

# TO: ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION COMMITTEE AGENDA DATE: June 13, 2024 FROM: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES AGENDA TIME: 1:30PM / No. 6

| PROJECT TYPE:                              |                    | Vikings Energ<br>Parcel Mar |  |               | sı     | JPERVISOR D   | IST # <u>5</u> |
|--|--------------------|-----------------------------|--|---------------|--------|---|----------------|
| LOCATION:                                  | 2910 E. Nels       | on Pit Road                 |  |               | NPN: _ | 050-070-019-  | 000            |
|  | Holtville,         | CA                          |  |               | PAI    | RCEL SIZE: <u>80</u>                                  | -AC            |
| GENERAL PLAN                               | (existing) F       | Agriculture                 |  | GENERA        | L PLA  | N (proposed)  | N/A            |
| ZONE (existing)                            | A-2-RE (Gene       | eral Agricultu              | re, Rene                               | ewable Energy | ) z    | ONE (proposed)_                                       | N/A            |
| GENERAL PLAN                               | <u>FINDINGS</u>    |                             | ENT                                    | ☐ INCONSIST   | ENT    | MAY BE/F  | INDINGS        |
| PLANNING COM                               | MISSION DEC        | CISION:                     |  | HEARI         | NG DA  | TE;   |                |
|  |                    | APPROV                      | /ED                                    | DENIED        |        | OTHER   |                |
| PLANNING DIRECTORS DECISION: HEARING DATE: |                    |                             |  |               |        |   |                |
|  |                    | APPROV                      | /ED                                    | DENIED        |        | OTHER   |                |
| <u>ENVIROMENTAL</u>                        | EVALUATIO          | N COMMITTI                  | EE DEC                                 | SISION:       | HEAR   | RING DATE: <u>06-1</u>                                | 3-2024         |
|  |                    |                             |  |               | INITIA | L STUDY: #24-   | 0001           |
|  | ☐ NEGA             | ATIVE DECLAR                | ATION                                  | MITIGATED     | NEG.   | DECLARATION   | EIR            |
| DEPARTMENTAL                               | REPORTS /          | APPROVALS                   | <u>S:</u>                              |               |        |   |                |
| AG<br>APCI<br>E.H.S                        | S.<br>/OES<br>RIFF |                             | NONE NONE NONE NONE NONE NONE District |               |        | ATTACHED ATTACHED ATTACHED ATTACHED ATTACHED ATTACHED |                |

**REQUESTED ACTION:** 

(See Attached)

# 

Initial Study & Environmental Analysis
For:

Parcel Map #02508 Initial Study #24-0001 Vikings Energy Farm, LLC



Prepared By:

#### **COUNTY OF IMPERIAL**

Planning & Development Services Department 801 Main Street El Centro, CA 92243 (442) 265-1736 www.icpds.com

June 2024

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

|          |               |   | PAGE    |
|----------|---------------|---|---------|
| 9        | ECTION        | 1   |         |
| <u> </u> | LOTION        | <del>'</del>  |         |
| I.       | INTRO         | DUCTION   | 3       |
|          |               |   |         |
| S        | <u>ECTION</u> | <u>2</u>  |         |
| 11.      | ENVIR         | ONMENTAL CHECKLIST                                      | 8<br>10 |
|          |               | ECT SUMMARY   | 13      |
|          | ENVIR         | ONMENTAL ANALYSIS                                       | 13      |
|          | I.            | AESTHETICS  | 15      |
|          | II.           | AGRICUI TURE AND FOREST RESOURCES                       | 15      |
|          | III.          | AIR QUALITY   | 16      |
|          | IV.           | BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES                                    |         |
|          | V.            | CULTURAL RESOURCES                                      | 18      |
|          | VI.           | ENERGY  | 18      |
|          | VII.          | GEOLOGY AND SOILS                                       | 16      |
|          | VIII.         | GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION                                 | 20      |
|          | IX.           | HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS                         | , 21    |
|          | <b>X</b> .    | HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY                             | 22      |
|          | XI.           | LAND USE AND PLANNING                                   | 23      |
|          | XII.          | MINERAL RESOURCES                                       | 24      |
|          | XIII.         | NOISE   | 24      |
|          | XIV.          | POPULATION AND HOUSING                                  | 24      |
|          | XV.           | PUBLIC SERVICES   | 26      |
|          | XVI.          | RECREATION  | 26      |
|          | XVII.         | TRANSPORTATION  | 21      |
|          | XVIII.        | TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS |         |
|          | XIX.<br>XX.   | WILDFIRE  | 26      |
|          | <i>XX.</i>    | WILDFIRE  |         |
|          |               |   |         |
| <u>s</u> | ECTION        | <u>13</u>   |         |
| III.     | MAND          | ATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE                          | 23      |
| IV.      | PERS          | ONS AND ORGANIZATIONS CONSULTED                         | 24      |
| V.       |               | RENCES  | 25      |
| VI.      | NEGA          | TIVE DECLARATION - COUNTY OF IMPERIAL                   | 26      |
| 27       | FINDI         |   | 27      |
| <u>s</u> | ECTION        | <u>4</u>  |         |
| \mu      | DEST          | PONSE TO COMMENTS (IF ANY)                              | 28      |
| VIII     |               | ONSE TO COMMENTS (IF ANY)                               | 29      |

## SECTION 1 INTRODUCTION

#### A. PURPOSE

This document is a ☐ policy-level, ☒ project level Initial Study for evaluation of potential environmental impacts resulting with the proposed Parcel Map #02508 (Refer to Exhibit "A" & "B").

# B. CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) REQUIREMENTS AND THE IMPERIAL COUNTY'S GUIDELINES FOR IMPLEMENTING CEQA

As defined by Section 15063 of the State California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines and Section 7 of the County's "CEQA Regulations Guidelines for the Implementation of CEQA, as amended", an Initial Study is prepared primarily to provide the Lead Agency with information to use as the basis for determining whether an Environmental Impact Report (EIR), Negative Declaration, or Mitigated Negative Declaration would be appropriate for providing the necessary environmental documentation and clearance for any proposed project.

- According to Section 15065, an **EIR** is deemed appropriate for a particular proposal if the following conditions occur:
- The proposal has the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment.
- The proposal has the potential to achieve short-term environmental goals to the disadvantage of long-term environmental goals.
- The proposal has possible environmental effects that are individually limited but cumulatively considerable.
- The proposal could cause direct or indirect adverse effects on human beings.

According to Section 15070(a), a Negative Declaration is deemed appropriate if the proposal would not result in any significant effect on the environment.

According to Section 15070(b), a **Mitigated Negative Declaration** is deemed appropriate if it is determined that though a proposal could result in a significant effect, mitigation measures are available to reduce these significant effects to insignificant levels.

This Initial Study has determined that the proposed applications will not result in any potentially significant environmental impacts and therefore, a Negative Declaration is deemed as the appropriate document to provide necessary environmental evaluations and clearance as identified hereinafter.

This Initial Study and Negative Declaration are prepared in conformance with the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended (Public Resources Code, Section 21000 et. seq.); Section 15070 of the State & County of Imperial's Guidelines for Implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Chapter 3, Section 15000, et. seq.); applicable requirements of the County of Imperial; and the regulations, requirements, and procedures of any other responsible public agency or an agency with jurisdiction by law.

Pursuant to the County of Imperial <u>Guidelines for Implementing CEQA</u>, depending on the project scope, the County of Imperial Board of Supervisors, Planning Commission and/or Planning Director is designated the Lead Agency, in accordance with Section 15050 of the CEQA Guidelines. The Lead Agency is the public agency which has the

principal responsibility for approving the necessary environmental clearances and analyses for any project in the County.

#### C. INTENDED USES OF INITIAL STUDY AND NEGATIVE DECLARATION

This Initial Study and Negative Declaration are informational documents which are intended to inform County of Imperial decision makers, other responsible or interested agencies, and the general public of potential environmental effects of the proposed applications. The environmental review process has been established to enable public agencies to evaluate environmental consequences and to examine and implement methods of eliminating or reducing any potentially adverse impacts. While CEQA requires that consideration be given to avoiding environmental damage, the Lead Agency and other responsible public agencies must balance adverse environmental effects against other public objectives, including economic and social goals.

The Initial Study and Negative Declaration, prepared for the project will be circulated for a period of 20 days (30-days if submitted to the State Clearinghouse for a project of area-wide significance) for public and agency review and comments. At the conclusion, if comments are received, the County Planning & Development Services Department will prepare a document entitled "Responses to Comments" which will be forwarded to any commenting entity and be made part of the record within 10-days of any project consideration.

#### D. CONTENTS OF INITIAL STUDY & NEGATIVE DECLARATION

This Initial Study is organized to facilitate a basic understanding of the existing setting and environmental implications of the proposed applications.

#### **SECTION 1**

**I. INTRODUCTION** presents an introduction to the entire report. This section discusses the environmental process, scope of environmental review, and incorporation by reference documents.

#### **SECTION 2**

II. ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM contains the County's Environmental Checklist Form. The checklist form presents results of the environmental evaluation for the proposed applications and those issue areas that would have either a potentially significant impact, potentially significant unless mitigation incorporated, less than significant impact or no impact.

PROJECT SUMMARY, LOCATION AND EVIRONMENTAL SETTINGS describes the proposed project entitlements and required applications. A description of discretionary approvals and permits required for project implementation is also included. It also identifies the location of the project and a general description of the surrounding environmental settings.

**ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS** evaluates each response provided in the environmental checklist form. Each response checked in the checklist form is discussed and supported with sufficient data and analysis as necessary. As appropriate, each response discussion describes and identifies specific impacts anticipated with project implementation.

#### **SECTION 3**

- III. MANDATORY FINDINGS presents Mandatory Findings of Significance in accordance with Section 15065 of the CEQA Guidelines.
- IV. PERSONS AND ORGANIZATIONS CONSULTED identifies those persons consulted and involved in

preparation of this Initial Study and Negative Declaration.

V. REFERENCES lists bibliographical materials used in the preparation of this document.

VI. NEGATIVE DECLARATION - COUNTY OF IMPERIAL

VII. FINDINGS

#### **SECTION 4**

VIII. RESPONSE TO COMMENTS (IF ANY)

IX. MITIGATION MONITORING & REPORTING PROGRAM (MMRP) (IF ANY)

#### E. SCOPE OF ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS

For evaluation of environmental impacts, each question from the Environmental Checklist Form is summarized and responses are provided according to the analysis undertaken as part of the Initial Study. Impacts and effects will be evaluated and quantified, when appropriate. To each question, there are four possible responses, including:

- 1. No Impact: A "No Impact" response is adequately supported if the impact simply does not apply to the proposed applications.
- 2. Less Than Significant Impact: The proposed applications will have the potential to impact the environment. These impacts, however, will be less than significant; no additional analysis is required.
- 3. Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated: This applies where incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact".
- 4. Potentially Significant Impact: The proposed applications could have impacts that are considered significant. Additional analyses and possibly an EIR could be required to identify mitigation measures that could reduce these impacts to less than significant levels.

#### F. POLICY-LEVEL or PROJECT LEVEL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS

This Initial Study and Negative Declaration will be conducted under a ☐ policy-level, ☒ project level analysis. Regarding mitigation measures, it is not the intent of this document to "overlap" or restate conditions of approval that are commonly established for future known projects or the proposed applications. Additionally, those other standard requirements and regulations that any development must comply with, that are outside the County's jurisdiction, are also not considered mitigation measures and therefore, will not be identified in this document.

#### G. TIERED DOCUMENTS AND INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE

Information, findings, and conclusions contained in this document are based on incorporation by reference of tiered documentation, which are discussed in the following section.

#### **Tiered Documents**

As permitted in Section 15152(a) of the CEQA Guidelines, information and discussions from other documents can be included into this document. Tiering is defined as follows:

"Tiering refers to using the analysis of general matters contained in a broader EIR (such as the one prepared

for a general plan or policy statement) with later EIRs and negative declarations on narrower projects; incorporating by reference the general discussions from the broader EIR; and concentrating the later EIR or negative declaration solely on the issues specific to the later project."

Tiering also allows this document to comply with Section 15152(b) of the CEQA Guidelines, which discourages redundant analyses, as follows:

"Agencies are encouraged to tier the environmental analyses which they prepare for separate but related projects including the general plans, zoning changes, and development projects. This approach can eliminate repetitive discussion of the same issues and focus the later EIR or negative declaration on the actual issues ripe for decision at each level of environmental review. Tiering is appropriate when the sequence of analysis is from an EIR prepared for a general plan, policy or program to an EIR or negative declaration for another plan, policy, or program of lesser scope, or to a site-specific EIR or negative declaration."

Further, Section 15152(d) of the CEQA Guidelines states:

"Where an EIR has been prepared and certified for a program, plan, policy, or ordinance consistent with the requirements of this section, any lead agency for a later project pursuant to or consistent with the program, plan, policy, or ordinance should limit the EIR or negative declaration on the later project to effects which:

- (1) Were not examined as significant effects on the environment in the prior EIR; or
- (2) Are susceptible to substantial reduction or avoidance by the choice of specific revisions in the project, by the imposition of conditions, or other means."

#### 2. Incorporation By Reference

Incorporation by reference is a procedure for reducing the size of EIRs/MND and is most appropriate for including long, descriptive, or technical materials that provide general background information, but do not contribute directly to the specific analysis of the project itself. This procedure is particularly useful when an EIR or Negative Declaration relies on a broadly-drafted EIR for its evaluation of cumulative impacts of related projects (*Las Virgenes Homeowners Federation v. County of Los Angeles* [1986, 177 Ca.3d 300]). If an EIR or Negative Declaration relies on information from a supporting study that is available to the public, the EIR or Negative Declaration cannot be deemed unsupported by evidence or analysis (*San Francisco Ecology Center v. City and County of San Francisco* [1975, 48 Ca.3d 584, 595]). This document incorporates by reference appropriate information from the "Final Environmental Impact Report and Environmental Assessment for the "County of Imperial General Plan EIR" prepared by Brian F. Mooney Associates in 1993 and updates.

When an EIR or Negative Declaration incorporates a document by reference, the incorporation must comply with Section 15150 of the CEQA Guidelines as follows:

- The incorporated document must be available to the public or be a matter of public record (CEQA Guidelines Section 15150[a]). The General Plan EIR and updates are available, along with this document, at the County of Imperial Planning & Development Services Department, 801 Main Street, El Centro, CA 92243 Ph. (442) 265-1736.
- This document must be available for inspection by the public at an office of the lead agency (CEQA Guidelines Section 15150[b]). These documents are available at the County of Imperial Planning & Development Services Department, 801 Main Street, El Centro, CA 92243 Ph. (442) 265-1736.
- These documents must summarize the portion of the document being incorporated by reference or briefly

describe information that cannot be summarized. Furthermore, these documents must describe the relationship between the incorporated information and the analysis in the tiered documents (CEQA Guidelines Section 15150[c]). As discussed above, the tiered EIRs address the entire project site and provide background and inventory information and data which apply to the project site. Incorporated information and/or data will be cited in the appropriate sections.

