

**MINUTES OF THE
ALUC MEETING
April 16, 2025**

The Airport Land Use Commission convened a Meeting on Wednesday, April 16, 2025, at 6:00 p.m. in the Board Room at 940 Main St., El Centro, California.

Staff present: Assistant Director, Michael Abraham; Planner II, Luis Valenzuela; Clerk, Kamika Mitchell; Clerk, Olivia Lopez.

Chairman Mike Goodsell: Called the meeting into order.

I. **Roll Call:** Commissioners present: Goodsell, Chavez, Arguelles, Zong

II. **Pledge of Allegiance**

III. **Approval of Minutes** – February 19, 2025, Minutes

Commissioner Chavez: I motion to approve the minutes for the February 19, 2025, meeting.

Commissioner Arguelles: I will second.

Chairman Goodsell: We have a motion and a second, Roll Call vote.

Roll Call: Goodsell (yes), Chavez (yes), Arguelles (yes), Zong (yes)

IV. **Public Hearings**

Chairman Goodsell: Introduces Public Hearings.

1. Public hearing to hearing to consider the proposed SBA telecommunication tower project for consistency with the Imperial County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan. SBA Communication Corporation submitted an application to the City of Calexico for the construction of a new 139' telecommunications self-supported tower in a proposed 30' x 30' gravel compound expansion within a proposed 5810 sf lease area at 352 E. 1st, within the City of Calexico limits. The proposed structure would be located 1.20 miles east of the Calexico International Airport (CXL). The project is being presented for the Imperial County Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC)'s review and their determination of consistency with its 1996 Compatibility Plan. The project falls within the Imperial County Airport Land Use Compatibility Map Zone "C". The proposed project would be located in the City of Calexico limits, east of State HWY 111, Assessor's Parcel Number(s) 058-535-001 and further described as LOTS 1 2 & E 22 FT OF LOT 3 IN BLK 73 & ALL OF BLKS 74 & 75. Longitude -115° 49' 2.7235", Latitude 32° 66' 53.921" (ALUC 2-25) [Luis Valenzuela, Planner II (442) 265-1736, extension 1749 or by email at luisvalenzuela@co.imperial.ca.us]

Luis Valenzuela, Planner II: Read the project into the record.

Chairman Goodsell: Is there any one here that's a proponent of this project that would like to speak on behalf of this project? Anyone want to speak in favor of this project that's a representative?

Clinton Papenfuss, Air Space Analyst: Hi, my name is Clinton Papenfuss. I'm an Air Space Analyst, a former Air Traffic Controller. I've been doing air space analysis since 1989 for the Air Force and for SBA. I did an extensive evaluation of this site; found that if there were operations being instrument flight rules operations into this airport it would be protected for a 40:1 slope surface off to the runway and there was a slight penetration, but it's right next to an existing water tower as you saw in the former picture and that water

tower would be taller than our tower. Plus, our tower would have a red light on top. I requested a red light on top instead, in lieu of painting it or putting a medium dual lighting system on there. The red light is the least intrusive, but it provides the protection for the aircraft coming into Calexico International Airport. The FAA did an extensive evaluation of this site. They in fact circulated it out for Public Notice. It was out for 120 days, and they received no replies on it from the Airport or from the Public and so they approved it and along with the lighting that we requested. Do you have any questions?

Chairman Goodsell: Any questions from the panel? I'd just like to note, I appreciate the light. Often when they're this high, we like to have them. You haven't gotten anything from Customs, Border Protection, concerning being that close?

Clinton Papenfuss, Air Space Analyst: No, we've received no notifications of any concerns at all. What I like, having been an air traffic controller I'm very pro-protect the aircraft and so what I liked that the FAA accepted my recommendation that we put a red light on top so that we could provide that protection because the water tower does not have a light on it and so it's going to be well protected and I believe a lot of the equipment that's on the water tower is going to be moved over to this tower from what I understand.

Chairman Goodsell: Alright, thank you. Is there anyone in the audience here that is in opposition and would like to speak to this particular project? I see none. I'll bring it before the Commission. We need a motion. It is found compatible according to our staff so what would you say?

Commissioner Arguelles: I motion to approve.

Commissioner Chavez: I second.

