/Dormant <2,000#	\$50.00	\$2.20
Platform/Dormant > 10,000#	\$250.00	\$16.00
Platform/Dormant 2,000-10,000#	\$150.00	\$16.00
Class II Scale (Non-prescription/jewelry)	\$20.00	\$2.20
Pres/Jewel Scale <2,000#	\$80.00	\$2.20
Railway Scale > 10,000#	\$250.00	\$24.00
Retail Meter Fuel (Gas pumps)	\$20.00	\$2.20
Retail Water Meter (Dispensers, Vending)	\$20.00	\$2.20
Vehicle Meter (Any vehicle mounted meter)	\$75.00	\$2.20
Vehicle Scale > 10,000#	\$250.00	\$24.00
Water Submeters	\$2.00	\$0.50
Wholesale Meter (Stationary Hi-volume sale)	\$75.00	\$2.20
Scanner/Point of Sale Registration Fees	Fee per Scanners	DMS Fee per Scanners
Scanners (1-3)	\$89.00	\$0.00
Scanners (4-16)	\$129.00	\$0.00
Scanners (17-30)	\$190.00	\$0.00
Scanners (31 or more)	\$240.00	\$0.00

Please note that some device types cap at \$1,000 per location. If you have any questions please call the Division of Weights and Measures at (442) 265-1500.

# ATTACHMENT A APPLICATION PACKAGE

# CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT I.C. PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPT. 801 Main Street, El Centro, CA 92243 (760) 482-4236

- APPLICANT WOST COMPLETE ALL NON	WIBERED (DIACK) SPACES - Flease type of print -
PROPERTY OWNER'S NAME	EMAIL ADDRESS
DAVID AGUILERA	DAVID@DACSATRUCKING.COM
2. MAILING ADDRESS (Street / P O Box, City, State)	ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER
PO BOX 935 IMPERIAL, CA	92251 760-482-0100
3. APPLICANT'S NAME	EMAIL ADDRESS
DACSA TRUCKING LLC	DAVID@DACSATRUCKING.COM
4. MAILING ADDRESS (Street / P O Box, City, State) 2095 OLD HWY 111 EL CENTRO, CA	ZIP CODE PHONE NUMBER
4. ENGINEER'S NAME / CA. LICENSE	92243 760-482-0100 NO. EMAIL ADDRESS /
N/R W/R	W/A
5. MAILING ADDRESS (Street / P O Box, City, State)	ZIP CODE / PHONE NUMBER /
NIA	N/A (V/R
6. ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NO.	SIZE OF PROPERTY (in acres or square foot) ZONING (existing)
044-460-032 / 044-460-042	29 M-2-4
7. PROPERTY (site) ADDRESS	27
2095 OLD HWY 111	
8. GENERAL LOCATION (i.e. city, town, cross street)	
EL CENTRO, CA 92243	
9. LEGAL DESCRIPTION PAR PAR PO-ALLA	80 OF PAR   PM 13/2 OF TR 4015-14 23.67 AC
TON THE BOTTLE	10 01 40 17 11 10 11 11 10 1 1 2287 11 C
—— III. —— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
PLEASE PROVIDE CLEAR & CONCISE INFORMA	ATION (ATTACH SEPARATE SHEET IF NEEDED)
10. DESCRIBE PROPOSED USE OF PROPERTY (list and describe	
·	TVC are a transport company for fine, see
	enclosed attachment
44 DESCRIPE OURSENT LISE OF SDORESTY	
11. DESCRIBE CURRENT USE OF PROPERTY Aggregates p	roduct
12. DESCRIBE PROPOSED SEWER SYSTEM Septic tank - 6	existing
13. DESCRIBE PROPOSED WATER SYSTEM Canal water -	- existing
14. DESCRIBE PROPOSED FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM fire	extinguishers - existing
15. IS PROPOSED USE A BUSINESS?	IF YES, HOW MANY EMPLOYEES WILL BE AT THIS SITE?
X Yes □ No	4
I / WE THE LEGAL OWNER (S) OF THE ABOVE PROPERTY	REQUIRED SUPPORT DOCUMENTS
CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION SHOWN OR STATED HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT.	A CITE DI ANI
David Aguilera 1/31/2022	A. SITE PLAN
Print Name Date	B. FEE
Date	C. OTHER
Signature 1/31/2022	G. OTTER
Sylvia Aguillera Date	D. OTHER
Date .	
Signalula	
APPLICATION RECEIVED BY:	DATE 131/22 REVIEW/APPROVAL BY
APPLICATION DEEMED COMPLETE BY:	OTHER DEPT'S required.
	— □ E.H.S.   CUP#
APPLICATION REJECTED BY:	DATE
TENTATIVE HEARING BY:	_ DATE D   12 0000
FINAL ACTION: APPROVED DENIED	DATE