- These documents must include the State identification number of the incorporated documents (CEQA Guidelines Section 15150[d]). The State Clearinghouse Number for the County of Imperial General Plan EIR is SCH #93011023.
- The material to be incorporated in this document will include general background information (CEQA Guidelines Section 15150[f]). This has been previously discussed in this document.

#### Environmental Checklist

1. Project Title: Vikings Energy Farm, LLC

II.

- 2. Lead Agency: Imperial County Planning & Development Services Department
- 3. Contact person and phone number: Gerardo A. Quero, Planner II, (442)265-1736, ext. 1748
- 4. Address: 801 Main Street, El Centro CA, 92243
- 5. E-mail: gerardoquero@co.imperial.ca.us
- Project location: 2910 E. Nelson Pit Road, Holtville, CA 92250.
   Assessor's Parcel Number (APN) 050-070-019-000.
- Project sponsor's name and address: Vikings Energy Farm, LLC

8800 North Gainey Center Drive, Suite #100

Scottdale, AZ 85258

- 8. General Plan designation: Agriculture
- 9. Zoning: A-2-RE (General Agriculture with a Renewable Energy Overlay)
- 10. **Description of project**: The applicant, Vikings Energy Farm, LLC, proposes a minor subdivision in response to the Imperial Irrigation District's request to convey the existing Nelson Switching Station within the Vikings Solar Energy Generation & Storage Facility. Such action will create a lot and separate the existing switching station from the solar energy generation and storage facility, which was previously evaluated environmentally in 2022 under Conditional Use Permit (CUP) #20-0025 through the Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) SCH#2021050036. The existing parcel is approximately 80.00-AC.

Proposed Parcel 1 would be approximately 4.298-AC and would convey the existing Imperial Irrigation District's Nelson Switching Station. Proposed Parcel 2 would be approximately 75.812-AC and would accommodate the existing Vikings Solar Energy and Storage Facility. Both proposed Parcels 1 and 2 would have physical and legal access via Nelson Pit Road.

11. **Surrounding land uses and setting**: The project is bounded by Kavanaugh Road on the North, Nelson Pit Road on the South, and the East Highline Canal on the West. The subject property is described as the West Half of the Northwest Quarter of Section 36; Township 15 South, Range 16 East of the San Bernardino Base and Meridian (S.B.B.M.), containing approximately 80.00 Acres. The property is also known as Assessor's Parcel Number (APN) 050-070-019-000.

The project is surrounded by parcels zoned as G/S (Government/Special Public) on the North; G/S-RE (Government/Special Public with a Renewable Energy Overlay) on the South; A-2-RE (General Agriculture with a Renewable Energy Overlay) on the East; and A-2 (General Agriculture) on the West.

The proposed minor subdivision is consistent with the Imperial County Land Use Ordinance (Title 9), Division 5 (A-2 "General Agriculture" Zoning Ordinance), Section 90508.00 et. al. and Division 8 (Subdivision Ordinance), Section 90805.00 et. al. Proposed Parcel 2 accommodating the existing Vikings Solar Energy Generation & Storage Facility and approximately 75.812-AC, is consistent with Division 5, Section 90508.04, as it meets the minimum lot size requirement of 40-AC in the A-2 (General Agriculture) zone. Although proposed Parcel 1, approximately 4.298-AC, does not meet the minimum lot size requirement in the A-2 (General Agriculture), it is found to be consistent with Division 5, Section 90508.04, as it would be conveyed to or from a government agency or public entity, for public purpose, public utility purpose (non-fee) right-of-way for the purposes of accommodating the existing Imperial Irrigation District's Nelson Switching Station.

Additionally, the proposed action on the submitted application is considered as a minor subdivision of land, creating four (4) or fewer parcels, meeting the requirements for a parcel map under the Division 8 (Subdivision Ordinance), Section 90805,00 et. al.

**12. Other public agencies whose approval is required** (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement.): Planning Commission.

13. Have California Native American tribes traditionally and culturally affiliated with the project area requested consultation pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21080.3.1? If so, is there a plan for consultation that includes, for example, the determination of significance of impacts to tribal cultural resources, procedures regarding confidentially, etc.?

The Quechan and Campo Band of Mission Indian Tribes have requested to be consulted under Assembly Bill 52. Consultation letters were sent to the Quechan and Campo Band of Mission Indian Tribes. No comments have been received from the Quechan and Campo Band of Mission Indians Tribe for this project to this date.

Note: Conducting consultation early in the CEQA process allows tribal governments, lead agencies, and project proponents to discuss the level of environmental review, identify and address potential adverse impacts to tribal cultural resources, and reduce the potential for delay and conflict in the environmental review process. (See Public Resources Code, Section 21080.3.2). Information may also be available from the California Native American Heritage Commission's Sacred Lands File per Public Resources Code, Section 5097.96 and the California Historical Resources Information System administered by the California Office of Historic Preservation. Please also note that Public Resources Code, Section 21082.3 (c) contains provisions specific to confidentiality.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:**

| The ent                                     | vironmental factors checke<br>"Potentially Significant In  | ed belo  | w would be potentially affe<br>as indicated by the checklis  | cted by this p<br>at on the follo                        | oroje<br>wing                  | ct, involving at least one impact<br>pages.  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--------------------------------|--|
|   | Aesthetics   |  | Agriculture and Forestry Resources   |  | ]                              | Air Quality  |
|   | Biological Resources   |  | Cultural Resources   |  | ]                              | Energy   |
|   | Geology /Soils   |  | Greenhouse Gas Emissions   |  | ]                              | Hazards & Hazardous Materials  |
|   | Hydrology / Water Quality  |  | Land Use / Planning  |  | ]                              | Mineral Resources  |
|   | Noise  |  | Population / Housing   |  | ]                              | Public Services  |
|   | Recreation   |  | Transportation   |  | ]                              | Tribal Cultural Resources  |
|   | Utilities/Service Systems  |  | Wildfire   |  | ]                              | Mandatory Findings of Significance   |
| After Ro                                    | eview of the Initial Study, t  | he Env   | rironmental Evaluation Con   | nmittee has:   |                                | e environment, and a <u>NEGATIVE</u>   |
| Fo<br>significa<br>A MITIO                  | und that although the prop<br>ant effect in this case beca<br>SATED NEGATIVE DECL  | oosed<br>use rev<br>ARATI                        | visions in the project have b<br>ON will be prepared.  | een made by  | or a                           | e environment, there will not be a agreed to by the project proponent.  ment, and an <u>ENVIRONMENTAL</u>  |
| IMPAC Formitigate pursual analysis only the | TREPORT is required.  und that the proposed pred impact on the environment to applicable legal stars as described on attache effects that remain to be | oject N<br>nent, b<br>ndards<br>d shee<br>addres | MAY have a "potentially si<br>ut at least one effect 1) has<br>, and 2) has been addres<br>ts. An ENVIRONMENTAL<br>ssed. | gnificant imp<br>been adequ<br>sed by mitig<br>IMPACT RE | pact"<br>uatel<br>ation<br>POF | or "potentially significant unless<br>y analyzed in an earlier document<br>n measures based on the earlier<br>T is required, but it must analyze |
| significa<br>applica<br>DECLA               | ant effects (a) have been<br>ble standards, and (b)  | analyz<br>have                                   | zed adequately in an earlie<br>been avoided or mitigate  | er EIR or NE<br>ed pursuant                              | :GA<br>: to                    | nvironment, because all potentially FIVE DECLARATION pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE on the proposed project, nothing                   |
|   | EEC VOTES  PUBLIC WORKS ENVIRONMENTAL HE OFFICE EMERGENCY APCD AG SHERIFF DEPARTME ICPDS   | SERV   |  | ABSENT   |                                | -2024  |

#### PROJECT SUMMARY


- A. Project Location: the proposed project would be located at 2910 E. Nelson Pit Road, Holtville, CA 92250; Assessor's Parcel Number (APN) 050-070-019-000.
- B. Project Summary: The applicant, Vikings Energy Farm, LLC, proposes a minor subdivision in response to the Imperial Irrigation District's request to convey the existing Nelson Switching Station within the Vikings Solar Energy Generation & Storage Facility.
- C. Environmental Setting: The proposed project parcel is relatively flat, located approximately 5.5 miles east of the city limits of the City of Holtville, bounded by Kavanaugh Road on the North, Nelson Pit Road on the South, and the East Highline Canal on the West.
- D. Analysis: Under the Land Use Element of the Imperial County General Plan, the project site is designated as "Agriculture." It is classified as A-2-RE (General Agriculture with a Renewable Energy Overlay) per Zone Map #22 of the Imperial County Land Use Ordinance (Title 9). Initial Study #24-0001 will analyze any impacts related to the proposed project.

The proposed minor subdivision is projecting (2) two parcels: proposed Parcel 1 with approximately  $\pm 4.298$  Acres and proposed Parcel 2 with approximately  $\pm 75.812$  Acres, which complies with Sections 90508.00 et. al. and 90805.00 et. al. of the Imperial County Land Use Ordinance (Title 9). Both proposed parcels are to remain in agricultural use. No change to the existing zoning is anticipated.

E. General Plan Consistency: Per the Imperial County General Plan, the land use designation for this project is "Agriculture" and zoned A-2-RE as (General Agriculture with a Renewable Energy Overlay) per Zone Map #22 of the Imperial County Land Use Ordinance (Title 9). The proposed project is consistent with the General Plan and County Land Use Ordinance, Section 90508.00 et. al., since no change is being proposed to the existing "Agriculture" designation.

## Exhibit "A" Vicinity Map


### PROJECT LOCATION MAP





VIKINGS ENERGY FARM, LLC PM #02508 / IS #24-0001 APN 050-070-019-000

CORRECTED LOCATION






**EEC ORIGINAL PKG** 

# Exhibit "B" Site Plan/Tract Map/etc.

#### TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP SWITCHYARD

PORTION OF SECTION 36, TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 16 EAST, SAN BERNARDINO BASE & MERIDIAN, COUNTY OF IMPERIAL, STATE OF CALIFORNIA MARCH. 2024 CWE SCALE: 1"=40"



#### **EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:**

- A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4) "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analyses," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
- 5) Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
  - a) Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
  - b) Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
  - c) Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7) Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8) This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
- 9) The explanation of each issue should identify:
  - a) the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
  - b) the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance

|                            |  | Potentially  | Less Than<br>Significant with   | Less Than   |   |
|----------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|
|                            |  | Significant<br>Impact<br>(PSI)   | Mitigation<br>Incorporated<br>(LTSWMI)  | Significant<br>Impact<br>(LTSI)   | No Impact<br>(NI)   |
| <br>I. АЕ                  | STHETICS   |  |   |   |   |
| Excep                      | t as provided in Public Resources Code Section 21099, would the p  | roject:  |   |   |   |
| a)                         | Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista or scenic highway?   |  |   |   |   |
|                            | a) Four areas within the County have the potential as state located near any scenic vista or scenic highway according Highway Element <sup>2</sup> and California State Scenic Highway Systems   | , to the Imperia   | al County General Pla   | ver, the project<br>in Circulation a  | site is not<br>ind Scenic   |
| b)                         | Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?   |  |   |   | $\boxtimes$   |
|                            | b) As previously stated on section (I)(a), the proposed project not substantially damage any scenic resources. The neares Project site. This highway is not a designated scenic highway California State Scenic Highway System Map is Route-78, locadoes not contain any rock outcroppings and has very few Report prepared for the Project, there are no historic by construction of the Project is not anticipated to substantially  | st highway is H<br>. The nearest elighted 39.82 miles<br>trees. Accordin<br>uildings within            | ighway 115 located 1<br>gible state scenic high<br>northwest of the Proje<br>ig to the Class III Cult<br>the project vicinity | .4 miles southy<br>way according<br>ect site. The proj<br>tural Resources<br>(SWCA 2021e).              | vest of the<br>to Caltrans<br>ect vicinity<br>Inventory<br>As such,       |
| c)                         | In non-urbanized areas, substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of public views of the site and its surrounding? (Public views are those that are experienced from publicly accessible vantage point.) If the project is in an urbanized area, would the project conflict with applicable   |  |   |   |   |
|                            | zoning and other regulations governing scenic quality?  c) The proposed project is a minor subdivision in response to Nelson Switching Station within the Vikings Solar Energy (substantially or physically degrade the existing visual charasince the existing zoning designation is proposed to remain.  | Generation & Stacter or quality  | torage Facility. The p<br>of public views of the  | roposed action  | would not   |
| d)                         | Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would  |  |   |   | $\boxtimes$   |
|                            | adversely affect day or nightlime views in the area?  d) The proposed minor subdivision does not include any st impacts are expected.  | ubstantial sourc   | ce of nighttime light i   | n the project's v   | vicinity. No  |
| <b>II.</b>                 | AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES   |  |   |   |   |
| Agricu<br>use in<br>enviro | ermining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significal<br>illural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared<br>assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining who<br>mmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled bate's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assess<br>measurement methodology provided in Forest Protocols adopted   | by the California<br>ether impacts to<br>by the California l<br>ssment Project a                       | a Department of Consert<br>forest resources, include<br>Department of Forestry<br>and the Forest Legacy A                     | vation as an opti<br>ding timberland,<br>and Fire Protect<br>Assessment proje                           | are significant<br>tion regarding<br>ect; and forest                      |
| a)                         | Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-parior threat use?   |  |   |   |   |
|                            | agricultural use?  a) The proposed project is a minor subdivision in response to Nelson Switching Station within the Vikings Solar Energy Goontains approximately 0.47 acres of Farmland of State Important Farmland Mapping & Monitoring Program: Imperience Generation & Storage Project EIR (SCH#202105003 Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Important Proposition of Statewide Important Programs of Sta | eneration & Stor<br>ortance with the<br>al County Impo<br>6) <sup>1</sup> , the proposertance to non-a | rage Facility. Although<br>ne remainder being Of<br>rtant Farmland 2022 I<br>ed action would not<br>agricultural use. Addit   | h the proposed<br>ther Land accor<br>Map <sup>4</sup> and the Vi<br>convert any typ<br>ionally, on Marc | project site<br>ding to the<br>kings Solar<br>be of Prime<br>ch 20, 2024, |
| b)                         | Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a   |  |   |   | $\boxtimes$   |