Roll Call: Goodsell (yes), Chavez (yes), Arguelles (yes), Zong (yes)

2. Public hearing to consider compatibility of Skyway Towers requested Conditional Use Permit #24-0026 for a 120-foot monopole telecommunications facility located on a 40' x 40' leased portion of a 2.83 acres residential parcel. The proposed project is within the Imperial County Airport Compatibility Plan C Zone (Common Traffic Pattern). The proposed project site is located at 749 W Worthington Road, Imperial, CA 92251 approximately 1,400 feet west of the intersection of Worthington Road and Austin Road. Parcel coordinates 32° 50' 49.272" N, 115° 35' 58.5162" W; Assessor's Parcel Numbers 062-040-075-000 (Supervisory District #3) (ALUC 03-25) [Luis Valenzuela, Planner II, 442-265-1736, extension 1749 or by email at luisvalenzuela@co.imperial.ca.us].

Luis Valenzuela, Planner II: Read the project into the record.

Chairman Goodsell: Any questions for Luis?

Commissioner Zong: Hi, this is Tairu. Did they actually do aeronautics studies for this tower because I saw the Calexico one. They actually have FAA studies, but this one doesn't. No, I didn't see any documentation, or they just didn't attach it because that study is telling us what the FAA opinion on those towers is, especially this cell tower is going to be located within our air space, which is a 5-mile radius off the airport. This is actually very close, by looking at the graphic, the geographic you provided, it's pretty close to our runways. And that's actually in the approach area of the runway which should not have any obstruction there.

Chairman Goodsell: So, when planes land there, they approach from which direction usually? Oh, it's two runways so they got two options.

Commissioner Zong: There's two runways, so this is right next to one of the runways.

Chairman Goodsell: And they approach on that runway is that what you're saying.

Commissioner Arguelles: It depends on the wind.

Commissioner Zong: It depends on the wind.

Chairman Goodsell: Well, there's a lot of wind today so they can change their approach.

Commissioner Zong: My opinion, I mean; to be on the safe side, we don't know what the FAA's opinion is going to be. It's better to have aeronautic studies, just like this one, yes, something like this, from their opinion what's the risk of it.

Chairman Goodsell: Those are genuine concerns, good concerns. But let's hear from folks that are here. We don't want to cut them off from their chance to speak so we have got three people that want to talk about this particular project. Could we have Reyna? Let's hear from Reyna Acosta.

Reyna Acosta, Public Speaker: Good evening, my name is Reyna Acosta, and I'm concerned for myself, my spouse, and my child. The address is 795 West Worthington, fairly close to the address you guys have. And my question for you guys or for them I guess, what kind of longitudinal studies do you have to prove that exposure to this tower will not lead to health issues in the future as this technology is relatively new? So, we can say right now we don't feel that it is dangerous but if we don't really know how this technology is going to behave over time, my child is seven, how is her health going to be when she is 40, 60, assuming she continues to reside around this address. That is my concern. We already live in an area in Imperial County where we all understand it's agricultural and we're dealing with pesticides and we're dealing with all these other things that cause an increase in asthma in our children. Do we really want to put other risks around our children because I think we are already carrying a heavy burden on our health, for us, and more important for the future generations; so the decisions that we do, it doesn't just concern those of us in the room but our children, grandchildren that are not in this room at this moment. Once again, I ask the Board to consider the practices that we approve going forward and the repercussions that those practices will have for future generations in this County. Thank you for your concern for my family and my child.

Chairman Goodsell: Thank you Ms. Acosta. Is there a Tony Dar?