Mailing Address: P.O. Box 935, Imperial, CA 92251
105 W. Commercial Ave., El Centro, CA 92243 • Phone: (760) 482-0100 • Fax: (760) 482-0102
Manager: david@dacsatrucking.com • Dispatch/Accounting: christian@dacsatrucking.com • Admin: sylvia@dacsatrucking.com

To whom it may concern:

This letter is to request a conditional use permit for DACSA Trucking LLC., business license #1466, at 2095 Old Hwy 111 in El Centro, CA permitting us to park at this facility.

We began operating in 1998 and started as a two-person operation. We currently employ 27 persons. We transport dry goods out of the Imperial Valley all over the 11 western states, such as Nevada, Utah, and California, with our primary focus being Oregon and Washington. From these 11 western states, we also reload product to bring back down to the Imperial Valley, such as lumber, grass seed, onions, potatoes, and plastic packaging material, among many other commodities.

All our employees live in the Imperial Valley and are back home within the week they are sent out on a load. Our company abides by all FMCSA rules and CARB Compliance. Our equipment is inspected on a weekly basis to ensure the safety of our drivers as well as other drivers on the road. We currently have a fleet of 22 semi-trucks and intend to be at 25 within the next 5 years. All our trucks leave Sunday afternoons / Monday mornings and return Friday / Saturday afternoons. The importance of this is it gives us the weekend to service our equipment and prevent any future issues down the road.

The significance of granting us this permit is it will allow us to continue transporting product in and out of Imperial Valley. It will also ensure our ability to service and maintain our equipment as best as possible to keep our drivers, and other drivers, safe on the road.

Sincerely,

**DACSA Trucking LLC** 

## 95003670

RECORDING REQUESTED .

Planning/Building Department 939 Main Street El Centro, CA 92243

AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:

Clerk to the Board of Supervisors
940 Main Street
El Centro, CA 92243
HOLD

**DOLORES PROVENCIO** 

COUNTY RECORDER

800K 1798 PACE 1699

195 FEB 17 AM 10 00

OFFICIAL RECORDS
IMPERIAL COUNTY, CA

TL\$ 12	
RG RF MC IX TF NL PY PR	53-1-3-1-

#### CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

Lot Line Adjustment #80 APN 044-460-32-01 APN 044-460-39-01

The following real property and the Lot Line Adjustment of two (2) parcel(s) (as hereinafter described) as of the date of recordation of this document, has been determined to be in compliance with applicable provisions of the Subdivision Map Act of the State of California (Section 66410 et. seq. of the California Government Code) and local Ordinance (Section 85100 et. seq. of the Codified Ordinances of Imperial County) enacted pursuant thereto:

Name of Record Owner:

Allied Growers Association; Granite Construction Company

See Exhibit "A" for legal description(s).

NOTE: The description(s) in Exhibit "A", has been provided by the owner of the property and neither the County of Imperial nor any of its officers or employees assume responsibility for the accuracy of said description.

TORG NEUBERGER, PLANNING DIRECTOR
County of Imperial

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

(State of California)

SS

(County of Imperial)

On 2-16-15 before me, Linda Weaver, Clerk of the Board of Board of Supervisors, personally appeared JURG HEUBERGER, Planning Director, personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that, he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies) and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

LINDA WEAVER, CLERK OF THE BOARD OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTY OF IMPERIAL

#### EXHIBIT "A"

# ADJUSTED BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION LOT LINE ADJUSTMENT NO. 80

#### PARCEL 80-A

That portion of Tract 40, Township 15 South, Range 14 East, S.B.M., in an unincorporated area of the County of Imperial, State of California, shown and designated as Parcel 1 of Parcel Map M-1312, on file in Book 5, Page 66 of Parcel Maps in the office of the County Recorder of Imperial County.