|       |  |  | Less Than   |   |  |
|-------|--|--|---|---|--|
|       |  | Potentially  | Significant with  | Less Than   |  |
|       |  | Significant  | Mitigation<br>Incorporated  | Significant<br>Impact   | No Impact  |
|       |  | Impact<br>(PSI)  | (LTSWMI)  | (LTSI)  | (NI)   |
|       | Williamson Act Contract?  b) The County of Imperial has no current active Williamson Act Enrollment Finder <sup>6</sup> , Imperial County is withdrawn from t expected to conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use,  | t contracts. Add   | son Act; therefore, th  | e proposed pro  | ject is not  |
| c)    | Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code Section 51104(g))?  |  |   |   | $\boxtimes$  |
|       | c) The proposed project is consistent with the existing zonin nor surrounding areas are used for timber production or are not conflict with any zoning designations designed to preser to conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, fore timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 45 Government Code Section 51104(g)). Additionally, on Mar Agricultural Commissioner <sup>5</sup> in reference to the project. No in   | defined as fores<br>ve timber or agri<br>st land (as defin<br>26), or timberlar<br>ch 20, 2024, IC                           | it lands. The proposed<br>icultural resources; the<br>led in Public Resource<br>and zoned Timberland<br>PDS received a no-c   | minor subdivisionerefore, it is no<br>es Code section<br>Production (as                       | t expected<br>12220(g)),<br>defined by             |
| d)    | Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to  |  |   |   | $\boxtimes$  |
|       | non-forest use?  d) As previously stated under item (II)(c) above, the propose lands either on-site or in the project vicinity; therefore, it is no forest land to non-forest. No impacts are expected.  | ed project is not<br>not expected to r   | located in a forest la<br>result in the loss of fo  | nd with no exis<br>rest land or cor   | ting forest<br>oversion of                         |
| e)    | Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?  e) As previously stated on sections (II)(a), II(c) and II(d), the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, agricultural use. Therefore, no impacts are expected.  | proposed mino  | or subdivision does neithe conversion of neith  | ot include char   | ⊠<br>nges in the<br>and to non-                    |
| Vhere | QUALITY available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air ipon to the following determinations. Would the Project:  | quality managen  | nent district or air pollut   | ion control distric   | ct may be  |
| a)    | Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air   |  |   | $\boxtimes$   |  |
|       | quality plan?  a) The proposed project is for a minor subdivision, and it is applicable air quality plan. Additionally, per Imperial County 2024, the proposed project and all developments must comp Regulation VIII – Fugitive Dust Rules, a collection of rules of opacity. The Air District also reminds the applicant that an Obe submitted for Air District review and approval prior to operational. Finally, the Air District requests a copy of the final rules and regulations will bring any impacts to less than significant to the submitted of the property of the final rules and regulations will bring any impacts to less than significant that and provides the property of the final rules and regulations will bring any impacts to less than significant that the proposed project is for a minor subdivision, and it is applicable to a project the proposed project and all developments must compare the proposed project and all developments must compare the proposed project and all developments must compare the project and proj | y Air Pollution C<br>ly with all Air Dis<br>designed to mail<br>perational Dust (<br>o the completional<br>dized map for its | Control District's com<br>strict Rules & Regulat<br>ntain fugitive dust en<br>Control Plan is require<br>on of construction a | iment letter, da<br>ions and would<br>nissions below<br>ed for the project<br>and the project | emphasize<br>20% visual<br>et and must<br>becoming |
| b)    | Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard?  b) As previously stated under item (III)(a) above, all developm County Air Pollution Control District, therefore, it is not expean existing or projected air quality violation. Therefore, any   | cted that the pro  | oposed project would  | substantially co  | the Imperial ontribute to                          |
| c)    | Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutants   |  |   |   |  |
|       | concentrations?  c) As previously stated under items III(a) and III(b), the pregulations set forth by the Imperial County Air Pollution Coepasse sensitive recentors to substantial pollutants concerns.   | ntrol District; th   | erefore, the proposed   | omply with the<br>project is not  | expected to  |

W.

|     |    |  | Potentially<br>Significant<br>Impact<br>(PSI)   | Significant with<br>Mitigation<br>Incorporated<br>(LTSWMI)  | Less Than<br>Significant<br>Impact<br>(LTSI)  | No Impact<br>(NI)                           |
|-----|----|--|---|---|---|---|
|     |    | regulations would bring any impacts to less than significant   |   |   |   |   |
|     | d) | Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors adversely affecting a substantial number of people?  d) The proposed project does not contain any permanent re   | cidents or sansi  | live recentors. Althou  | Inh impacts wo  | uld be less                                 |
|     |    | than significant, the ICAPCD CEQA Air Quality Handbook co<br>and fugitive PM10 that shall be implemented at all construc-<br>therefore, all standard and discretionary measures for const  | ntains standard r<br>ction sites, as ap   | nitigation measures to<br>propriate and feasible  | or construction<br>e, regardless o  | equipment                                   |
|     |    | Additionally, as previously stated on item (III)(c) above, objectionable odors that would adversely affect a substant above, compliance with APCD's requirements, rules, and re  | ial number of pe  | opie. Also, as previo   | usiy stated on  | item (millo)                                |
| IV. | ВЮ | LOGICAL RESOURCES Would the project:   |   |   |   |   |
|     | a) | Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish   |   |   | $\boxtimes$   |   |
|     |    | and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?  a) Although the Imperial County General Plan's Conservation and Figure 3 – "Agency-Designated Habitats Map, 36" and identified various flora and fauna (the Flat-Tailed Horned Liz birds to occur anywhere within or adjacent to the project si physical changes to the environment. Less than significant  | the Vikings Sola<br>ard) species, a m<br>te, the proposed   | r Energy Generation<br>ammal (the Yuma his<br>minor subdivision de  | & Storage Pro<br>pid cotton rat), a   | and nesting                                 |
|     | b) | Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?  b) According to the National Wetlands Inventory: Surface We within a riparian habitat. Additionally, as previously stated Conservation and Open Space Element and the Vikings Soflora and fauna species, a mammal, and nesting birds to oc project action does not appear to have a substantial effect sensitive natural communities or by the California Departrimpacts are expected to be less than significant. | on section (IV)(a<br>lar Energy Gener<br>cur anywhere wit<br>in local regional                      | a), although the Imperation & Storage Projection & Storage Projection or adjacent to the plans, policies, and               | rial County Gel<br>ect's EIR identil<br>project site, the<br>regulations with                     | fied various<br>ne proposed<br>h respect to |
|     | c) | Have a substantial adverse effect on state or federally protected wellands (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?  |   |   | $\boxtimes$   |   |
|     |    | c) According to the National Wetlands Inventory: Surface Wa<br>& Storage Project's EIR¹, approximately 1.40 acre of Fresh<br>(2,420 linear feet) of Waters of the U.S. are contained within the<br>with no impacts projected. Additionally, the proposed proje<br>District's request to convey the existing Nelson Switching<br>Facility. Any impacts are expected to be less than significant   | nwater Forested/s<br>the proposed pro<br>ct is for a minor s<br>station within t                    | Shrub Wetlands and<br>ject site; however, the<br>abdivision in respon   | approximately<br>ese areas would<br>se to the Imperi  | 2.872 acres I be avoided ial Irrigation     |
|     | d) | Interfere substantially with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?   |   |   | $\boxtimes$   |   |
|     |    | d) The proposed project site currently provides unrestricte There are no federal, state, or local parks or designated wild property <sup>1</sup> . Similarly, there are no U.S. Fish and Wildlife (USF no California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) Natu project site <sup>1</sup> . The proposed minor subdivision will not intenative resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or wildlife species or wildlife species of mative wildlife nursery sites (SWCA 2021)  | llife corridors or<br>WS)- designated<br>ral Community C<br>rfere substantiall<br>th established no | conservation areas o<br>critical habitat or Hal<br>onservation Plan at o<br>y with the currently I<br>ative resident or mig | n or adjacent to<br>bitat Conservati<br>or adjacent to the<br>restricted move<br>pratory wildlife | ion Plan and<br>he proposed<br>ment of any  |

Less Than

|     |     | a .   | Potentially<br>Significant<br>Impact<br>( <b>PSI</b> )                               | Significant with<br>Mitigation<br>Incorporated<br>(LTSWMI)                                    | Less Than<br>Significant<br>Impact<br>(LTSI)                               | No Impact<br>(NI)                                      |
|-----|-----|---|--|---|--|--|
|     |     |   |  |   |  |  |
|     | e)  | Conflict with any local policies or ordinance protecting biological resource, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?  |  |   | $\boxtimes$  |  |
|     |     | e) The proposed project is a minor subdivision in response: Nelson Switching Station within the Vikings Solar Energy G not conflict with any local policy or ordinance protecting ordinances. Any impacts are expected to be less than signif   | eneration & Stora<br>g biological reso   | age Facility, and who   | se proposed ac   | tion would   |
|     | f)  | Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?   |  |   |  | $\boxtimes$  |
|     |     | f) According to the Imperial County General Plan's Conservation & Storage Project EIR,¹ the proposed project Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, plan. No impacts are expected.   | area is not locat  | ed within an area th  | iat is subject to  | a Habitat  |
| ٧.  | CUL | TURAL RESOURCES Would the project:  |  |   |  |  |
|     | a)  | Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource pursuant to §15064.5?  a) According to the Imperial County General Plan's Conserved Historic Period Sensitivity Map <sup>8d</sup> ," the proposed project site Trail Route (1770-1890). Additionally, in accordance to Figure the proposed project site is not located within the immed Americans. Furthermore, on May 19, 2024, the County sent and of Mission Indian Tribes in reference to the proposed Tribes to this date. Any impacted are expected to be less that | may be located ware 6 - "Known A diate vicinity of Assembly Bill 52 minor subdivisio | within the Sitgreaves<br>reas of Native Ameri<br>a known area of cu<br>consultation letters t | and Parke Explo<br>can Cultural Se<br>Itural sensitivity<br>to the Quechan | nsitivity, <sup>8e</sup> "<br>y to Native<br>and Campo |
|     | b)  | Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5?  |  |   | $\boxtimes$  |  |
|     |     | b) The proposed project is for a minor subdivision in responsexisting Nelson Switching Station within the Vikings Solar Ecausing a substantial adverse change to any archeological on May 19, 2024, the County sent Assembly Bill 52 consulta Tribes in reference to the proposed project with no commer expected to be less than significant.  | Energy Generatio<br>resource. Addition<br>tion letters to the                        | n & Storage Facility a<br>mally, as previously a<br>Quechan and Camp                          | and does not an<br>mentioned on it<br>o Band of Missi                      | ticipate<br>em (V)(a),<br>on Indian                    |
|     | c)  | Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cerneteries?   |  |   | $\boxtimes$  |  |
|     |     | c) As previously stated on items (V)(a) and (V)(b) above, the vicinity of any cemeteries; therefore, the proposed minor su interred outside of dedicated cemeteries. Less than signific   | bdivision would  | not disturb any huma  | d within or adja<br>an remains, incl                                       | cent to the<br>uding those                             |
| VI. | ENE | ERGY Would the project:   |  |   |  |  |
|     | a)  | Result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation?  a) The proposed minor subditioning does not include nor co of energy resources. Additionally, per comment letter received construction or operation above ground or underground uticompliance with IID's standards, regulations, and recommended.   | ved from the Imp<br>lities, the applica  | erial tririgation Distri<br>nt will be required to  | ct <sup>10</sup> dated April<br>contact IID. Adh                           | 3, 2024, any<br>nerence and                            |
|     | b)  | Conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable   |  |   | $\boxtimes$  |  |

Less Than

Potentially Significant Impact (PSI)

Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated (LTSWMI)

Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)

No Impact (NI)

energy or energy efficiency?

VII.

b) The proposed project would not conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency and no impacts would occur under this criteria<sup>1</sup>. Additionally, as previously mentioned on item (VI)(a), the applicant would adhere and comply with IID's standards, regulations, and recommendations. Any impacts are expected to be less than significant.

|     | _  |  |  |   |  |   |
|-----|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| GEO | DLOG   | GY AND SOILS Would the project:  |  |   |  |   |
| a)  | effect<br>a) Ti<br>seise<br>Earti<br>Calif<br>Ther<br>and<br>may | ctly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse cts, including risk of loss, injury, or death involving: the proposed project is located in southern California, an a mic events. The proposed project site does not lie with the hquake Fault Zone (Landmark Consulting 2021). Well-defornia Geological Survey [CGS] maps; however, no activerfore, active fault rupture is unlikely to occur at the proposed deep alluvium of the region, the potential for surface rupter underlie the site.   itionally, any new or existing development would be subjuing Code as well as to go through a ministerial building per regulations would bring any impact to less than significant   | in a currently of dineated fault line faults are maded project site. It ure cannot be prected to compliant review. Adh   | delineated State of<br>nes cross through<br>pped in the imme<br>However, because of<br>recluded on undis-<br>ance with the lates  | f California, Alq<br>this region as<br>diate vicinity of<br>of the high tecto<br>covered or new<br>st edition of the     | uist-Priolo shown on f the site <sup>1</sup> . nic activity faults that  California |
|     | 1)   | Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42?  1) According to the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Activity Map <sup>12</sup> , United States Geological Survey's Quand Public Safety Element <sup>14</sup> , Figure 1-"Seismic Activity Map <sup>82</sup> " from the Imperial County General Plan: Conservati located within known fault zone.  Although the nearest zoned fault to the proposed project the Imperial fault located approximately 7.9 miles west to D per Section 1613 et. seq. of the California Building Coincorporate the most stringent earthquake resistant meas   | e Fault Zoning M<br>uaternary Faults<br>in Imperial Cou<br>on and Open Sp<br>site is the Rico fa<br>the southwest <sup>1</sup> ,<br>lode, which requ<br>ures. Additionall  | Map <sup>13</sup> , Imperial Co<br>inty Map <sup>14a*</sup> and F<br>ace Element <sup>8</sup> , the p<br>ault located approx<br>Imperial County is<br>ires that any deve<br>ly, as previously m | unty General Place<br>incorposed project<br>cimately 7.1 mile<br>classified as Se<br>lopments within<br>entioned in sect | an Seismic ic Hazards t site is not swest and ismic Zone this zone ion (VII)(a),    |
|     | 0)   | any new or existing development would be subjected to go<br>compliance with these standards and regulations would be   | o through a mini   | sterial building per  | mit review. Adh  | erence and  |
|     | 2)   | Strong Seismic ground shaking?  2) The proposed minor subdivision site is located in the numerous mapped faults traversing the region including southern California <sup>1</sup> . The Imperial fault represents a transnearly echelon pattern characteristic of the faults under the  | the San Andres<br>sition from the n  | as, San Jacinto, ai<br>nore continuous S  | of southern Cali<br>nd Elsinore Fau  | it Zones in   |
|     |  | Additionally, as previously mentioned in sections (VII)(a subjected to compliance with the latest edition of the Ca building permit review. Adherence and compliance with than significant levels.   | lifornia Building  | i Code as well as t   | to go through a  | ministerial   |
|     | 3)   | Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction and seiche/tsunami?  3) The proposed project is for a minor subdivision in respectisting Nelson Switching Station within the Vikings Sowithin a seiche/tsunami area per the California Tsunami D  | lar Energy Gene  | eration & Storage   | Facility and is  | not located   |
|     | 4)   | Landslides? 4) According to Imperial County General Plan's Seismic and According to Imperial County General Plan's Seismic According to Imperial County General Plan's Seismic | Date of the control o | Element <sup>14</sup> , "Lands  | Iide Activity Map  | o <sup>14b</sup> "-Figure   |