Tony Dar: Hello, thank you for your time here. You got my sheet, there are six questions on there. You can look those over. Once again, the primary is: is this the only location that this site can be installed? There're some places right down the road that have lots of acreage, nothing happened there in 60 plus years. Also, we have a small business, you guys just re-zoned so this man here, his business could come in there and it's for weddings. As a dad, one of the coolest things is looking at the venue to take your daughter to get married. And they're trying to provide us with another venue. How do you polish that big monopole tower when he's trying to bring something as a business, as a business man, if you look at what he's done to just our neighborhood to enhance it and make it look nice and then we turn around and go back the other way so then if we go back onto the Cal Osha websites and you start looking at RF, I work for the IID, 40 years in June, and we look at how Cal Osha and how we work with the RF, things like that and personal protective gear, and you look at how the studies are for, how does this affect us health wise and everything you find is inclusive. Well, I don't know about you, but we have social media and people are braggers. They like to brag. So, if there was a study that says it does not, they would produce a study. They're not producing the studies so really and truly, what does inclusive mean? We don't know. So, if we don't know, then what benefits one person for their pocket, how does it affect the rest of the community health wise, business wise, and just the looks that he's bringing to our neighborhood to provide this kind of venue. My home, we look out over the west and let me tell you about the crop dusters that come through that area when the winds blowing that you're talking about. Yeah, we have rules, and those things like at the IID, you have the right way, the wrong way, and the IID way. Sometimes you have to make things happen. These crop-dusters, these planes that are coming in, they got to land, and they got to bring it in, they got to bring it out. And we also have the NAF. Those boys don't always fly the way they're supposed to, and they encroach sometimes too far to the East in the residential, that photo does not reflect all the homes that are in there now. Thank you for your time. You will see my questions there. Any questions or concerns or not concerns but anything maybe I can help you with.

Chairman Goodsell: No. We will take these things under advisement for sure.

Tony Dar, Public Speaker: And also, another key is this man is investing a lot, we've all invested a lot in our properties. You look at the litigations that are going on over in, we'll call it East County San Diego, cause we're all in the same boat, and it does affect the re-sale of the property by a little over 3%. Thank you for your time.

Chairman Goodsell: Thank you, thank you for your comments. Is this right, Tirso Lara?

Tirso Laura, Public Speaker: Yes, hi, my name is Tirso Lara, I'm the owner of the property right next to where this tower is supposed to be at 781 West Worthington Rd. So, I purchased this property about 5 years ago. It was an eye sore and I'm sure the neighbors could confirm that. So, this main drive comes into the City of Imperial and a lot of people use it to come into the Imperial Valley as well. I put a lot of money into this property. We have an application to get a venue going there. This map here, that you see is not up to date. There's some mobile homes and houses that are not on there so I'm not sure how old that map is but basically, I'm working, like my neighbors said, we've been working to clean up and bring the value up in our properties, especially when it's one of the main roads into the Imperial Valley. There's a lot of traffic through there. One of my concerns is value, the other is, same as the business, also knowing that we have crop dusters on both sides of that, basically around that tower that comes up, when there is, it's air-borne, chemicals from the fertilizers, what does that affect? Is there an impact on the towers themselves with fertilizer hitting the towers when it's air-borne? Also, the same thing with health. We're very concerned with the health. There is no evidence that it does or does not affect. Also, I'd like to know what the offsets are on that tower because I know that property needs to be surveyed. I've talked to my neighbor, and he doesn't seem to understand where his fence is, it is not his actual property boundaries. It's a small end what he has there but basically if the map was up to date, you would see the amount of money and effort we've put into that area to try and bring that up, and again it's not just a representation of ourselves, basically, of Imperial Valley. Again, we've done studies because of the venue. We're supposed to put a third turn lane there because of the amount of traffic going through that roadway. Again, I would think that somebody would want something better than a big tower when you're coming in from San Diego or L.A. like I said that Forrester Rd brings in a lot of traffic, would be something to be proud of in the Imperial Valley so that's our main concern. I wasn't notified. I was notified by my neighbors. I never got, received anything by mail or anything, that's the other thing that I also wanted to express. Basically, I think my neighbors did a good job covering our concerns. Thank you.

Chairman Goodsell: Thank you, thank you for your comments. And we have another one, Peggy Price?

Peggy Price, Public Speaker: Good evening, Chairman, board members, thank you, Peggy Price. I'm a resident of Imperial at 590 W Belford Rd, not far from this site here. I also notice that the photo that was up, of the property there, there's sort of a greyed out area, there are a lot of homes there, you can't quite see those there, if they're there at all so I would have to add that might be an outdated picture or maybe it's greyed out a little bit but the bottom, to the right there, that long section, there's multiple homes there, different subdivisions there. Mr. Dar, I believe, lives on the corner there of Austin and Barioni as well and I don't see his home. There're several homes there. I'm really concerned about the location. It is near the airport. I know we are doing a lot of work on complying with the FFA at this time, and I'm very concerned that this might be just another issue that we have to face if there has not been a proper study, I would hope you would at least put a pause on this 'til that study is done so we make sure it doesn't provide any issues of things we are trying to mitigate at this point at our County airport. I will have to say, it will be odd to have this tower, that if it is going to have these, the look of a pine tree or something of that nature, it will be more of an eye sore than something that looks inviting to our community so I am concerned there but my overall concern is more the safety, the location of the airport, and where this tower is going to be erected and I would ask that you would at least put a pause and make sure that that study is done so that we don't have any issues with our local airport. Thank you.