IN ADDITION THERETO that portion of Parcel 3 of said Parcel Map M-1312 described as follows:

BEGINNING at the Northeast corner of said Parcel 3, said point being the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING;

THENCE along the North line of said Parcel 3 NORTH 89°58'00" WEST 600.00 feet to the Northwest corner of said Parcel 3;

THENCE along the West line of said Parcel 3 SOUTH 00°08'46" EAST 328.83 feet to the Northeast corner of Parcel "B" of map on file in Book 9, Page 54 of Licensed Surveys of Imperial County;

THENCE SOUTH 89°58'00" EAST 600.00 feet to the point of intersection with the East line of said Parcel 3, said point also being the Southwest corner of Parcel 2 of said Parcel Map M-1312;

THENCE along said east line of Parcel 3 NORTH 00°08'46" WEST 328.82 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

Containing 28.20 acres, more or less.

#### PARCEL 80-B

That portion of Tract 40, Township 15 South, Range 14 East, S.B.M., in an unincorporated area of the County of Imperial, State of California, according to the official plat thereof, shown and designated as Parcel 3 of Parcel Map M-1312, on file in Book 5, Page 66 of Parcel Maps in the office of the County Recorder of Imperial County.

**EXCEPTING THEREFROM** that portion of said Parcel 3 described as follows:

BEGINNING at the Northwest corner of Parcel 4 of said Map M-1312, said point being the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING;

THENCE NORTH 89°50'00" WEST, 11.65 feet;

THENCE SOUTH 0 03'12 WEST 1083.53 feet to a point on the South line of said Parcel 3;

THENCE NORTH 74°20'54" EAST, 16.00 feet to the Southwest corner of Parcel 4 of said Map M-1312;

THENCE NORTH 0°08'46" WEST, 1079.21 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

ALSO EXCEPTING THEREFROM that portion of Parcel 3 of said Parcel Map M-1312 described as follows:

BEGINNING at the Northeast corner of said Parcel 3, said point being the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING;

THENCE along the North line of said Parcel 3 NORTH 89°58'00" WEST 600.00 feet to the Northwest corner of said Parcel 3;

THENCE along the West line of said Parcel 3 SOUTH 00°08'46" KAST 328.83 feet to the Northeast corner of Parcel "B" of map on file in Book 9, Page 54 of Licensed Surveys of Imperial County;

THENCE SOUTH 89°58'00" EAST 600.00 feet to the point of intersection with the East line of said Parcel 3, said point also being the Southwest corner of Parcel 2 of said Parcel Map M-1312;

THENCE along said East line of Parcel 3 NORTH 00°08'46" WEST 328.82 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

Containing 16.00 acres, more or less.

9.20.94



Mailing Address: P.O. Box 935, Imperial, CA 92251
105 W. Commercial Ave., El Centro, CA 92243 • Phone: (760) 482-0100 • Fax: (760) 482-0102
Manager: david@dacsatrucking.com • Dispatch/Accounting: christian@dacsatrucking.com • Admin: sylvia@dacsatrucking.com

To whom it may concern:

Building #1 is Commercial Avenue Truck & Trailer Parts, Inc. retail space and contains administrative offices for DACSA Trucking LLC and DCM Logistics LLC. Building #2 is also for Commercial Avenue Truck & Trailer Parts, Inc., but is for maintenance and repairs. Building #3 is an additional building with the same purpose as Building #2.

Administration personnel uses restrooms from Building #1. Building #2 has restrooms for mechanics of Commercial Ave Truck & Trailer Parts, Inc., as well as for drivers of DACSA Trucking LLC.

The hours of operation are the same for Commercial Ave Truck & Trailer Parts, Inc., DACSA Trucking LLC, and DCM Logistics LLC. The hours are Mon-Fri from 7 AM -5 PM, Saturday 7 AM -12 PM, and closed on Sundays.

This yard is only to be used as parking for trucks over the weekend in order to perform maintenance by Commercial Ave Truck & Trailer Parts, Inc. Maintenance includes oil changes, thre rotations, and routine inspections.