Significant Significant Mitigation Incorporated Impact No Impact Impact (PSI) (LTSWMI) (LTSI) (NI) sliding is unlikely due to the regional planar topography1; however, any new or existing development would be subject to compliance with the latest edition of the California Building Code as well as to go through a ministerial building permit review. Therefore, less than significant impacts are expected. Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? b) The proposed project is for a minor subdivision which does not include changes to the existing topography. Additionally, according to Imperial County General Plan's Seismic and Public Safety Element<sup>14</sup>, "Erosion Activity Map<sup>14c"</sup>-Figure 3, the proposed project is not located within the immediate vicinity of a substantial soil erosion area. Any impacts are expected to be less than significant. Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and П X potentially result in on- or off-site landslides, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse? c) As previously discussed in sections (VII)(3) and (VII)(4), the proposed minor subdivision risk for on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, or collapse are expected to be less than significant. Additionally, any new or existing development would be subjected to compliance with the latest edition of the California Building Code as well as to go through a ministerial building permit review. Adherence and compliance with these standards and regulations would bring any impact to less than significant levels. Be located on expansive soil, as defined in the latest Uniform M П Building Code, creating substantial direct or indirect risk to life or property? d) Although the project site is located near sandy surface soils which are considered non-expansive1, the proposed minor subdivision would not substantially create a direct or indirect risk to life or property. Additionally, as previously discussed in item (VII)(4)(c), any new or existing development would be subjected to compliance with the latest edition of the California Building Code as well as to go through a ministerial building permit review. Adherence and compliance with these standards and regulations would bring any impact to less than significant levels. Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems 冈 П П where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste e) No septic system and leach field are proposed as part of the project. Should any new development proposing any septic or alternative wastewater disposal systems, shall comply with applicable standards and regulations from the Imperial County Public Health Department, Division of Environmental Health. Adherence and compliance to these standards would bring any impacts to less than significant. Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource M П or site or unique geologic feature? f) The proposed project site is located on already disturbed lands impacted by the development and construction of the existing Vikings Solar Energy Generation & Storage Facility and does not appear to directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site of unique geologic feature on site as there are no known unique resources or features on site or records of. Additionally, in the event of any paleontological findings on site during construction, if excavation or drilling activities greater than 10 feet in depth below ground surface, all work shall be stopped, and the Imperial Valley College Desert Museum shall be contacted to have a qualified specialist inspect and monitor the site. Any impacts are expected to be less than significant. VIII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION Would the project: Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or  $\boxtimes$ indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the П environment? a) The action being proposed under the minor subdivision application does not anticipate nor expect the generation of greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment. Additionally, per comment letter received from the Air District7, all developments must comply with all Air District Rules & Regulations and would emphasize Regulation VIII - Fugitive Dust Rules, a collection of rules designed to maintain fugitive dust emissions

Less Than Significant with

Potentially

Less Than

below 20% visual opacity. Less than significant impacts are expected.

|     |    |   |  | Less Than  |  |                             |
|-----|----|---|--|--|--|-----------------------------|
|     |    |   | Potentially                            | Significant with                                 | Less Than                                      |                             |
|     |    |   | Significant                            | Mitigation                                       | Significant                                    | No Impost                   |
|     |    |   | Impact<br>(PSI)                        | Incorporated<br>(LTSWMI)                         | Impact<br>(LTSI)                               | No Impact<br>(Ni)           |
|     |    |   | (r oi)                                 | (ETOTTIM)  | 12.10.1  | 77                          |
|     | b) | Conflict with an applicable plan or policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse  |  |  | $\boxtimes$                                    |                             |
|     |    | gases? b) The proposed project would not conflict with any regula   | ations under AB                        | 32 Global Warming                                | Solutions Act                                  | of 2006, of                 |
|     |    | reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases to 1990 lev<br>regulations. Less than significant impacts are expected.  | vels by 2020 pro                       | ovided that the appl                             | licant adheres                                 | to APCD's                   |
| IX. | HA | ZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS Would the projec  | et:                                    |  |  |                             |
|     | a) | Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?  |  |  |  | $\boxtimes$                 |
|     |    | a) The proposed minor subdivision does not expect to create not involve the handling of any hazardous materials. No important proposed minor subdivision does not expect to create not involve the handling of any hazardous materials.   | e a significant ha<br>acts are expecte | zard to the public or a<br>d.                    | the environmen                                 | t as it does                |
|     | b) | Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonable foreseeable upset and accident conditions   |  |  | П  | $\boxtimes$                 |
|     |    | involving the release of hazardous materials into the   |  |  |  |                             |
|     |    | b) The proposed project does not expect to create a signif<br>foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the relea<br>materials are anticipated as part of the project. No impacts a   | ise of hazardous                       | the public or environ<br>materials into the env  | nment through<br>vironment as no               | reasonably<br>hazardous     |
|     | c) | Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter  |  |  |  | $\boxtimes$                 |
|     |    | mile of an existing or proposed school?  c) The proposed minor subdivision does not anticipate the e acutely hazardous materials, substance, or waste as previo project site is not located within a ¼ mile of any schools. This approximately 2 miles northwest of the proposed project facilities. No impacts are expected. | usly stated on it<br>e nearest school  | tems (IX)(a) and (IX)(<br>in the vicinity is Hol | b) above. Addıt<br>tville Middle Scl           | nool, which                 |
|     | d) | Be located on a site, which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code   |  |  |  | <b>⊠</b>                    |
|     |    | Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant   | <u> </u>                               | And 20 (1909) 16                                 | Ы  |                             |
|     |    | d) The proposed project is not located on a site included<br>Department of Toxic Substances Control EnviroStor <sup>16</sup> and<br>County General Plan: Seismic and Public Safety Element <sup>14</sup> ; t  | Figure 5 - "Haza                       | rdous Material Sites                             | es according to<br>Map <sup>14e</sup> " from t | o California<br>he Imperial |
|     | e) | For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public  |  |  |  |                             |
|     |    | airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety<br>hazard or excessive noise for people residing or working in the  |  |  |  | $\boxtimes$                 |
|     |    | project area?  e) The proposed minor subdivision is not located within a Compatibility Maps <sup>17</sup> . The nearest airport in the area is the H project site; therefore, it would not result or create a signification the project area. No impacts are expected.  | loltville Airport le                   | ocated approximately                             | 2.5 miles norti                                | least of the                |
|     | f) | Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation  |  |  | $\boxtimes$                                    |                             |
|     |    | plan? f) The proposed minor subdivision would not interfere with plan. The applicant will meet any requirements requested by letter <sup>18</sup> dated April 5, 2024. Less than significant impacts are  | the Imperial Cou                       | rgency response plai<br>inty Fire/OES Departi    | n or emergency<br>nent as stated o             | evacuation<br>n comment     |
|     | g) | Expose people or structures, either directly or indirectly, to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires?  |  |  | $\boxtimes$                                    |                             |
|     |    | a) According to CalFire's "Fire Hazard Severity Zones in Lo   | cal Responsibilit                      | y Areas – Imperia Co                             | ounty Map <sup>19</sup> " eff                  | ective April                |

Potentially Significant Impact (PSI)

Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated (LTSWMI)

Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)

No Impact (NI)

1, 2024, the proposed project site is designated as Local Responsibility Area (LRA) Unzoned; therefore, the proposed project would not expose people or structures, either directly or indirectly, to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving wildfires. Additionally, as previously discussed in section (IX)(f), the proposed project would ensure that the conditions for CUP#20-0025 apply for the proposed minor subdivision (PM#02508). Compliance with Imperial County Fire Department (ICFD) standards would bring any impacts to less than significant.

| X. | HYE | DROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY Would the project:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|    | a)  | Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements or otherwise substantially degrade surface or ground water quality?  |  |  |  | ⊠<br>ta ar   |  |  |
|    |     | a) The proposed minor subdivision would not violate any was otherwise substantially degrade surface or ground water quality.   | iter quality s<br>y. No impacts  | are expected.  | scharge requi  | rements of   |  |  |
|    | b)  | Substantially decrease groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project may impede sustainable groundwater management of the basin?   |  |  |  | $\boxtimes$  |  |  |
|    |     | As previously stated on item (X)(a) above, the proposed project does not expect to substantially decrease groundwater upplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project may impede sustainable groundwater nanagement of the basin. No impacts are expected.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    | c)  | Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river or through the addition of impervious surfaces, in a manner which would:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |     | pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of impervious surfaces. Also, per comment letter received from the that there are no impacts to IID water facilities, revised exhibit drainage and fencing plans, should be submitted to IID water approval. In addition, any construction or operation on IID preasements including but not limited to: surface improvements all water, sewer, storm water, or any above ground or unde encroachment agreement. Furthermore, per comment letter redated May 1, 2024, the applicant shall furnish a Drainage and control, which shall also include prevention of sedimentation provided in CUP#20-0025 (EIR¹ SCH#2021050036). Adherence the would bring any impacts to less than significant.  | te Imperial Ir<br>ts and maps,<br>or Department<br>operty or wisuch as new<br>erground util<br>ceived from to<br>Grading Pla | rigation District of date including Imperial Co t Engineering Section thin its existing and p streets, driveways, par ities; will require an the Imperial County Purice for proper off-site properties and off-site properties and including the properties and the including the properties and including the properties and the including the properties and the including the properties and the properties and the including the properties and the properties | a April 3, 2024 unty reviewed for review placeposed right king lots, land encroachment ublic Works De rty grading ar d comply with | I grading & rior to final tof way or scape; and permit, or epartment <sup>20</sup> and drainage conditions |  |  |
|    |     | (i) result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site;  |  |  | $\boxtimes$  |  |  |  |
|    |     | (i) The proposed drainage patterns and general drainage syste from the construction zone would be routed to the detention be would follow existing drainage patterns with storm flows con Project would result in no significant impacts associated with flooding!. Additionally, according to Imperial County General F Map <sup>14c"</sup> -Figure 3, the proposed project site is located within a let to be less than significant.   | asins for det<br>veyed towar<br>the alteration<br>Plan's Seismi  | ention and infiltration.<br>d existing IID Drains <sup>1</sup> .<br>i of drainage patterns<br>c and Public Safety Eli  | Therefore, the<br>resulting in or<br>ment <sup>14</sup> , "Eros  | e proposed<br>i- or off-site<br>ion Activity   |  |  |
|    |     | <ul> <li>(ii) substantially increase the rate or amount of surface<br/>runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or<br/>offsite;</li> </ul>  |  |  | $\boxtimes$  |  |  |  |
|    |     | (ii) The proposed minor subdivision is not expected to substant which would result in flooding on-or offsite as the existing drain of the site would sheet flow through the pervious native soils, to will require drainage reviews and approval with the Imperial Cletter dated May 1, 2024. Additionally, the proposed project would be considered to the project with the proposed project would be considered to the project would be considered to the project with the proposed project would be considered to the project with the project would be considered to the project would be considered to the project would be considered to the project with the project would be considered to the project with the project would be considered to the project with the project would be considered to the project with th | nage patterns<br>ward the sha<br>County Depar  | would not be substan<br>llow ponding areas¹. A<br>tment of Public Work:  | tially altered.<br>Iso, any propo<br>S <sup>20</sup> as stated o   | sed grading<br>on comment  |  |  |

