

# PROJECT REPORT

TO: ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION  
COMMITTEE

AGENDA DATE: January 27, 2022

FROM: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

AGENDA TIME: 1:30 PM / No. 1

Infra Towers, LLC

PROJECT TYPE: CUP#21-0002/V#21-0001/IS#21-0003 SUPERVISOR DIST #5

LOCATION: 373 E. Aten Rd, Imperial APN: 044-230-014-000 PARCEL SIZE: 21.95 AC

GENERAL PLAN (existing) Agriculture GENERAL PLAN (proposed) N/A

ZONE (existing) G/S (Government/Special) ZONE (proposed) N/A

GENERAL PLAN FINDINGS     CONSISTENT     INCONSISTENT     MAY BE/FINDINGS

PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION:    HEARING DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

APPROVED     DENIED     OTHER

PLANNING DIRECTORS DECISION:    HEARING DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

APPROVED     DENIED     OTHER

ENVIROMENTAL EVALUATION COMMITTEE DECISION:    HEARING DATE: 01/27/2022

INITIAL STUDY: 21-0003

NEGATIVE DECLARATION     MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION     EIR

## DEPARTMENTAL REPORTS / APPROVALS:

PUBLIC WORKS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NONE	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATTACHED
AG. COMMISSIONER	<input type="checkbox"/>	NONE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATTACHED
APCD	<input type="checkbox"/>	NONE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATTACHED
DEH/EHS	<input type="checkbox"/>	NONE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATTACHED
FIRE/OES	<input type="checkbox"/>	NONE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATTACHED

OTHER: Quechan Historic Preservation, Imperial Irrigation District, IVECA

## REQUESTED ACTION:

(See Attached)

EEC ORIGINAL PKG

- NEGATIVE DECLARATION**  
 **MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION**

*Initial Study & Environmental Analysis  
For:*

**Conditional Use Permit #21-0002  
Variance #21-0002  
Initial Study #21-0003  
Infra Towers, LLC**



*Prepared By:*

**COUNTY OF IMPERIAL**  
**Planning & Development Services Department**  
801 Main Street  
El Centro, CA 92243  
(442) 265-1736  
[www.icpds.com](http://www.icpds.com)

**January 2022**

**EEC ORIGINAL PKG**

---

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
<b><u>SECTION 1</u></b>	
I. INTRODUCTION	3
<b><u>SECTION 2</u></b>	
II. ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST	8
PROJECT SUMMARY	11
ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS	15
I. AESTHETICS .....	166
II. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES .....	166
III. AIR QUALITY .....	177
IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES .....	188
V. CULTURAL RESOURCES .....	199
VI. ENERGY .....	199
VII. GEOLOGY AND SOILS .....	199
VIII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION .....	21
IX. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS .....	21
X. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY .....	22
XI. LAND USE AND PLANNING .....	233
XII. MINERAL RESOURCES .....	233
XIII. NOISE .....	244
XIV. POPULATION AND HOUSING .....	244
XV. PUBLIC SERVICES .....	244
XVI. RECREATION .....	255
XVII. TRANSPORTATION .....	255
XVIII. TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES .....	266
XIX. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS .....	266
XX. WILDFIRE .....	27
<b><u>SECTION 3</u></b>	
III. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE	29
IV. PERSONS AND ORGANIZATIONS CONSULTED	30
V. REFERENCES	31
VI. NEGATIVE DECLARATION - COUNTY OF IMPERIAL	32
27 FINDINGS	33
<b><u>SECTION 4</u></b>	
VIII. RESPONSE TO COMMENTS (IF ANY)	34
IX. MITIGATION MONITORING & REPORTING PROGRAM (MMRP) (IF ANY)	35

---

## SECTION 1 INTRODUCTION

### A. PURPOSE

This document is a  policy-level,  project level Initial Study for evaluation of potential environmental impacts resulting with the previously reviewed Conditional Use Permit #21-0002/ Variance #21-0002, where the intent of the project is to build and maintain a 160-foot wireless telecommunication cell tower with shelter, antennas and ancillary equipment. The projects major changes include the redesign of the proposed camouflaged oil tower to a lattice tower design with lighting. (Refer to Exhibit "A" & "B").

### B. CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) REQUIREMENTS AND THE IMPERIAL COUNTY'S GUIDELINES FOR IMPLEMENTING CEQA

As defined by Section 15063 of the State California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines and Section 7 of the County's "CEQA Regulations Guidelines for the Implementation of CEQA, as amended", an **Initial Study** is prepared primarily to provide the Lead Agency with information to use as the basis for determining whether an Environmental Impact Report (EIR), Negative Declaration, or Mitigated Negative Declaration would be appropriate for providing the necessary environmental documentation and clearance for any proposed project.

According to Section 15065, an **EIR** is deemed appropriate for a particular proposal if the following conditions occur:

- The proposal has the potential to substantially degrade quality of the environment.
- The proposal has the potential to achieve short-term environmental goals to the disadvantage of long-term environmental goals.
- The proposal has possible environmental effects that are individually limited but cumulatively considerable.
- The proposal could cause direct or indirect adverse effects on human beings.

According to Section 15070(a), a **Negative Declaration** is deemed appropriate if the proposal would not result in any significant effect on the environment.

According to Section 15070(b), a **Mitigated Negative Declaration** is deemed appropriate if it is determined that though a proposal could result in a significant effect, mitigation measures are available to reduce these significant effects to insignificant levels.

This Initial Study has determined that the proposed applications will not result in any potentially significant environmental impacts and therefore, a Negative Declaration is deemed as the appropriate document to provide necessary environmental evaluations and clearance as identified hereinafter.