As noted on site plan, all mentioned imperial County standards are met with the existing of 4" asphalt for all entrances and truck parking.

DACSA Trucking LC



June 22, 2022

David Aguilera Dacsa Trucking LLC 105 W Commercial Ave El Centro, CA 92243


Regarding: Dacsa Trucking Trip Generation

Dear Mr. Aguilera,

The purpose of this letter is to document the anticipated trip generation and traffic patterns that will be associated with proposed Dacsa trucking site (Proposed Project), to be located at 2095 CA-111 in the El Centro community of Imperial County. The Proposed Project will replace a batch asphalt plant, operated by Granite Construction, which previously occupied the site up until approximately a year ago. The Proposed Project location and site plan are displayed in **Figures 1**.

#### 1.0 Day to Day Operations

Dacsa Trucking operates approximately 20 weekly long-haul trucking routes between Calexico, CA and different areas located throughout the Pacific Northwest, as well as five shorter truck routes that travel to the Los Angeles area multiple times a week. The Proposed Project will move Dacsa's current operations approximately three miles east from 105 W Commercial Ave to 2095 CA-111 in the City of El Centro, as shown in the graphic below.








Figure 1 **Project Location** 



The 20 trucks conducting long-haul routes travel to the Dacsa site approximately once a week for maintenance. The trucks arrive at the Dacsa site at the beginning of their run, after they pick up their load for Calexico. The trucks are generally housed at the site for a day or two and then head out to run their route. The trucks typically arrive at the site on Friday or Saturday and leave the site for their routes on Sunday or Monday. The five trucks that serve the shorter routes typically run several routes without accessing the Dacsa site and generally only access the site twice a week for maintenance.

Dacsa currently has four employees that access the project site every day. Dacsa's hours of operation for the site are 7:00 AM to 5:00 PM; therefore, it assumed that all employees arrive during the AM peak hour and depart during the PM peak hour.

#### 2.0 Trip Generation

**Table 1** displays the anticipated trip generation that would be associated with the Proposed Project site. To be conservative, the following is assumed:

- Trucks would enter the site during the PM peak hour on Friday or Saturday and exit the site during the AM peak hour on Sunday or Monday.
- All five trucks serving the shorter routes would also access the site on the same day.
- Employees will arrive at the site during the AM peak hour and leave during the PM peak hour.
- Vendor trips, such as USPS and other delivery services, are anticipated to occur during the typical workday, thus, they would be outside of the typical peak hours.

Table 1: Proposed Project Trip Generation

		Trip Generation			AM Peak Hour			1 Peak our
Туре	Amount	Rate	PCE	ADT	ln	Out	In	Out
Friday & Saturday								
Long-haul Trucks	10¹	1 / Truck	3	30	0	0	30	0
Shorter route Trucks	5	2 / Truck	3	30	0	15	15	0
Employees	4	2 / Employee	1	8	4	0	0	4
Vendors	2	2 / Vendor	1.5	6	0	0	0	0
			Total	74		15	45	4
Sunday & Monday				MERCANIA ALI	7			
Long-haul Trucks	10 <sup>1</sup>	1 / Truck	3	30	0	30	0	0
Shorter route Trucks	5	2 / Truck	3	30	0	15	15	0
Employees	4	2 / Employee	1	8	4	0	0	4
Vendors	2	2 / Vendor	1.5	6	0	0	0	0
			Total	74	4	45	15	4.
Tuesday through Thui	rsday							
Long-haul Trucks	0	1 / Truck	3	0	0	0	0	0
Shorter route Trucks	5	2 / Truck	3	30	0	15	15	0
Employees	4	2 / Employee	1	8	4	0	0	4
Vendors	2	2 / Vendor	1.5	6	0	0	0	0
			Total	44	4	15	15	4

#### <u>Notes</u>

PCE: Passenger car equivalent factor

As shown the Proposed Project is anticipated to generate 74 daily trips Friday through Monday, and 44 daily trips on Tuesday through Thursday.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Assumes half the long haul trucks come in on Friday and the other half come in on Saturday, and half the trucks leave on Sunday and the other half leave on Monday.