|     |    |   | Potentially<br>Significant<br>Impact<br>(PSI)   | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated (LTSWMI)   | Less Than<br>Significant<br>Impact<br>(LTSI)   | No Impact<br>(NI)  |
|-----|----|---|---|---|--|--|
|     |    | SCH#2021050036). Compliance with Imperial County Departrless than significant.  | ment of Public W  | orks requirements w   | ould bring any   | impacts to   |
|     |    | <ul> <li>(iii) create or contribute runoff water which would exceed<br/>the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage<br/>systems or provide substantial additional sources of<br/>polluted runoff; or;</li> </ul>  |   |   | ⊠  |  |
|     |    | (iii) Under proposed conditions, the existing drainage charact<br>the same¹ which would not create or contribute runoff was<br>stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial addition<br>expected. Additionally, as previously stated on items (X)(c) at<br>drainage systems will require drainage application, review, a<br>and Imperial Irrigation District. Compliance with Imperial Co<br>standards and requirements would ensure that any runoff was  | ater which woul<br>nal sources of po<br>nd (X)(c)(ii) abov<br>nd approval fror<br>punty Public Wo | Id exceed the capaci<br>olluted runoff. Less the<br>e, any proposed grad<br>in the Imperial County<br>orks Department and                             | ity of existing<br>an significant i<br>ing or planned<br>Public Works I<br>Imperial Irrigat    | or planned<br>mpacts are<br>stormwater<br>Department<br>ion District |
|     |    | (iv) impede or redirect flood flows?  (iv) Under proposed conditions, the existing drainage charact According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (the proposed project site is located within "Zone X" of determined to be outside the 500-year flood and protected by Areas Map¹4d from the Imperial County General Plan's Seism would not impede or redirect flood flows. Additionally, a revithe Imperial County Public Works Department. Therefore, cobe less than significant.   | FEMA) Flood Ma<br>flood map 0602<br>levee from 100-y<br>nic and Public S<br>iewed and appro       | ap Service Center, <sup>21</sup> F<br>25C1775C, effective S<br>year flood. Additional<br>Safety Element <sup>14</sup> : a re<br>oved grading/drainage | Flood Insurance<br>September 26,<br>ly, as per Figure<br>Sult, the propo<br>Le letter is to be | 2008, area<br>2008, area<br>4 - "Flood<br>sed project<br>required by |
|     | d) | In flood hazard, tsunami, or seiche zones, risk release of pollutants due to project inundation? d) In recognition of the proposed project site's inland locatic Sea is considered negligible¹. The topography within the vicit the hazard of mudflows adversely affecting the proposed pro Map,¹5 the proposed project site is not located within a tsunathe proposed project site is located within "Zone X" of flood flood and protected by levee from 100-year flood according Map Service Center²¹, Flood Insurance Rate Map. Less than service to the proposed project site is located within "Zone X" of flood flood and protected by levee from 100-year flood according Map Service Center²¹, Flood Insurance Rate Map. Less than service the proposed project site is located within "Zone X" of flood flood and protected by levee from 100-year flood according Map Service Center²¹, Flood Insurance Rate Map. Less than service the proposed project site is located within a service flood according Map Service Center²¹, Flood Insurance Rate Map. Less than service flood according the proposed project site is located within a service flood according Map Service Center²¹, Flood Insurance Rate Map. | nity of the propo<br>ject site is very l<br>mi zone. Addition<br>map 06025C177<br>to the Federal  | sed project site is ger<br>ow¹. Also, according<br>onally, as previously o<br>75C, area determined<br>Emergency Managem                               | nerally level and<br>to California Ts<br>discussed in ite<br>to be outside t                   | n, therefore,<br>unami Data<br>m (X)(c)(iv),<br>he 500-year          |
|     | e) | Conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality control plan or sustainable groundwater management plan?  e) As previously stated on item (X)(c)(ii) above, the proposed County Public Works Department <sup>20</sup> prior to the recordation subdivision would conflict with or obstruct the implemental management plan. Any impacts are expected to be less than  | of the parcel m<br>tion of a water o  | iap; therefore, it is π   | ot expected tha  | t the minor  |
| XI. | LA | ND USE AND PLANNING Would the project:  |   |   |  |  |
|     | a) | Physically divide an established community?  a) The proposed project is for a minor subdivision in respexisting Nelson Switching Station within the Vikings Solar consistent with the Imperial County Land Use Ordinance (Ti Section 90508.00 et. al. and Division 8 (Subdivision Ordinan established community; therefore, it does not anticipate cha No land use nor planning impacts are expected.   | Energy General<br>itle 9), Division 5<br>ace), Section 908  | tion & Storage Facili<br>5 (A-2 "General Agric<br>805.00 et. al. and wou  | ty. The proposi<br>ulture" Zoning<br>Ild not physical  | ed action is<br>Ordinance),<br>ly divide an                          |
|     | b) | Cause a significant environmental impact due to a conflict with<br>any land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the<br>purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?   |   |   |  | $\boxtimes$  |

Less Than Significant with Less Than Potentially Significant Significant Mitigation Impact No Impact Impact Incorporated (PSI) (LTSWMI) (LTSI) (NI)

b) As previously stated on item (XI)(a) above, the proposed project is consistent with the Imperial County General Plan and Land Use Ordinance (Title 9), Division 5 (A-2 "General Agriculture" Zoning Ordinance), Section 90508.00 et. al. and Division 8 (Subdivision Ordinance), Section 90805.00 et. al. and would not cause a significant environmental impact due to a conflict with any land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect. No impacts are expected.

| XII.  | MIN | NERAL RESOURCES Would the project:  |   |   |   |  |
|-------|-----|---|---|---|---|--|
|       | a)  | Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the  |   |   |   | $\boxtimes$                            |
|       |     | state?  a) The proposed project does not anticipate the removal of an active mine per Imperial County General Plan's Conser Resources Map. <sup>8</sup> 9" No impacts are expected.   | mineral resource<br>vation and Oper   | es and it is not located<br>n Space Element <sup>8</sup> , Fig                                    | d within the bou<br>gure 8 - "Existi                    | indaries of<br>ng Mineral              |
|       | b)  | Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan,  |   |   |   | $\boxtimes$                            |
|       |     | specific plan or other land use plan?  b) The proposed minor subdivision will not result in the los site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other   | s of availability or<br>I land use plan. N  | of locally-important m<br>No impacts are expect   | nineral resource<br>ted.                                | s recovery                             |
| XIII. | NO  | ISE Would the project result in:  |   |   |   |  |
|       | a)  | Generation of a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?  a) The proposed action would not result in the generation of            | temporary or per  | manent noise beyond   | ⊠<br>I that which alre                                  | ady occurs                             |
|       |     | on the site. However, any new or existing development we Element <sup>22</sup> which states that construction equipment operation Friday, and from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. on Saturday. Addition combination, shall not exceed 75 dB Leq when averaged of General Plan's Noise Element would bring any impacts to le           | ould be subjecte<br>on shall be limited<br>ally, constructio<br>over an eight (8) | ed to the Imperial Cou<br>I to the hours of 7 a.m.<br>In noise from a sing<br>hour period. Compli | unty General Pl<br>. to 7 p.m., Mond<br>le piece of equ | an's Noise<br>ay through<br>aipment or |
|       | b)  | Generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?  b) The proposed minor subdivision does not anticipate groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels. Addition development would be subjected to the Imperial County Genthan significant.  | nally, as previous  | ly discussed in item (  | XIII)(a), any new                                       | or existing                            |
|       | c)  | For a project located within the vicinity of a private airstrip or an airport land use plan or where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?                   |   |   | $\boxtimes$   |  |
|       |     | c) The proposed minor subdivision is located within the vice of the proposed project site, therefore, exposure to periodic operations. However, the proposed action would not expose levels. Additionally, as previously stated on section (XIII)(Imperial County General Plan's Noise Element. <sup>21</sup> Less than | noise emissions<br>e people residing<br>o), any new or e                          | are expected during a<br>g or working in the pr<br>xisting development                            | aircraft takeoff a<br>oject area to ex                  | and landing<br>ceed noise              |
| XIV.  | PO  | PULATION AND HOUSING Would the project:   |   |   |   |  |
|       | a)  | Induce substantial unplanned population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and business) or indirectly (for example, through extension of  |   |   |   |  |

|     |    |  |   | Less Than   |  |  |
|-----|----|--|---|---|--|--|
|     |    |  | Potentially<br>Significant<br>Impact  | Significant with<br>Mitigation<br>Incorporated  | Less Than<br>Significant<br>Impact   | No Impact  |
|     |    |  | (PSI)   | (LTSWMI)  | (LTSI)   | (NI)   |
| •   |    | roads or other infrastructure)?  a) The proposed minor subdivision would not induce a substindirectly, as no changes to the existing uses are proposed.  | antial unplanned<br>Therefore, any il   | d population growth i<br>mpacts are expected  | n an area, eithe<br>to be less than  | r directly or<br>significant.  |
|     | b) | Displace substantial numbers of existing people or housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?   |   |   |  |  |
|     |    | b) The proposed action will not displace substantial numbers housing elsewhere as it has an existing agricultural use with to be less than significant.  | pers of people of<br>the no future deve   | necessitating the co<br>elopments proposed.   | nstruction or re<br>Any impacts a  | eplacement<br>re expected  |
| XV. | PL | JBLIC SERVICES   |   |   |  |  |
|     | a) | Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other  |   |   | ×  |  |
|     |    | performance objectives for any of the public services:  a) The proposed minor subdivision is in response to the Imp Switching Station within the Vikings Solar Energy Generation anticipate that the proposed project would result in substannew or physically altered government facilities, need for new which could cause significant environmental impacts in orders than significant.   | n & Storage Fac<br>ntial adverse phy<br>or physically a   | ility. Additionally, the<br>ysical impacts assoc<br>Itered government fa  | e proposed action in the proposed in the propo | on does not<br>provision of<br>struction of  |
|     |    | 1) Fire Protection?  1) The proposed minor subdivision is not expected to res comment letter dated April 5, 2024, received from the Imperia that conditions set forth on CUP#20-0025 (EIR¹ SCH#2021050 would bring any impacts to less than significant.   | al Countv Fire D⊲   | epartment,18 the prop   | iosea project w  | ouia ensure  |
|     |    | 2) Police Protection?  |   |   | $\boxtimes$  |  |
|     |    | <ol> <li>The proposed project is not expected to result in substant<br/>be required, both the California Highway Patrol and Sheriff<br/>operations in the area. Any impacts are expected to be less to</li> </ol>  | s Office South  | County Patrol <sup>23</sup> have  | ould any police<br>active policing   | protection<br>and patrol   |
|     |    | 3) Schools? 3) The proposed subdivision is not expected to have a subs non-residential parcels. Additionally, as previously stated Holtville Middle School which is approximately 2 miles north  | in section (IX)(c   | c), the closest school  | ol within the vic  | cinity is the  |
|     |    | 4) Parks?  4) The proposed project is not expected to create a substant  | ial impact on pa  | rks. No impacts are e   | expected.  | $\boxtimes$  |
|     |    | 5) Other Public Facilities?  5) The proposed minor subdivision is not expected to have a dated April 3, 2024, received from the Imperial Irrigation Districts applicant may not use IID's canal or drain banks to access the easements or facilities will be approved by the IID based on to ensure that there are no impacts to IID water facilities, regrading & drainage and fencing plans, should be submitted final approval. Also, per Public Works comment letter <sup>20</sup> , any completed under a permit issued by Public Works. The approads by construction traffic during construction and main within Imperial County right-of-way shall be financially securissuance of a grading permit, building permit, and encroact and recommendations would bring any impacts to less than | substantial imp<br>rict, 10 IID facilitie<br>the originating pa<br>systems (irrigination)<br>evised exhibits<br>evised exhibits<br>to IID Water Dep<br>activity and/or w<br>licant will be re-<br>tain them in sal<br>red by either a re-<br>ment permit. A | act on other public facts impacted include the sarcel or resulting paction, drainage, power and maps, including overtwent Engineering work within Imperial Cquired to repair any fe conditions. Finally boad improvement bo | acilities. Per cor<br>he East Highlind<br>rcels. Any aber<br>r, etc.) needs. A<br>g Imperial Coun<br>g Section for rev<br>County right-of-<br>damages cause<br>y, all off-site im<br>nd or letter of ci  | e Canal. The<br>adonment of<br>Additionally,<br>ity reviewed<br>view prior to<br>way shall be<br>d to County<br>provements<br>redit prior to |

| _<br>XV | l. <i>R</i> É | ECREATION   | Potentially<br>Significant<br>Impact<br>(PSI)                                     | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated (LTSWMI)             | Less Than<br>Significant<br>Impact<br>(LTSI)            | No Impact (NI)             |
|---------|---------------|---|---|---|---|----------------------------|
|         | a)            | Would the project increase the use of the exis neighborhood and regional parks or other recreatifacilities such that substantial physical deterioration of facility would occur or be accelerated?  a) The proposed project is a minor subdivision in response.   | onal  the  ponse to the Imperial Irri   | gation District's requ  | uest to convey t  | ⊠<br>he existing           |
|         |               | Nelson Switching Station within the Vikings Solar En<br>neighborhood or regional parks within the propose<br>increase the use of existing neighborhood and regio<br>deterioration of the facility would occur or be acceler   | ergy Generation & Stor<br>d project area; therefor<br>nal parks or other recre    | age Facility. Addition<br>e, the proposed mir<br>ational facilities suc | nally, there are i<br>nor subdivision                   | would not                  |
|         | b)            | Does the project include recreational facilities or require construction or expansion of recreational facilities which n  | e the<br>night  |   |   | $\boxtimes$                |
|         |               | have an adverse effect on the environment?  b) The proposed project does not include recreatio facilities which might have an adverse effect on the regional parks within the proposed project area; there  | environment. Also, as p   | previously stated on  | expansion of r<br>item (XVI)(a), ti                     | ecreational<br>nere are no |
| XVII.   | TRA           | ANSPORTATION Would the project:   |   |   |   |                            |
|         | a)            | Conflict with a program plan, ordinance or policy address the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle pedestrian facilities?  | and $\square$   |   |   |                            |
|         |               | a) The proposed project does not anticipate nor expecirculation system, including transit, roadway, bicycl substantial impact to surrounding roads nor conflicti Element <sup>2</sup> . Any impacts would be less than significant  | le and pedestrian faciliti<br>ng with imperial County                             | es. The subdivision   | is not expected   | to create a                |
|         | b)            | Would the project conflict or be inconsistent with the Ci<br>Guidelines section 15064.3, subdivision (b)?   |   |   | $\boxtimes$   |                            |
|         |               | b) The proposed minor subdivision will not conflict or<br>(b) as it is not expected to have a significant transpo<br>the existing land use. Additionally, although the pr<br>Interstate 8 (I-8), the proposed project site is not locat<br>an existing high quality transit corridor. Less than significant                                     | rtation impact within tra<br>roposed project site is<br>ed within ½ mile of eithe | nsit priority areas wi<br>located approximate<br>r an existing major to | ith no proposed<br>ely 2.8 miles no                     | orthwest of                |
|         | c)            | Substantially increases hazards due to a geometric de feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections  | esign<br>s) or  |   | $\boxtimes$   |                            |
|         |               | incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?  c) The existing uses, a utility substation and a Sola compatible with the Imperial County General Plan Laction is consistent with the Imperial County Land Ordinance), Section 90508.00 et. al. and Division 8 (Sonot substantially increase hazards due to a geometric to be less than significant. | r Energy and Storage F<br>and Use Designation. /<br>Use Ordinance (Title 9)       | Additionally, the pro<br>, Division 5 (A-2 "G<br>Section 90805.00 et.   | posed minor su<br>eneral Agricultu<br>al. The site's de | ure" Zoning<br>esign would |
|         | d)            | Result in inadequate emergency access? d) The proposed project would not result in inadequate   | ata amargancy access A  | dditionally, no chan  | ⊠<br>ge on existing l                                   | and use nor                |
|         |               | d) The proposed project would not result in inadequationing are proposed. Both proposed newly created proposed accesses appear to be suitable for en Department's comment letter, the minor subdivision 0025 (EIR¹ SCH#2021050036). Less than significant in  | parcels would have lega<br>nergency response vel<br>would apply the condit        | il and physical acces<br>nicles. Additionally,                          | ss via Neison Pi<br>) per Imperial                      | County Fire                |
| XVIII.  | TF            | RIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES  |   |   |   |                            |
|         | a)            | Would the project cause a substantial adverse change i  | n the   |   | $\boxtimes$   |                            |