Chairman Goodsell: Thank you, thank you. Is there anyone here that wants to speak in favor of this project? Is there a representative for the project here?

Luis Valenzuela, Planner II: We have the representative online. Yes, we have the representatives, Tom Wilkerson and Megan.

Tom Wilkerson, Project Representative: Yes, my name is Tom Wilkerson. I'm with TEP on behalf of Skyway Towers and speaking in favor of the project. As far as the majority of the concerns go, these are, my understanding was that this was a hearing regarding aerospace and a lot of the concerns are more for the CUP hearing. The use compatibility, zone compatibility, health via the FCC's regulations and new reports and the notification is performed in accordance with the code and it was my understanding that those pieces would be presented at the Conditional Use Permit Hearing. As far as the FAA's concerns go, that could be added as a conditional, as a condition of approval, for the aerospace portion of this project.

Chairman Goodsell: Thank you, sir. I have one more that was just submitted but would like to speak. Gil, would you like to come up? Gil Rebollar.

Gil Rebollar, Public Speaker: Thank you, Gil Rebollar. Yeah, I understand the concerns. I know the individual talked about regarding waves, and I know there's controversy around that but I think above all as Mr. Zong stated out the importance of the safety regarding the FAA, and I think to consider that, I know you said there could be some conditions but I think above all, safety comes first and that's a very big concern and there's no controversy I think surrounding ensuring that that area is in fact, flight plans. Mr. Zong is someone's whose opinion I highly regard in regard to aviation and so I just want to reiterate that as well as Supervisor Price and her statement, so I just want to hammer that home. Thank you.

Chairman Goodsell: Thank you. Well, I'll defer to others on the panel first. I do have some comments to make but is there anything you guys need cleared up?

Luis Valenzuela, Planner II: I believe Megan wants to. We have another representative from Skyway Towers.

Chairman Goodsell: Oh, there's another one online? Ok, go ahead Megan.

Megan Howey, Project Representative: My name is Megan Howey, and I recently took over this project from Tom Wilkerson and he's here today to speak with you because the transition was somewhat recent in nature. I did want to chime in because my understanding, as he said, is that the scope of the Commission is to determine the safety of the facility and its compliance with the aerospace regulations. I believe there was an attachment that was included and presented to the Commission that shows the location, the project location, although it seems like the attachment locations in the small portion, I would like to share, because I think that it might be a little off or different. So, I wanted to share this because the location is shown right here on the outside of this line, and I believe that's what helps determine the area, the extent, and the height that should be used here and different safety requirements for the public, which is completely understandable that they would want to make sure that the height is in conformance with the regulations and we certainly want to address that for them. As an urban planner, I've worked in the private sector for approximately 15 years, I've also worked on behalf of jurisdictions and have lobbied for cities and counties and state and I feel like all those things are extremely important to consider how so ever I do feel that the FAA report could address that quite easily and it's something that I'm sure my client would be happy to include and even order for you if that would be helpful to make a determination. Additionally, the public brought up a number of concerns that Tom reiterated. Somethings were addressed such as zone compatibility, use compatibility, their concerns about their health and their children's health, which is regulated by the FCC. We have to produce new reports that show compliance with those Federal regulations, so we have to be within a certain amount of compliance. There are also standards for visual compatibility that land use codes regulate and there are requirements for notifications and code that we have to make sure that we are in conformance with for approval. All those things will be addressed at the hearing and the public, that's my understanding that the public would be a part of that hearing and have an opportunity to speak there. I think all of those things,

again, are very important and would welcome them to come and discuss their concerns and or reach out to me and I'd be happy to discuss those with them as well. I am a mother of two little children so I completely appreciate where they're coming from, but I feel like all those things can be addressed and can be explained and would just note that it would be helpful to make sure that we're focusing on the scope for this hearing.

Chairman Goodsell: Thank you, anybody else online? Anybody else out there? Yes?