#### 3.0 Trlp Distribution

Long-haul truck trips are anticipated to access the Proposed Project site from SR-111 via Evan Hewes Highway and Old Highway 111. Truck trips entering the site will be coming from the south (from Calexico), and trucks exiting the site will head north on SR-111. The shorter truck routes would access the site to/from the north via SR-111.

Employees and vendors coming from Calexico are anticipated to access the Proposed Project site via SR-111, and those coming from El Centro are anticipated to access the site via Evan Hewes Highway.

#### 4.0 Current Traffic Patterns

**Table 2** displays the current average daily traffic volumes (ADT) and roadway segment level of service (LOS) for the roadway segments adjacent to the Proposed Project site.

Table 2: Current Roadway Operations Around the Project Site

Roadwa <b>y</b>	Segment	Roadway Classification	LOS C Capacity <sup>1</sup>	ADT <sup>2</sup>	LOS
Aten Road	West of Old Highway 111	Secondary Arterial	27,400	8,009	Α
Aten Road	Old Highway 111 to SR-111	Secondary Arterial	27,400	7,522	Α
Evan Hewes	West of Old Highway 111	Secondary Arterial	27,400	9,619	Α
Highway	Old Highway 111to SR-111	Secondary Arterial	27,400	10,135	Α
Old Highway	North of Evan Hewes Highway	Collector	7,100	1,238	Α
111	South of Evan Hewes Highway	Collector	7,100	989	Α

#### Notes:

As shown, all roadway segments adjacent to the Proposed Project site currently operate at acceptable LOS A. All of the roadway segments have a substantial surplus of capacity, which should be sufficient to accommodate the additional traffic associated with the Proposed Project. Therefore, the implementation of the Proposed Project will not substantially degrade or impact roadway operations within the area.

### 5.0 Vehicle Miles Traveled Analysis

As shown in Table 1, the Proposed Project is anticipated to generate 74 daily trips. This is below the "Small Project Criteria" outlined in the *California Governor's Office or Planning and Research's Technical Advisory on Evaluating Transportation Impacts in CEQA, December 2018*<sup>1</sup> of 110 daily trips. As such, the Proposed Project would be considered to have a less than significant Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) related impact. Additionally, as described in Section 1.0, the Proposed Project already operates in a different adjacent location and will be moved to a new location; thus, it is not anticipated to generate any additional VMT than current conditions. The Proposed Project will actually be located within a closer proximity to the current truck routes on SR-111 (approximately three miles); therefore, the Proposed Project may actually reduce the overall VMT generated within the area since trucks will not longer need to detour from their route to get to the site. Consequently, the implementation of the Proposed Project will not result in a significant VMT related impact, under section 15064.3(b) of the CEQA Guidelines.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Roadway classification and capacities are based on the standards set by the County's General Plan. Relevant excerpts from the plan are provided in **Attachment 1**.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Daily roadway segment counts were conducted in June 2022. Count worksheets are provided in Attachment 2.

https://opr.ca.gov/docs/20190122-743 Technical Advisory.pdf



#### 6.0 Conclusions

The Proposed Project is anticipated to generate a total of 74 trips on a daily basis (see Table 1). There is more than sufficient capacity in the surrounding roadway network to accommodate the traffic generated by the Proposed Project site (see Table 2), thus, no impact to existing traffic operations around the Proposed Project site are anticipated. Furthermore, the Proposed Project site is closer to the existing truck routes in which it serves; therefore, trucks will no longer need to travel three miles out of direction to reach the Dacsa site, which will reduce the overall VMT associated with the Proposed Project. As such, implementation of the Proposed Project would not result in a significant VMT related impact.

Sincerely

Stephen Cook, TE California TR: 2528


Steve@IntersectingMetrics.com



# Attachment 1 - General Plan Exerpts

Table 2 Proposed Imperial County Standard Street Classification Average Daily Vehicle Trips									
Road	Level of Service								
Class	X-Section	A	В	С	a	E			
Prime Arterial	106/126	22,200	37,000	44,600	50,000	57,000			
Major Arterial	82/102	14,800	24,700	29,600	33,400	37,000			
Secondary Arterial	64/84	13,700	22,800	27,400	30,800	34,200			
Collector	40/70	1,900	4,100	7,100	10,900	16,200			
Residential Street	36/60	*	*	1,500	*	ak			
Residential Cul-de-Sac or Loop Street	32/60	*	*	200	ale	¥			

\* Levels of service are not applied to residential streets since their primary purpose is to serve abutting lots, not carry through traffic. Levels of service normally apply to roads carrying through traffic between major trip generators and attractors.