Impact No Impact Incorporated Impact (LTSWMI) (LTSI) (NI) (PSI) significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code Section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and a) According to the Imperial County General Plan's Conservation and Open Space Element<sup>8</sup>, Figure 6<sup>8d</sup>, the proposed project site is not located within any known Native American cultural sensitivity area. Additionally, the Quechan and Campo Band of Mission Indian Tribes have requested to be consulted under Assembly Bill 52. Consultation letters were sent to the Quechan and Campo Band of Mission Indian Tribes on March 19, 2024. No comments have been received from the Quechan and Campo Band of Mission Indians Tribe for this project to this date. Therefore, less than significant impacts are expected. (i) Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of  $\boxtimes$  $\Box$ П П historical resources as define in Public Resources Code Section 5020.1(k), or (i) According to the California Historic Resources<sup>25</sup> in Imperial County, the proposed project site is not listed or seem to be eligible under the Public Resources Code Section 21074 or 5020.1 (k); therefore, any impacts are expected to be less than significant. (ii) A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section M 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth is subdivision (c) of Public Resource Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American Tribe. (ii) No significant resources listed as defined in the Public Resources Code Section 5024.1 are expected to be impacted by the proposed minor subdivision. Any impacts are expected to be less than significant. Additionally, as previously discussed in item (XVIII)(a) above, AB 52 Consultation letters were sent to the Quechan and Campo Band of Mission Indian Tribes on March 19, 2024. No comments have been received from the Quechan and Campo Band of Mission Indians Tribe for this project to this date. Less than significant impacts are expected. XIX. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS Would the project: Require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded water, wastewater treatment or stormwater  $\Box$  $\boxtimes$ drainage, electric power, natural gas, or telecommunications facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects? a) The proposed minor subdivision anticipates continuing with the existing uses as no new developments are proposed. Additionally, it does not expect or result in the relocation or construction of a new expanded water, wastewater treatment or stormwater drainage, electric power, natural gas or telecommunication facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects. Furthermore, according to comment letter submitted by the Imperial Irrigation District<sup>10</sup> dated April 3, 2024, any construction or operation on IID property or within its existing and proposed right of way or easements including but not limited to: surface improvements such as new streets, driveways, parking lots, landscape; and all water, sewer, storm water, or any above ground or underground utilities; will require an encroachment permit, or encroachment agreement. Adherence to IID requirements and recommendations would bring any impacts to less than significant. Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project X from existing and reasonably foreseeable future development during normal, dry and multiple dry years? b) The proposed minor subdivision does not anticipate the use of a water supply nor a change to the existing uses on the parcels; therefore, any impacts are expected to be less than significant. 冈

Less Than

Significant with

Mitigation

Potentially Significant Less Than

Significant

Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment

|         | *   | Potentially<br>Significant<br>Impact<br>(PSI)        | Significant with<br>Mitigation<br>Incorporated<br>(LTSWMI) | Less Than<br>Significant<br>Impact<br>(LTSI) | No Impact<br>(NI)           |
|---------|---|--|--|--|-----------------------------|
|         | provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?  c) The proposed project does not anticipate any impacts to therefore, any impacts are expected to be less than signification.   | wastewater as it<br>ant.                             | does not propose to  | generate any w                               | astewaters;                 |
| d)      | Generate solid waste in excess of State or local standards, or in excess of the capacity of local infrastructure, or otherwise impair the attainment of solid waste reduction goals?  d) The proposed project does not anticipate any generation impacts are expected.  | n or an excess g                                     | eneration of solid w                                       | ⊠<br>aste. Less than                         | Significant                 |
| e)      | Comply with federal, state, and local management and reduction statutes and regulations related to solid waste?  e) As previously stated on item (XIX)(d) above, the propose and shall comply with federal, state, and local managemen Any impact are expected to be less than significant.   | ed project does r                                    | ont anticipate the general statutes and regulati           | eration of any ons related to s              | solid waste solid waste.    |
| XX. W   | ILDFIRE   |  |  |  |                             |
| If loca | ated in or near state responsibility areas or lands classified as very h  | nigh fire hazard se                                  | verity zones, would the                                    | e Project:                                   |                             |
| a)      | Substantially impair an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?   |  |  | $\boxtimes$                                  |                             |
|         | <ul> <li>a) As previously stated on item (IX)(f) above, the proposed mesponse plan or emergency evacuation plan. The applicant proposed subdivision (PM#02508) as stated on ICFD's commexpected. Compliance with Imperial County Fire Departmentess than significant.</li> </ul>  | : would ensure th<br>nent letter <sup>18</sup> dated | at the conditions for<br>I April 5, 2024. Less t           | CUP#20-0025 a<br>han significant             | pply for the<br>impacts are |
| b)      | Due to slope, prevailing winds, and other factors, exacerbate wildfire risks, and thereby expose project occupants to pollutant concentrations from a wildfire or the uncontrolled spread of a wildfire?  b) As previously stated on section (iX)(g) above, the propos Unzoned and not located within a Very High Fire Hazard Se winds, and other factors, exacerbate wildfire risks, and there wildfire or the uncontrolled spread of a wildfire are expected.                                     | verity Zone (VHF<br>eby expose proje                 | HZ); therefore, impa-<br>ct occupants to pollu             | cts due to slope                             | e, prevailing               |
| c)      | Require the installation or maintenance of associated infrastructure (such as roads, fuel breaks, emergency water sources, power lines or other utilities) that may exacerbate fire risk or that may result in temporary or ongoing impacts to the environment?  c) The proposed minor subdivision does not anticipate ar Additionally, as previously stated on item (XX)(a) above, according the applicant would ensure that the conditions for CUP#20-significant impacts are expected.           | ording to the Imp                                    | erial County Fire Dep                                      | artment's comm                               | nent letter18,              |
| d)      | Expose people or structures to significant risks, including downslope or downstream flooding or landslides, as a result of runoff, post-fire slope instability, or drainage changes?  d) As previously stated on item (VII)(a)(4) above, per Imper "Landslide Activity Map <sup>14b</sup> "-Figure 2, the proposed project within the proposed project site is generally flat. However, a with the latest edition of the California Building Code as welless than significant impacts are expected. | is not located v<br>Iny new or existir               | vithin a landslide act<br>ng development woul              | tivity area. The<br>ld be subject to         | topography compliance       |

Less Than

Potentially Significant Impact Less Than
Significant with
Mitigation
Incorporated

Less Than Significant Impact

No Impact

Note: Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21083.05, Public Resources Code. Reference: Section 65088.4, Gov. Code; Sections 21080(c), 21080.1, 21080.3, 21083, 21083.3, 21093, 21094, 21095, and 21151, Public Resources Code; Sundstrom v. County of Mendocino, (1988) 202 Cal. App.3d 296; Leonoff v. Monterey Board of Supervisors, (1990) 222 Cal. App.3d 1337; Eureka Citzens for Responsible Govt v. City of Eureka (2007) 147 Cal. App. 4th 357; Protect the Historic Amedor Waterways v. Amador Water Agency (2004) 116 Cal. App. 4th at 1109; San Franciscans Upholding the Downtown Plan v. City and County of San Francisco (2002) 102 Cal. App. 4th 656.

Revised 2009- CEQA Revised 2011- ICPDS Revised 2016 - ICPDS Revised 2017 - ICPDS Revised 2019 - ICPDS

Potentially Significant Impact (PSI)

Less Than
Significant with
Mitigation
Incorporated
(LTSWMI)

Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)

No Impact (NI)

## SECTION 3 III. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

The following are Mandatory Findings of Significance in accordance with Section 15065 of the CEQA Guidelines.

| a) | Does the project have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, eliminate tribal cultural resources or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory? |
|----|--|
| b) | Does the project have impacts that are   |

| history or prehistory?  |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.) |  |  |

| c) | Does the project have environmental effects     |
|----|---|
| •  | which will cause substantial adverse effects or |
|    | human beings, either directly or indirectly?    |



#### IV. PERSONS AND ORGANIZATIONS CONSULTED

This section identifies those persons who prepared or contributed to preparation of this document. This section is prepared in accordance with Section 15129 of the CEQA Guidelines.

#### A. COUNTY OF IMPERIAL

- Jim Minnick, Director of Planning & Development Services
- Michael Abraham, AICP, Assistant Director of Planning & Development Services
- Diana Robinson, Planning Division Manager
- Gerardo A. Quero, Project Planner
- Imperial County Air Pollution Control District
- Department of Public Works
- Fire Department
- Ag Commissioner
- Environmental Health Services
- Sheriff's Office

#### **B. OTHER AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS**

Imperial Irrigation District

(Written or oral comments received on the checklist prior to circulation)

#### V. REFERENCES

- 1. Vikings Solar Energy Generation & Storage Project Environmental Impact Report (EIR) SCH#2021050036 https://www.icpds.com/assets/CUP20-0025-Vikings-Solar-Energy-Volume-I-&-II--.pdf
- 2. Imperial County General Plan: Circulation and Scenic Highway Element https://www.icpds.com/assets/planning/circulation-scenic-highway-element-2008.pdf
- 3. California State Scenic Highway System Map

https://caltrans.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=465dfd3d807c46cc8e8057116f1aacaa

- 4. California Farmland Mapping & Monitoring Program: Imperial County Important Farmland Map 2018 https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/DLRP/CIFF/
- 5. Imperial County Agricultural Commissioner comment letter dated March 20, 2024
- 6. California Williamson Act Enrollment Finder

https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/dlrp/WilliamsonAct/App/index.html

- 7. Imperial County Air Pollution Control District comment letter dated April 3, 2024
- 8. Imperial County General Plan: Conservation and Open Space Element

https://www.icpds.com/assets/planning/conservation-open-space-element-2016.pdf

- a) Figure 1: Sensitive Habitat Map
- b) Figure 2: Sensitive Species Map
- c) Figure 3: Agency-Designated Habitats Map
- d) Figure 5: Areas of Heighten Historic Period Sensitivity Map
- e) Figure 6: Known Areas of Native American Cultural Sensitivity Map
- f) Figure 7: Seismic Hazards Map
- g) Figure 8: Existing Mineral Resources Map
- 9. National Wetlands Inventory Map: Surface Waters and Wetlands https://fwsprimary.wim.usgs.gov/wetlands/apps/wetlands-mapper/
- 10. Imperial Irrigation District comment letter dated April 3, 2024
- 11. California Geological Survey Hazard Program: Alquist-Priolo Fault Hazard Zones https://gis.data.ca.gov/maps/ee92a5f9f4ee4ec5aa731d3245ed9f53/explore?location=32.538703%2C-110.920388%2C6.00
- 12. California Department of Conservation: Fault Activity Map

https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/fam/

- 13. United States Geological Survey's Quaternary Faults Map
  - https://usgs.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=5a6038b3a1684561a9b0aadf88412fcf
- 14. Imperial County General Plan: Seismic and Public Safety Element

https://www.icpds.com/assets/planning/seismic-and-public-safety.pdf

- a) Figure 1: Seismic Activity in Imperial County Map
- b) Figure 2: Landslide Activity Map
- c) Figure 3: Erosion Activity Map
- d) Figure 4: Flood Areas
- e) Figure 5: Hazardous Materials Sites Map
- 15. California Tsunami Data Maps

https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/tsunami/maps

16. California Department of Toxic Substances Control: EnviroStor

https://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/

17. Imperial County Airport Land Use Compatibility Maps

https://www.icpds.com/planning/maps/airport-land-use-compatibility-maps

- 18. Imperial County Fire Department comment letter dated April 5, 2024
- 19. CalFire: Fire Hazard Severity Zones in Local Responsibility Areas Imperial County Map https://34c031f8-c9fd-4018-8c5a-4159cdff6b0d-cdn-endpoint.azureedge.net/-/media/osfm-website/what-wedo/community-wildfire-preparedness-and-mitigation/fire-hazard-severity-zones/fire-hazard-severity-zones-map-2022/fire-hazard-severity-zone-maps---

<u>Ira/imperial Ira\_draft\_fhszl06\_1\_map13.pdf?rev=ae37a06cab87486b8814874bfa7cfb16&hash=4B1355741F43E2EE</u> 3852E0A4A20DE497

- 20. Imperial County Department of Public Works comment letter dated May 1, 2024
- 21. Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Map Service Center: Flood Insurance Rate Map https://msc.fema.gov/portal/search?AddressQuery=2910%20nelson%20pit%20road%20holtville%20ca
- Imperial County General Plan: Noise Element https://www.icpds.com/assets/planning/noise-element-2015.pdf
- 23. Imperial County Sheriff's Office: Patrol Operations Map https://icso.imperialcounty.org/operations/
- 24. California Historic Resources: Imperial County https://ohp.parks.ca.gov/ListedResources/?view=county&criteria=13
- 25. "County of Imperial General Plan EIR", prepared by Brian F. Mooney & Associates in 1993; and as Amended by County in 1996, 1998, 2001, 2003, 2006 & 2008, 2015, 2016.

#### VI. NEGATIVE DECLARATION – County of Imperial

The following Negative Declaration is being circulated for public review in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act Section 21091 and 21092 of the Public Resources Code.

Project Name: Parcel Map #02508

Project Applicant: Vikings Energy Farm, LLC

Project Location: 2910 E. Nelson Pit Road, Holtville, CA 92250

**Description of Project:** The applicant, Vikings Energy Farm, LLC, proposes a minor subdivision in response to the Imperial Irrigation District's request to convey the existing Nelson Switching Station within the Vikings Solar Energy Generation & Storage Facility.

Proposed Parcel 1 would be approximately 4.298-AC and would convey the existing Imperial Irrigation District's Nelson Switching Station. Proposed Parcel 2 would be approximately 75.812-AC and would accommodate the existing Vikings Solar Energy and Storage Facility. Both proposed Parcels 1 and 2 would have physical and legal access via Nelson Pit Road.

#### VII. **FINDINGS**

This is to advise that the County of Imperial, acting as the lead agency, has conducted an Initial Study to determine if the project may have a significant effect on the environment and is proposing this Negative Declaration based upon the following findings:

The Initial Study shows that there is no substantial evidence that the project may have a significant effect on the environment and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

The Initial Study identifies potentially significant effects but:

- (1)Proposals made or agreed to by the applicant before this proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration was released for public review would avoid the effects or mitigate the effects to a point where clearly no significant effects would occur.
- (2) There is no substantial evidence before the agency that the project may have a significant effect on the environment.
- (3)Mitigation measures are required to ensure all potentially significant impacts are reduced to levels of insignificance.

A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

If adopted, the Negative Declaration means that an Environmental Impact Report will not be required. Reasons to support this finding are included in the attached Initial Study. The project file and all related documents are available for review at the County of Imperial, Planning & Development Services Department, 801 Main Street, El Centro, CA 92243 (442) 265-1736.

#### **NOTICE**

The public is invited to comment on the proposed Negative Declaration during the review period.