Peggy Price, Public Speaker: Is Ms. Howey, Ms. Howey are you Counsel for the owner of the company or who do you represent?

Chairman Goodsell: Ms. Howey, can you answer that? You said you were part of this project? What was your role there?

Megan Howey, Project Representative: Absolutely. I'm a private sector planner and I work with TEP on behalf of our client who helped construct this tower.

Chairman Goodsell: She's a planner.

Peggy Price, Public Speaker: Ok. So, do you live in our community in Ms. Howey?

Chairman Goodsell: I don't think so.

Megan Howey, Project Representative: I do not but I think it's very beautiful.

Peggy Price, Public Speaker: Thank you, so do we. And that's a point that many people in our community have an issue with that folks come from outside and they put up projects, but they don't have to live here and look at it every day. We do have a beautiful scene of the mountains, Mount Signal, and that will definitely be obstructed by this tower, so it was just a point I wanted to make. You're a mother with two children; I'm a mother with children and grandchildren and live here in this community so I hope you understand our point that's also something of concern to us.

Megan Howey, Project Representative: I completely understand and appreciate that you vocalized some of your concerns and those are all things that we are happy to address during this land use application process. There are a number of things we can do to help conform with code requirements so we would look up what the code requires and then your jurisdiction does limit their land use regulations which you know have been voted on by all their staff which have been elected by the people there. So, I mean, a lot of what the tower, how the tower is built, where its located, those are all determined by your own code so we will make sure that we conform with those requirements. And again, I'm more than happy to discuss any concerns via phone. I'm sure my phone number is somewhere, you could also reach out to the planner, and he can forward your phone number to me and then I would love to address those with you prior to the Conditional Use Permit hearing or at it, whichever you prefer.

Peggy Price, Public Speaker: Thank you.

Chairman Goodsell: So, I want to try to clear something up here. The people online are not in error when they say that there is a scope for this meeting. We are the Airport Land Use Commission. Our jurisdiction is to consider whether the projects that come into the sphere of the airports are consistent with a plan that's written for safety purposes. The issues that have arisen about FAA being involved is one that has really perked our ears, but we will be honest with you, some of the other issues, as she said, are addressed at another forthcoming meeting, right. We don't get to rule on those. We only rule on whether this is compatible with what could be put by an airport, wherever, right. So, I do have a concern, I'm sure you well addressed it, that we do need, and they seem like their willing, we need the FAA in here. Can we table this until we get something further on this?

Clinton Papenfuss, Air Space Analyst: I found the FAA study number, the approval, and gave it to him.

Chairman Goodsell: But this isn't your project?

Clinton Papenfuss, Air Space Analyst: No but I have access to the FAA database, and I was able to pull up the FAA determination.

Megan Howey, Project Representative: Thank you, sir.

Michael Abraham, Assistant Director: Good afternoon, Michael Abraham with the Planning department. The study that was referenced, we could table this, if that was your wish, but like many of the individuals on the zoom call said earlier this committee is here to make a determination on whether or not this project is compatible or not with the plan.

Chairman Goodsell: So, your staff has seen that report? The FAA report?

Michael Abraham, Assistant Director: Well, he just provided to Luis right now. So, but that shouldn't have any bearing on whether or not this project is compatible.

Chairman Goodsell: But when you made the, when the staff made the recommendation that this is compatible.

Michael Abraham, Assistant Director: Right, we were going by the Plan. Now, all of the other concerns, the process for the Cell Tower approval is first you go to the Airport Land Use Compatibility to make a determination on whether it is compatible or not. Then you go to the Environmental Evaluation Committee to determine what type of environmental document that's going to be circulated and approved by the Planning Commission. Then the CUP for the cell tower goes to the Planning Commission and that's who's going to make a decision on whether to approve or not approve the particular project.

Chairman Goodsell: Yeah, we're not the final voice on this. We're like one of the first voices.

Michael Abraham, Assistant Director: Right, so if it is, whatever the decision the Planning Commission makes, it can be appealed to the Board of Supervisors. But this is, we're only here today to make a determination on whether this project is compatible with the Plan. And based on the Plan we have, it's compatible. Now we can table it, and we can come back with the study but that's not going to change your determination because it is compatible with the Plan.

Chairman Goodsell: Well, it would be a sense of comfort, I suppose, to know that the document does exist.