# **Attachment 2 - Daily Traffic Count Worksheets**

Site Code: 999-22587

## Counts Unlimited, Inc.

PO Box 1178
Corona, CA 92878
Phone: (951) 268-6268
email: counts@countsunlimited.com

City of El Centro Aten Road W/ Old Highway 111 24 Hour Directional Volume Count

AM Peak

PM Peak

Percentag

ADT/AADT

Vol.

Vol.

P.H.F.

P.H.F.

07:15

0.890

39.5%

ADT 8,009

05:00

0.752

60.5%

**AADT 8,009** 

15-Jun-22 Hour Totals Start Eastbound Westbound Hour Totals **Combined Totals** Morning Time Wed Afternoon Morning Afternoon Morning Afternoon Morning Afternoon Morning Afternoon 12:00 12:15 12:30 12:45 01:00 01:15 01:30 01:45 02:00 02:15 02:30 02:45 03:00 03:15 03:30 03:45 04:00 04:15 04:30 04:45 05:00 05:15 05:30 05:45 06:00 06:15 06:30 06:45 07:00 07:15 07:30 07:45 08:00 08:15 08:30 08:45 09:00 09:15 09:30 09:45 10:00 10:15 10:30 10:45 11:00 11:15 11:30 11:45 Total Combined Total

07:30

0.774

42.7%

03:15

0.955

57.3%

Site Code: 999-22587

## Counts Unlimited, Inc.

PO Box 1178 Corona, CA 92878
Phone: (951) 268-6268
email: counts@countsunlimited.com

City of El Centro Aten Road B/ Old Highway 111 - State Route 111 24 Hour Directional Volume Count

ADT/AADT

ADT 7,522

**AADT 7,522** 

Site Code: 999-22587

# Counts Unlimited, Inc. PO Box 1178

PO Box 1178 Corona, CA 92878 Phone: (951) 268-6268 email: counts@countsunlimited.com

City of El Centro Evan Hewes Highway W/ Old Highway 111 24 Hour Directional Volume Count

Start         15-Jun-22         Eastbound         Hour Totals         Westbound         Hour Totals           Time         Wed         Morning         Afternoon         Morning         Afternoon         Morning         Afternoon           12:00         4         71         11         83           12:15         2         74         5         81	Morning	ed Totals Afternoon
12:15 2 74 5 81		
12:30 8 69 9 89		
12:45 7 77 21 291 5 100 30 353	51	644
01:00 5 74 9 78		
01:15 4 74   12 94		
01:30 7 73 6 76		
01:45 3 69 19 290 9 <b>110</b> 36 358	55	648
02:00 5 72 7 87		
02:15 10 87 4 91		
02:30 7 83 7 122		
02:45 6 72 28 314 6 96 24 396	52	710
03:00 7 70 6 84		
03:15 13 88 10 84		
03:30 12 100   18 93		
03:45 13 79 45 337 12 86 46 347	91	684
04:00 22 92 28 99		
04:15 19 90 21 90		
04:30 36 66 29 59		
04:45 37 75 114 323 42 76 120 324	234	647
05:00 47 94 33 63		0
05:15 56 87 53 96		
05:30 64 85 32 73		
05:45 34 60 201 326 56 92 174 324	375	650
06:00 22 66 29 47		
06:15 42 54 53 52		
06:30 36 65 54 35		
06:45 40 46 140 231 68 65 204 199	344	430
07:00 58 50 79 46		
07:15 59 34 86 33		
07:30 60 39 99 34		
07:45 79 46 256 169 <b>122</b> 50 386 163	642	332
08:00 49 47 66 28	•	-
08:15 45 40 79 29		
08:30 64 37 114 29		
08:45 47 33 205 157 74 24 333 110	538	267
09:00 44 39 70 32		
09:15 48 38 92 18		
09:30 57 22 108 14		
09:45 47 23 196 122 86 29 356 93	552	215
10:00 57 16 95 29		
10:15 54 18 83 13		
10:30 69 11 91 28		
10:45 59 14 239 59 90 28 359 98	598	157
11:00 83 11 79 12		
11:15 58 12 91 17		
11:30 68 7 77 10		
11:45 <b>70</b> 11 279 41 85 12 332 51	611	92
Total 1743 2660 1743 2660 2400 2816 2400 2816	4143	5476
Combined		
Total 4403 4403 5216 5216	961	19
AM Peak - 11:00 07:00	-	( <del>*</del> ,
Vol 279 386	(#0)	( <del>+</del> )
P.H.F. 0.840 0.791		
PM Peak 03:30 01:45	-	
Vol 361 410 -	·	
P.H.F. 0.903 0.840		
Percentag 39.6% 60.4% 46.0% 54.0%		
ADT/AADT ADT 9,619 AADT 9,619		