Jim Minnick, Director of Planning & Development Services

The Applicant hereby acknowledges and accepts the results of the Environmental Evaluation Committee (EEC) and hereby agrees to implement all Mitigation Measures, if applicable, as outlined in the MMRP.

Applicant Signature

## **SECTION 4**

VIII.

**RESPONSE TO COMMENTS** 

(ATTACH DOCUMENTS, IF ANY, HERE)

MITIGATION MONITORING & REPORTING PROGRAM (MMRP) IX. (ATTACH DOCUMENTS, IF ANY, HERE)

# COMMENT LETTERS

**EEC ORIGINAL PKG** 





April 3, 2024

Mr. Gerardo Quero Planner II Planning & Development Services Department County of Imperial 801 Main Street El Centro, CA 92243 RECEIVED

By Imperial County Plannning & Development Services at 9:14 am, Apr 03, 2024

SUBJECT:

Vikings Energy Farms Minor Subdivision PM02508

Dear Mr. Quero

On March 19, 2024, the Imperial Irrigation District received from the Imperial County Planning & Development Services Dept., a request for agency comments on Parcel Map No. 02508. The applicant, Vikings Energy Farms, LLC; proposes a minor subdivision to divide a parcel located at 2910 Nelson Pit Road, Holtville, California (APN 050-070-019) into two (2) legal parcels to create a lot for the Nelson Switching Station which will then be conveyed to the IID.

The IID has reviewed the application and has the following comments:

- 1. The switchyard access easement is not acceptable. Discussions with the applicant have already taken place to increase the switchyard easement to encompass two (2) gated access points on the east side of the switchyard. IID will also require additional easements for the *In and Out* KN/KS transmission line to be shown on the parcel map and dedicated by deed. Specifically, Exhibits B-1, B-2 and the four Tentative Parcel maps are incorrect. The issue is that all of these documents indicate an access easement that does not meet IID Energy requirements. There is an RFI that IID Energy Department Substation Engineering is working out with the applicant to ensure that IID Energy has the adequate access (ingress/egress) to provide proper operation and maintenance to the IID Nelson Switching Station. It appears the last submittal received by IID Energy on 3/18/24, was not acceptable.
- On the last page of the Request for Comments packet, the project location map is labeled APN 050-070-019-00 but the site shown is located in APN 050-070-021-000.
- 3. IID water facilities impacted include the East Highline Canal. The applicant may not use IID's canal or drain banks to access the originating parcel or resulting parcels. Any abandonment of easements or facilities will be approved by the IID based on systems (irrigation, drainage, Power, etc.) needs.
- 4. To insure there are no impacts to IID water facilities, the revised exhibits and maps, including Imperial County reviewed grading & drainage and fencing plans, should be submitted to IID Water Department Engineering Section for review prior to final approval. IID WDES Section should be contacted at (760) 339-9265 for additional information.
- Any construction or operation on IID property or within its existing and proposed right of way or easements including but not limited to: surface improvements such as proposed new streets, driveways, parking lots, landscape; and all water, sewer, storm water, or any other

above ground or underground utilities; will require an encroachment permit, or encroachment agreement (depending on the circumstances). A copy of the IID encroachment permit application and instructions for its completion are available at the website <a href="https://www.iid.com/about-iid/department-directory/real-estate">https://www.iid.com/about-iid/department-directory/real-estate</a>. The district Real Estate Section should be contacted at (760) 339-9239 for additional information regarding encroachment.

- 6. In addition to IID's recorded easements, IID claims, at a minimum, a prescriptive right of way to the toe of slope of all existing canals and drains. Where space is limited and depending upon the specifics of adjacent modifications, the IID may claim additional secondary easements/prescriptive rights of ways to ensure operation and maintenance of IID's facilities can be maintained and are not impacted and if impacted mitigated. Thus, IID should be consulted prior to the installation of any facilities adjacent to IID's facilities. Certain conditions may be placed on adjacent facilities to mitigate or avoid impacts to IID's facilities.
- 7. The Request for Comments packet doesn't describe proposed sources of water for the resulting parcels. The applicant will need to contact IID Water Department for a long-term water supply request. New non-agricultural water supply requests are processed in accordance with IID's Temporary Land Conversion Fallowing Policy (available at <a href="https://www.iid.com/TLCFP">www.iid.com/TLCFP</a>). For additional information regarding water supply policies, the applicant should contact Justina Gamboa-Arce, Planner Water Resources Senior, at (760) 339-9085, or write to Ms. Gamboa-Arce at <a href="mailto:jgamboaarce@IID.com">jgamboaarce@IID.com</a>.
- 8. Any new, relocated, modified or reconstructed IID facilities required to accommodate the subdivision need to be included as part of the subdivision's environmental impact analysis and mitigation (e.g., California Environmental Quality Act and/or National Environmental Policy Act documentation). Failure to do so will result in postponement of any construction and/or modification of IID facilities until such time as the environmental documentation is amended and environmental impacts are fully analyzed. Any and all mitigation necessary as a result of the construction, relocation and/or upgrade of IID facilities is the responsibility of the applicant.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at 760-482-3609 or at <a href="mailto:dvargas@iid.com">dvargas@iid.com</a>. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this matter.

Respectfully,

Donald Vargas

Compliance Administrator II

Jamie Asbury – General Manager Mike Pacheco – Manager, Water Dept. Matthew H Smelser – Manager, Energy Dept.

Geoffrey Holbrook – General Counsel Michael P. Kemp – Superintendent General, Fleet Services and Reg. & Environ. Compliance

Laura Cervantes - Supervisor, Real Estate

Paul Rodriguez - Deputy Mgr. Energy Dept.

Jessica Humes - Environmental Project Mgr. Sr., Water Dept.

150 SOUTH NINTH STREET EL CENTRO, CA 92243-2850



TELEPHONE: (442) 265-1800 FAX: (442) 265-1799

April 3, 2024

Jim Minnick, Director Imperial County Planning & Development Services 801 Main Street El Centro, CA 92243 RECEIVED

By Imperial County Plannning & Development Services at 4:07 pm, Apr 03, 2024

SUBJECT:

Parcel Map 02508 - Vikings Energy Farm LLC

Dear Mr. Minnick:

The Imperial County Air Pollution Control District (Air District) would like to thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on Parcel Map (PM) 02508 (Project). The project is located at 2910 Nelson Pit Rd., Holtville also identified as Assessor's Parcel Number (APN) 050-070-019. The project proposes a minor subdivision to split the existing 80-acre parcel into two parcels: an approximately 4.3-acre parcel containing the Nelson Switching Station and an approximately 75.8-acre parcel for the Vikings Energy Farm. The switching station parcel will be deeded to IID and control of the switching station will be given to IID per the Generator Interconnection Agreement between IID and Vikings Energy Farm LLC. The construction, transfer, and operation of the switching station was analyzed under CUP 20-0025.

The Air District reminds the applicant that the project and all developments must comply with all Air District Rules & Regulations and would emphasize Regulation VIII – Fugitive Dust Rules, a collection of rules designed to maintain fugitive dust emissions below 20 % visual opacity. If either portion of the project will employ the use of combustion equipment such as an emergency standby generator it may be subject to Air District permitting requirements and the applicant must submit an application for engineering review, prior to installation of the generator. The Air District also reminds the applicant that an Operational Dust Control Plan is required for the project and must be submitted for Air District review and approval prior to the completion of construction and the project becoming operational.

Finally, the Air District requests a copy of the finalized map for its records.

For convenience, all Air District rules and regulations can be accessed online at <a href="https://apcd.imperialcounty.org/rules-and-regulations">https://apcd.imperialcounty.org/rules-and-regulations</a> and permitting documents can be found

at <a href="https://apcd.imperialcounty.org/engineering/#engpermiting">https://apcd.imperialcounty.org/engineering/#engpermiting</a>. Should you have any questions or concerns please feel free to contact the Air District by calling our office at (442) 265-1800.

Respectfully,

Ismael Garcia

Environmental Coordinator

Reviewed by,) Monika Sougier

**APC Division Manager** 

### ADMINISTRATION / TRAINING

1078 Dogwood Road Heber, CA 92249

### Administration

Phone: (442) 265-6000 Fax: (760) 482-2427

Training

Phone: (442) 265-6011



### **OPERATIONS/PREVENTION**

2514 La Brucherie Road Imperial, CA 92251

### **Operations**

Phone: (442) 265-3000 Fax: (760) 355-1482

#### Prevention

Phone: (442) 265-3020

April 5, 2024

RE: Parcel Map #02508

2910 Nelson Pit Rd, Holtville CA 92250

Viking Energy Farm, LLC



Imperial County Fire Department would like to thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on Parcel Map #02508 located at 2910 Nelson Pit Rd, Holtville CA 92250.

Imperial County Fire Department would like to ensure that the conditions for CUP #20-0025 be applied for Parcel Map #02508

Imperial County Fire Department reserves the right to comment and request additional requirements pertaining to this project regarding fire and life safety measures, California Building and Fire Code, and National Fire Protection Association standards at a later time as we see necessary

If you have any questions, please contact the Imperial County Fire Prevention Bureau at 442-265-3020 or 442-265-3021.

Sincerely
Andrew Loper
Lieutenant/Fire Prevention Specialist
Imperial County Fire Department
Fire Prevention Bureau

CC
David Lantzer
Fire Chief
Imperial County Fire Department

Robert Malek Deputy Chief Fire Marshal Imperial County Fire Department



COUNTY OF

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

155 S. 11th Street El Centro, CA 92243

Tel: (442) 265-1818 Fax: (442) 265-1858

Follow Us:



www.facebook.com/ ImperialCountyDPW



https://twitter.com/ CountyDpw/

## Public Works works for the Public



Mr. Jim Minnick, Director Planning & Development Services Department 801 Main Street El Centro, CA 92243

Attention:

Gerardo Quero, Planner II

SUBJECT:

PM 2508 Vikings Energy Farm, LLC

Located at 2910 Nelson Pit Rd, Holtville, CA 92250

APN 050-070-019

Dear Mr. Minnick:

This letter is in response to your submittal received on March 19, 2024, for the above-mentioned project. The applicant is proposing to subdivide a parcel into two (2) legal parcels to create a lot for a switchyard (Nelson Switching Station), which will later be conveyed to the Imperial Irrigation district.

Department staff has reviewed the package information and the following comments:

- 1. Applicant shall furnish a Drainage and Grading Plan to provide for property grading and drainage control, which shall also include prevention of sedimentation of damage to off-site properties. Said plan shall be completed per the Engineering Design Guidelines Manual for the Preparation and Checking of Street Improvement, Drainage, and Grading Plans within Imperial County. The Drainage and Grading Plan shall be submitted to this department for review and approval. The developer shall implement the approved plan. Employment of the appropriate Best Management Practices (BMP's) shall be included.
- Any activity and/or work within Imperial County right-of-way shall be completed under a
  permit issued by this Department (encroachment permit) as per Chapter 12.12 EXCAVATIONS ON OR NEAR A PUBLIC ROAD of the Imperial County Ordinance.
- 3. All permanent structures shall be located outside of the ultimate County Right-of-Way.
- 4. The Permittee will be required to repair any damages caused to County roads by construction traffic during construction and maintain them in safe conditions.
- All off-site improvements within Imperial County right-of-way shall be financially secured by either a road improvement bond or letter of credit prior to issuance of a grading permit, building permit, and encroachment permit.
- Prior to the issuance of grading and building permits, the Permittee shall complete the installation of temporary stabilized construction entrances and secondary emergency access driveways.

An Equal Opportunity / Affirmative Action Employer

- Prior to issuance of final certificate of occupancy, the Permittee shall be responsible for repairing any damage caused to County roads and bridges during construction as determined by the Imperial County Road Commissioner.
- 8. Comply with conditions provided in CUP #20-0025.
- 9. The applicant shall provide an Irrevocable Offer of Dedication (IOD) or dedicate the required portion for sufficient right of way for future development of Nelson Pit Rd, being classified as Local County (Residential) two (2) lanes, requiring sixty (60) feet of right of way, being thirty (30) feet from the existing centerline. It is required that sufficient right of way be provided to meet this road classification. (As directed by Imperial County Board of Supervisors per Minute Order #6 dated 11/22/1994 per the Imperial County Circulation Element Plan of the General Plan).
- 10. Provide a Parcel Map prepared by a California Licensed Land Surveyor or Civil Engineer and submit to the Department of Public Works, for review and recordation. The Engineer must be licensed in the category required by the California Business & Professions Code.
- 11. Provide tax certificate from the Tax Collector's Office prior to recordation of the Parcel Map.
- 12. The Parcel Map shall be based upon a field survey. The basis of bearings for the Parcel Map shall be derived from the current epoch of the California Coordinate System (CCS), North America Datum of 1983 (NAD83). The survey shall show connections to a minimum of two (2) Continuously Operating Reference Stations (CORS) of the California Real Time Network (CRTN). NAD 83 coordinates shall be established for every monument shown on the Parcel map.
- Each parcel created or affected by this map shall abut a maintained road and/or have legal and physical access to a public road.