Michael Abraham, Assistant Director: Right, and that is your discretion.

Commissioner Zong: This project is compatible with the current plan?

Michael Abraham, Assistant Director: That is correct.

Chairman Goodsell: Well, thank God we got a new plan coming someday soon.

Michael Abraham, Assistant Director: And sidenote, we have a consultant coming next month to give you guys an update on the new Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan.

Chairman Goodsell: For those of you in the audience, we have been crying for a new plan because ours is pretty dated and we wanted something and they're working on it, and they have been for some time.

Michael Abraham, Assistant Director: Yes, as I said this is the first step in the process. This committee is not here to approve the project only to make a determination on whether it's compatible with the plan or not, thank you.

Chairman Goodsell: Thank you, Michael. So, it's before our Commission. What's the pleasure of the Commission? Do we want to table it, or do we want to let it move forward and let further issues be discussed in another forum? It's up to you.

Commissioner Arguelles: I recommend we table it until we get the FAA determination of no hazard.

Chairman Goodsell: Is there a consensus for that?

Commissioner Chavez: Yes.

Chairman Goodsell: So, we're going to give direction to staff to provide that and we'll bring it back. Is that good?

Michael Abraham, Assistant Director: That's fine.

Chairman Goodsell: So, nothing happened here today except we did hear you. We didn't want you to come show up and have us just say "Ah we're going to table this; you can come back another time." Do understand your concerns are valid and there is a forum for them and you just got to get to the right spot to be heard on these other issues, you understand? You wanted to say something, Ms. Howey?

Megan Howey, Project Representative: Yeah, I am so sorry. I hate to interrupt but, so as far as the ruling goes, sometimes what I see or often what I see, Commissions do in these types of situations is they would grant an approval if the FAA report were to come back in a positive light. Or so you could say "We rule in this manner considering if in this situation this were to show positive and favorable conditions". I'm just not sure if you going to make the client wait quite a bit of time to come back to another hearing which I think is also important to consider that there is a flip side to this, there is a business that's being run, and when there are delays that occur it is costly for people to, and you know that's not just specific to wireless, that's specific to small businesses as well. When I do look at your code, I'm a pretty even keeled planner, because I do care about the people, as well as the business. The scope, like the planner said before, is limited to whether it's in compliance and it is in compliance. So, as he said before, regardless of what was said in the FAA you're still unable technically to make any other ruling, is my understanding.

Chairman Goodsell: Well, you've driven that point home but it's our call and we're more inclined to see what we're talking about than to hear what we're talking about.

Megan Howey, Project Representative: So, would you like another hearing? Is that what you're requesting?

Chairman Goodsell: Yeah, we're probably going to have another hearing next month, more than likely, right Michael? We're not that busy of a board. We'll come right back.

Michael Abraham, Assistant Director: That's correct.

Megan Howey, Project Representative: How long will that delay take approximately to get back on your schedule?

Michael Abraham, Assistant Director: This is a conversation you should be having with the planner so after the meeting Luis will call you and we'll work out the logistics.

Chairman Goodsell: Yeah, it'll probably be the middle of May.

Megan Howey, Project Representative: I would remind the Commission while it is your purview to make those calls there are legal codes in place that you do have to respond to. So, when rulings that are made that are outside of your scope or limited, there are, it can be difficult. So, I just want to make sure that we're all on the same page.

Chairman Goodsell: I think we are.

Megan Howey, Project Representative: Ok.

Chairman Goodsell: Thank you.

Michael Abraham, Assistant Director: It is your discretion.

Chairman Goodsell: Yeah, we're going to table it. Ok, so that one's tabled.

Michael Abraham, Assistant Director: Well can we do a motion to table the item?

Chairman Goodsell: Yeah, yeah. Jerry?

Commissioner Arguelles: I motion to table.

Commissioner Chavez: I second.

Roll Call: Goodsell (yes), Chavez (yes), Arguelles (yes), Zong (yes)

Chairman Goodsell: Thank you everybody, online, in-person. We're usually lonely here on these nights so it's good to have a lot of folks here, appreciate it. Nothing else?

Michael Abraham, Assistant Director: That's it.

V. Non-action Items.

Chairman Goodsell: We don't have non-action items. We don't have any.

VI. MEETING ADJOURNED.


Jim Minnick, Secretary
Airport Land Use Commission