Counts Unlimited, Inc.
PO Box 1178
Corona, CA 92878 Phone: (951) 268-6268 email: counts@countsunlimited.com

City of El Centro Evan Hewes Highway B/ Old Highway 111 - State Route 111 24 Hour Directional Volume Count

ECO006 Site Code: 999-22587

Start	15-Jun-22 Wed	Eastbo			Totals	Westb			Totals	Combined	
Time	vved		Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon
12:00		5	73			12	91				
12:15		2 9	82			7	90				
12:30			87	20	200	8	90	20	204		
12:45 01:00		6 8	84	22	326	6	110	33	381	55	707
01:00		3	94			9	79				
01:13		3 6	82 70			12	98				
01:45		4		21	327	8	80	20	070	00	~~~
02:00		6	81	21	321	10	116	39	373	60	700
02:00		7	76   97			7	89				
02:10		9	- 1			3	102				
02:45		7	94   82	29	349	10 6	<b>125</b> 97	200	440		700
03:00		7	76	29	349	7	88	26	413	55	762
03:15		13	94			10	97				
03:30		13	112			18	87				
03:45		15	86	48	368			40	200	00	700
04:00		19	101	40	300	13	88	48	360	96	728
04:00		19	86			27 22	104				
04:10		40	77			27	89		- 1		
04:45		43	81	121	345	40	59   72	116	224	997	000
05:00		49	97	121	343	33	61	110	324	237	669
05:15		59	96			58	95		1		
05:30		70	95			34	75		1		
05:45		37	73	215	361	56	88	181	319	396	680
06:00		20	75	210	33.1	33	46	101	515	330	000
06:15		48	54			55	55				
06:30		33	71		1	56	43		1		
06:45		51	58	152	258	71	63	215	207	367	465
07:00		51	49			78	46		20.	00.	,,,,
07:15		72	41			88	36		1		
07:30		52	37		- 1	120	40		- 1		
07:45		83	56	258	183	116	49	402	171	660	354
08:00		50	49			68	30	.02		000	007
08:15		59	46		1	82	28		1		
08:30		53	42		- 1	111	32		1		•
08:45		47	34	209	171	73	27	334	117	543	288
09:00		44	41		- 1	76	31				
09:15		50	40			90	20				
09:30		53	25			107	16		1		
09:45		58	28	205	134	91	32	364	99	569	233
10:00		59	14			97	33				
10:15		65	21			77	11				
10:30		72	12			96	29				
10:45		63	14	259	61	83	29	353	102	612	163
11:00		86	10			77	13				
11:15		63	13			93	16				
11:30		72	7			78	9				1
11:45		87	11	308	41	88	13	336	51	644	92
Total		1847	2924	1847	2924	2447	2917	2447	2917	4294	5841
Combined		4771		477	1	536	4	536	34	1013	5
Total									-	,,,,	
AM Peak	:52	11:00			5.55	07:00	; <b>=</b> 7	A#2	**		-
Vol. P.H.F.	-	308 0.885	-	(€)		402	-	2=1	=	2	12
P.H.F. PM Peak			02-15			0.838	04.45				
Vol.	=======================================		03:15 393	356	() <b>€</b> 0	2	01:45 432	3.40	*	**	
P.H.F.		-	0.877			-		-	-	-	
Lightline .			0.077				0.864				
Percentag e		38.7%	61.3%			45.6%	54.4%				
DT/AADT	ΔΓ	OT 10,135		DT 10,135							
- 1110101	<i>∩</i> ,	J 10,100	~~	D 1 10, 100							