Respectfully,

John A. Gay, PE Director of Public Works

Veronica Atondo, PE, PLS

Deputy Director of Public Works - Engineering


PM#02508 APPLICATION


**EEC ORIGINAL PKG** 

## **MINOR SUBDIVISION**

I.C. PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPT 801 Main Street, El Centro, CA 92243 (760) 482-4236

|  |  |  | NT MUST COMPLETE ALL NUMBER  |   |  |                             |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|-----------------------------|
| Į.   | PROPERTY OWNER'S NAME: Vikings Energy Farm LLC   |  |  | EMAIL ADDRESS: hcallahan@arevonenergy.com   |  |                             |
| 2.   | MAILING ADDRESS: 8800 N Gainey Center Dr. Suite #100<br>Scottsdale, Arizona  |  |  | ZIP CODE: 85258   | PHONE NUMBER: (  |                             |
| 3.   | ENGINEER'S NAME: William McKinney CAL. LICENSE NO.: 4715   |  |  | EMAIL ADDRESS: wmckinney@cwecorp.com  |  |                             |
|  | MAILING  | ADDRESS:   |  | ZIP CODE: 95661   | PHONE NUMBER:  |                             |
|  | PROPERTY (site) ADDRESS: 2910 E Nelson Pit Rd. Holtville, CA 92250   |  |  | LOCATION: Imperial County   |  |                             |
|  | ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NO.: 050-070-019   |  |  | SIZE OF PROPERTY (in acres or square foot): 80.11 acres   |  |                             |
|  | LEGAL D  | ESCRIPTION (attach   | separate sheet if necessary): Attached   | 1   |  |                             |
|  | EXPLAIN  | PURPOSE/REASON   | FOR MINOR SUBDIVISION: Vikings Energ   | y Farm will be granting this  | s subdivided land to Imp   | perial Irrigation District. |
| . ,  | Proposed DIVISION of the above specified land is as follows:   |  | PROPOSED USE   |   | ZONE   |                             |
| l  | PARCEL   | SIZE in acres<br>or sq. feet   | EXISTING USE   |   | N. O. H. E. and  |                             |
|  | 1 or A   | 4.298 acres  | Nelson Switching Station   | IID will own and maintain t   |  | A-2-RE                      |
|  |  |  |  | For Vikings Energy Farm   | and Storage Facility   | A-2-RE                      |
|  | 2 or B   | 75.812 acres   | For Vikings Energy Farm and Storage Facility   | , o   |  |                             |
| _ <b>EA</b> \$   | 3 or C<br>4 or D<br>SE PROV  |  | ICISE INFORMATION (ATTACH SEP  |   |  |                             |
| 0.<br>1.   | 3 or C<br>4 or D<br>SE PROV<br>DESCRIE   | VIDE CLEAR & CON<br>BE PROPOSED SEWE<br>BE PROPOSED WATE   | INCISE INFORMATION (ATTACH SEPTER SYSTEM(s) N/A N/A  |   |  |                             |
| 0.<br>1.<br>2.   | 3 or C 4 or D SE PROV DESCRIE DESCRIE DESCRIE  | PIDE CLEAR & CONSE PROPOSED SEWERS PROPOSED WATER PROPOSED ACCE  | R SYSTEM(s) RS TO SUBDIVIDED LOTS READ BE ANNEXED?  N/A RS TO SUBDIVIDED LOTS READ BE ANNEXED?  N/A RS TO SUBDIVIDED LOTS READ BE ANNEXED?   | ARATE SHEET IF NEE  |  |                             |
| 1. 2. 3. HER ROPINFORDING  | 3 or C 4 or D  SE PROV DESCRIE DESCRIE DESCRIE IS THIS F  EBY APPLY ERTY THA MATION, A   | FOR PERMISSION TO CAND PER THE MAP A   | RER SYSTEM N/A  SS TO SUBDIVIDED LOTS Access D BE ANNEXED? IF YES, TO W D DIVIDE THE ABOVE SPECIFIED CONTROL, AS PER ATTACHED CT AND PER THE SUBDIVISION   | S easement HAT CITY or DISTRICT?  A. TENTATIVE I  | DED)   |                             |
| 0. 1. 2. 3. HERROPINFORDING CEFNOW   | 3 or C 4 or D  SE PROV DESCRIE DESCRIE DESCRIE IS THIS F  EBY APPLY ERTY THA MATION, A MANCE RTIFY THA   | FOR PERMISSION TO CAND PER THE ABOVE INFORTEUE AND CORRECT   | R SYSTEM(s)  R SYSTEM(s)  R SYSTEM  N/A  R SYSTEM  D BE ANNEXED?  D DIVIDE THE ABOVE SPECIFIED CONTROL, AS PER ATTACHED  | S easement HAT CITY or DISTRICT?  A. TENTATIVE I  | DED)   |                             |
| 1. 2. 3. HERROPHIFORDIN CEFNOW   | 3 or C 4 or D SE PROV DESCRIE DESCRIE DESCRIE IS THIS F EBY APPLY ERTY THA MATION. A JANCE RTIFY THA JACEDGE, IS USE Energy F Jame (owner)   | PARCEL PLANNED TO YES WAND PER THE ABOVE INFORTRUE ALLC  | RESUSTEM(s)  PRESENTEM(s)  PRESENTEM N/A  PRESENTEM | ARATE SHEET IF NEE  s easement  HAT CITY or DISTRICT?  A. TENTATIVE II  B. PRELIMINAR  C. FEE  D. OTHER  Special Note: An notarized owners afficial   | DED)  BED SUPPORT BO  WAP  Y TITLE REPORT (6)  |                             |
| 0. 1. 2. 3. HER ROPINFORDIN CEFNOW PRINT N   | SE PROV DESCRIE DESCRIE DESCRIE IS THIS F EBY APPLY ERTY THA MATION, A MANCE RTIFY THA ALEDGE, IS SENergy F Imme (owner) Imme (owner)  | PARCEL PLANNED TO YES WAND PER THE ABOVE INFORTRUE ALLC  | RESYSTEM(s)  PROBLEM N/A  RESYSTEM N/A  RESY | ARATE SHEET IF NEE  s easement  HAT CITY or DISTRICT?  A. TENTATIVE I  B. PRELIMINAR  C. FEE  D. OTHER  Special Note:   | DED)  BED SUPPORT BO  WAP  Y TITLE REPORT (6)  |                             |
| 1. 2. 3. HERROPHINFORDIN CEFNOW Iking and Now Ignation No | 3 or C 4 or D  SE PROV  DESCRIE  DESCRIE  DESCRIE  IS THIS F  ENTY THA  MANCE  RITIFY THA  ALEDGE, IS  IS Energy F  Iame (owner)  Iame (Agent)   | FIDE CLEAR & CONSE PROPOSED SEWER BE PROPOSED ACCES | RESUSTEM(s)  PRESENTEM(s)  PRESENTEM N/A  PRESENTEM | ARATE SHEET IF NEE  s easement  HAT CITY or DISTRICT?  A. TENTATIVE I  B. PRELIMINAR  C. FEE  D. OTHER  Special Note: An notarized owners afficial application is signed by Ag                  | DED)  SUPPORT BO  WAP  Y TITLE REPORT (6)  wit is required if ent                              | s months or newer)          |
| 0. 1. 2. 3. HER ROPPL  | 3 or C 4 or D  SE PROV DESCRIE DESCRIE DESCRIE IS THIS F ENTY THA MATION. A MANCE RTIFY THA ALEDGE, IS IS Energy F Is me (owner) Imme (owner) Imme (Agent) Imme (Agent)  | PROPOSED WATER BE PROPOSED WATER BE PROPOSED ACCE PARCEL PLANNED TO YES NOT NOT YES NO | ICISE INFORMATION (ATTACH SEPER SYSTEM(s) N/A  RESYSTEM N/A  ISS TO SUBDIVIDED LOTS Access  DESTINATION OF THE ABOVE SPECIFIED CONTROL, AS PER ATTACHED CT AND PER THE SUBDIVISION  MATION, TO THE BEST OF MY  26 FEB 2024  Date  Date   | ARATE SHEET IF NEE  s easement  HAT CITY or DISTRICT?  A. TENTATIVE II  B. PRELIMINAR  C. FEE  D. OTHER  Special Note: An notarized owners afficial   | DED)  ED SUPPORT DO  MAP  Y TITLE REPORT (6)   | s months or newer)          |
| O.  1. 2. 3. HER ROPINFOR RDIN CEFNOW iking and N  | SE PROVIDES CRIED DESCRIED DES | PROPOSED WATER BE PROPOSED WATER BE PROPOSED ACCE BE PROPOSED ACCE BE PROPOSED ACCE BY FOR PERMISSION TO BY FOR PE | ICISE INFORMATION (ATTACH SEPER SYSTEM(s) N/A  RESYSTEM N/A  ISS TO SUBDIVIDED LOTS Access  DESTINATION OF THE ABOVE SPECIFIED CONTROL, AS PER ATTACHED CT AND PER THE SUBDIVISION  MATION, TO THE BEST OF MY  26 FEB 2024  Date  Date   | ARATE SHEET IF NEE  s easement  HAT CITY or DISTRICT?  A. TENTATIVE I  B. PRELIMINAR  C. FEE  D. OTHER  Special Note: An polarized owners affide application is signed by Ag                    | DED)  SUPPORT BO  WAP  Y TITLE REPORT (6)  WI is required if ent  OTHER DEPTS (40)  P W  E H S | is months or newer)         |
| 1. 2. 3. HERR ROPIUS FORDIN CERNOW Iking Int N Ignaturint N Ignature International Int | SE PROVIDES CRIEDES CR | PROPOSED WATER BE PROPOSED WATER BE PROPOSED ACCE PARCEL PLANNED TO YES NOT NOT YES NO | ICISE INFORMATION (ATTACH SEPER SYSTEM(s) N/A  RESYSTEM N/A  ISS TO SUBDIVIDED LOTS Access  DESTINATION OF THE ABOVE SPECIFIED CONTROL, AS PER ATTACHED CT AND PER THE SUBDIVISION  MATION, TO THE BEST OF MY  26 FEB 2024  Date  Date   | ARATE SHEET IF NEE  s easement  HAT CITY or DISTRICT?  A. TENTATIVE II  B. PRELIMINAR  C. FEE  D. OTHER  Special Note: An notanzed owners affidar application is signed by Ag  DATE  DATE  DATE | DED)  SUPPORT BO  WAP  Y TITLE REPORT (6)  Will is required if ent  OTHER DEPT'S 1990  P W     | is months or newer)         |





### Exhibit "A"

# Description of Switchyard Parcel, Switchyard Access Easement & Control Room Access Easement Vikings Energy Farm, LLC

The land described hereinbelow is situated in the County of Imperial, State of California, being a portion of the West Half of the Northwest Quarter of Section Thirty-six (36), Township Fifteen (15) South, Range Sixteen (16) East, San Bernardino Base and Meridian, being also a portion of the lands described in that certain Grant Deed to Vikings Energy Farm LLC, a limited liability company, filed for record in the Office of the Recorder of Imperial County, California, as Document Number 2022021783 of Official Records, said portion being described as follows:

Beginning at a point, from which the Northwest corner of said Section 36, being the Northwest corner of said Grant Deed, bears the following three (3) courses; (1) South 00° 00′ 00″ East 32.50 feet to the south line of said Grant Deed, (2) South 89° 25′ 44″ West 851.60 feet along the said South line to the West line of said Grant Deed, and (3) North 00° 32′ 03″ West 2641.78 feet along the West line of said Grant Deed; thence from said Point of Beginning North 90° 00′ 00″ West 308.00 feet; thence North 00° 00′ 00″ East 608.00 feet; thence North 90° 00′ 00″ East 308.00 feet; thence South 00° 00′ 00″ East 608.00 feet to the Point of Beginning; containing 4.299 acres, more or less.

Together with:

An easement for access to said Switchyard described as follows:

Beginning at a point, from which the Northwest corner of said Section 36, being the Northwest corner of said Grant Deed, bears the following two (2) courses; (1) South 89°25′ 44″ West 851.60 feet along the South line of said Grant Deed, to the West line of said Grant Deed, and (2) North 00° 32′ 03″ West 2641.78 feet along the West line of said Grant Deed; thence from said **Point of Beginning** North 00° 00′ 00″ East 640.50 feet; thence North 90° 00′ 00″ West 172.85 feet; thence North 00° 00′ 00″ East 40.00 feet; thence North 90° 00′ 00″ East 252.06 feet; thence South 00° 00′ 00″ East 679.71 feet to the said South line of said Grant Deed; thence South 89° 25′ 44″ West 79.21 feet to the **Point of Beginning**.

Together with:

An easement for access to the electrical Control Room described as follows:


Beginning at a point, from which the Northwest corner of said Section 36, being the Northwest corner of said Grant Deed, bears the following three (3) courses; (1) South 00° 00′ 00″ West 109.35 feet to the South line of said Grant Deed, (2) South 89° 25′ 44″ West 930.81 feet along the said South line of said Grant Deed, to the West line of said Grant Deed, and (3) North 00° 32′ 03″ West 2641.78 feet along the West line of said Grant Deed, said **Point of Beginning** being


located on the East line of the Switchyard Access Easement described hereinabove; thence from said **Point of Beginning** along the said East line North 00° 00′ 00″ East 25.15 feet; thence North 52° 40′ 56″ East 109.14 feet; thence North 90° 00′ 00″ East 114.71 feet; thence South 00° 00′ 00″ East 32.90 feet; thence North 90° 00′ 00″ West 36.00 feet; thence North 00° 00′ 00″ East 11.50 feet; thence North 90° 00′ 00″ West 67.00 feet; thence South 00° 00′ 00″ East 40.00 feet; thence North 90° 00′ 00″ West 59.26 feet; thence South 52° 40′ 56″ West 49.34 feet to the **Point of Beginning**.

**End of Description** 

William F. McKinney, PLS 4715

Date





### Exhibit "A"

### Description of Transmission Line Easements Vikings Energy Farm, LLC

The land described hereinbelow is situated in the County of Imperial, State of California, being a portion of the West Half of the Northwest Quarter of Section Thirty-six (36), Township Fifteen (15) South, Range Sixteen (16) East, San Bernardino Base and Meridian, being also a portion of the lands described in that certain Grant Deed to Vikings Energy Farm LLC, a limited liability company, filed for record in the Office of the Recorder of Imperial County, California, as Document Number 2022021783 of Official Records, described as the following two (2) strips:

### Strip 1:

Beginning at a point, from which the Northwest corner of said Section 36, being the Northwest corner of said Grant Deed, bears the following three (3) courses; (1) South 00° 00′ 00″ East 643.57 feet to the south line of said Grant Deed, (2) South 89° 25′ 44″ West 543.59 feet along the said South line to the West line of said Grant Deed, and (3) North 00° 32′ 03″ West 2641.78 feet along the West line of said Grant Deed; thence from said **Point of Beginning** North 90° 00′ 00″ West 369.41 feet to the east line of a 100′ wide power line easement to Imperial Irrigation District, recorded in Book 1592 at Page 1543, Official Records of Imperial County; thence along said East line North 09° 11′ 17″ West 40.52 feet; thence North 90° 00′ 00″ East 511.03 feet; thence South 00° 00′ 00″ East 40.00 feet; thence North 90° 00′ 00″ West 135.15 feet to the **Point of Beginning**.

### Strip 2:

Beginning at a point, from which the Northwest corner of said Section 36, being the Northwest corner of said Grant Deed, bears the following three (3) courses; (1) South 00° 00′ 00″ East 148.00 feet to the south line of said Grant Deed, (2) South 89° 25′ 44″ West 543.59 feet along the said South line to the West line of said Grant Deed, and (3) North 00° 32′ 03″ West 2641.78 feet along the West line of said Grant Deed; thence from said Point of Beginning North 90° 00′ 00″ West 289.25 feet to the east line of a 100′ wide power line easement to Imperial Irrigation District, recorded in Book 1592 at Page 1543, Official Records of Imperial County; thence along said East line North 09° 11′ 17″ West 40.52 feet; thence North 90° 00′ 00″ East 295.72 feet; thence South 00° 00′ 00″ East 40.00 feet to the Point of Beginning.

**End of Description** 

William F. McKinney, PLS 4715

APRIL 1, 2014

Date