Site Code: 999-22587

Counts Unlimited, Inc.
PO Box 1178
Corona, CA 92878
Phone: (951) 268-6268 email: counts@countsunlimited.com

City of El Centro Old Highway 111 N/ Evan Hewes Highway 24 Hour Directional Volume Count

Start	15-Jun-22	Northbo		Hour T		Southt		Hour			ed Totals
Time	Wed		Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoor
12:00		0	7			1	22				
12:15		Ö	3			0	9		[		
12:30		0	4			1	8				
12:45		0	6	0	20	0	13	2	52	2	7:
01:00		0	3			ō	12	_	92	_	• •
01:15		1	8		1	ŏ	8				
01:30		ó	14		1	1	25				
					0.7		25	_		_	_
01:45		0	12	1	37	1	13	2	58	3	9:
02:00		0	7			0	17		[		
02:15		0	7		- 1	0	17				
02:30		0	10		1	0	19				
02:45		1	8	1	32	0	12	0	65	1	97
03:00		2	9			0	11				
03:15		ō	15			Ö	10				
03:30		1	14								
						0	26				
03:45		1	10	4	48	1	19	1	66	5	114
04:00		6	13		1	1	23				
04:15		2	10		1	0	19				
04:30		7	7		1	3	14		1		
04:45		3	6	18	36	6	11	10	67	28	10
05:00		5	4	10	99	1	20	10	١ ,٠	20	10
05:15		5 6			1				1		
05.15		3	4			5	17		1		
05:30		7	2			4	11				
05:45		6	2	24	12	8	19	18	67	42	7:
06:00		2	5		- 1	4	6		1		
06:15		8	7			4	11				
06:30		8	5		1	2	13		- 1		
06:45		12	4	30	21	2 8	6	18	36	48	57
07:00		14	3	00		11	3	10	30	40	51
		10	3								
07:15			2			14	6				
07:30		14	4			7	4		1		
07:45		12	2	50	11	15	7	47	20	97	31
08:00		8	2		1	11	2				
08:15		13	2			14	2		1		
08:30		14	5			12	8				
08:45		8	7	43	46			4.4	4-7	0.7	
		0		43	16	7	5	44	17	87	33
09:00		6	1		1	7	4				
09:15		8	1		1	5 8	1				
09:30		11	2		1	8	3				
09:45		7	0	32	4	5	2	25	10	57	14
10:00		8	2			10	2			•	•
10:15		7	ō			17	1				
10:30		4	2			6	o l		1		
10:45				20				40			
10.45		13	0	32	4	9	1	42	4	74	8
11:00		9	0			15	3				
11:15		10	1		- 1	14	1				
11:30		11	1			8	2		- 1		
11:45		4	1	34	3	11	0	48	6	82	9
Total		269	244	269	244	257	468	257	468	526	712
ombined											
Total		513		513		725	i	72	5	123	38
M Peak	2	06:45	©	<b>3</b> 3		07:45					
Vol.			-				E.	35%	5		
VUI.	P#2	50	-	:97	(0)	52	-	-	-	-	,
P.H.F.		0.893				0.867					
M Peak	*	-	03:15	<b>₹</b> 7	ST.	<del></del>	03:30		=	Ŧ.	
Vol.	1.00 P	(100€	52			*	87	963	i.e.	<u>#</u>	
P.H.F.			0.867				0.837				
ercentag		52.4%	47.6%			25 40/	CA 20/				
		JZ.4%	41.0%			35.4%	64.6%				
е		DT 1,238		DT 1,238							

Site Code: 999-22587

# Counts Unlimited, Inc.

PO Box 1178 Corona, CA 92878 Phone: (951) 268-6268 email: counts@countsunlimited.com

City of El Centro Old Highway 111 S/ Evan Hewes Highway 24 Hour Directional Volume Count