This Initial Study and Negative Declaration are prepared in conformance with the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended (Public Resources Code, Section 21000 et. seq.); Section 15070 of the State & County of Imperial's Guidelines for Implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Chapter 3, Section 15000, et. seq.); applicable requirements of the County of Imperial; and the regulations, requirements, and procedures of any other responsible public agency or an agency with jurisdiction by law.

EEC ORIGINAL PKG

---

Pursuant to the County of Imperial Guidelines for Implementing CEQA, depending on the project scope, the County of Imperial Board of Supervisors, Planning Commission and/or Planning Director is designated the Lead Agency, in accordance with Section 15050 of the CEQA Guidelines. The Lead Agency is the public agency which has the principal responsibility for approving the necessary environmental clearances and analyses for any project in the County.

### **C. INTENDED USES OF INITIAL STUDY AND NEGATIVE DECLARATION**

This Initial Study and Negative Declaration are informational documents which are intended to inform County of Imperial decision makers, other responsible or interested agencies, and the general public of potential environmental effects of the proposed applications. The environmental review process has been established to enable public agencies to evaluate environmental consequences and to examine and implement methods of eliminating or reducing any potentially adverse impacts. While CEQA requires that consideration be given to avoiding environmental damage, the Lead Agency and other responsible public agencies must balance adverse environmental effects against other public objectives, including economic and social goals.

The Initial Study and Negative Declaration, prepared for the project will be circulated for a period of 25 days (35-days if submitted to the State Clearinghouse for a project of area-wide significance) for public and agency review and comments. At the conclusion, if comments are received, the County Planning & Development Services Department will prepare a document entitled "Responses to Comments" which will be forwarded to any commenting entity and be made part of the record within 10-days of any project consideration.

### **D. CONTENTS OF INITIAL STUDY & NEGATIVE DECLARATION**

This Initial Study is organized to facilitate a basic understanding of the existing setting and environmental implications of the proposed applications.

#### **SECTION 1**

**I. INTRODUCTION** presents an introduction to the entire report. This section discusses the environmental process, scope of environmental review, and incorporation by reference documents.

#### **SECTION 2**

**II. ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM** contains the County's Environmental Checklist Form. The checklist form presents results of the environmental evaluation for the proposed applications and those issue areas that would have either a significant impact, potentially significant impact, or no impact.

**PROJECT SUMMARY, LOCATION AND ENVIRONMENTAL SETTINGS** describes the proposed project entitlements and required applications. A description of discretionary approvals and permits required for project implementation is also included. It also identifies the location of the project and a general description of the surrounding environmental settings.

**ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS** evaluates each response provided in the environmental checklist form. Each response checked in the checklist form is discussed and supported with sufficient data and analysis as necessary. As appropriate, each response discussion describes and identifies specific impacts anticipated with project implementation.

#### **SECTION 3**

**III. MANDATORY FINDINGS** presents Mandatory Findings of Significance in accordance with Section 15065 of the CEQA Guidelines.

**EEC ORIGINAL PKG**

---

**IV. PERSONS AND ORGANIZATIONS CONSULTED** identifies those persons consulted and involved in preparation of this Initial Study and Negative Declaration.

**V. REFERENCES** lists bibliographical materials used in preparation of this document.

**VI. NEGATIVE DECLARATION – COUNTY OF IMPERIAL**

**VII. FINDINGS**

**SECTION 4**

**VIII. RESPONSE TO COMMENTS (IF ANY)**

**IX. MITIGATION MONITORING & REPORTING PROGRAM (MMRP) (IF ANY)**

**E. SCOPE OF ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS**

For evaluation of environmental impacts, each question from the Environmental Checklist Form is summarized and responses are provided according to the analysis undertaken as part of the Initial Study. Impacts and effects will be evaluated and quantified, when appropriate. To each question, there are four possible responses, including:

1. **No Impact:** A “No Impact” response is adequately supported if the impact simply does not apply to the proposed applications.
2. **Less Than Significant Impact:** The proposed applications will have the potential to impact the environment. These impacts, however, will be less than significant; no additional analysis is required.
3. **Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated:** This applies where incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from “Potentially Significant Impact” to a “Less Than Significant Impact”.
4. **Potentially Significant Impact:** The proposed applications could have impacts that are considered significant. Additional analyses and possibly an EIR could be required to identify mitigation measures that could reduce these impacts to less than significant levels.

**F. POLICY-LEVEL or PROJECT LEVEL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS**

This Initial Study and Negative Declaration will be conducted under a  policy-level,  project level analysis. Regarding mitigation measures, it is not the intent of this document to “overlap” or restate conditions of approval that are commonly established for future known projects or the proposed applications. Additionally, those other standard requirements and regulations that any development must comply with, that are outside the County's jurisdiction, are also not considered mitigation measures and therefore, will not be identified in this document.

**G. TIERED DOCUMENTS AND INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE**

Information, findings, and conclusions contained in this document are based on incorporation by reference of tiered documentation, which are discussed in the following section.

**1. Tiered Documents**

As permitted in Section 15152(a) of the CEQA Guidelines, information and discussions from other documents can be included into this document. Tiering is defined as follows:

**EEC ORIGINAL PKG**

---

"Tiering refers to using the analysis of general matters contained in a broader EIR (such as the one prepared for a general plan or policy statement) with later EIRs and negative declarations on narrower projects; incorporating by reference the general discussions from the broader EIR; and concentrating the later EIR or negative declaration solely on the issues specific to the later project."

Tiering also allows this document to comply with Section 15152(b) of the CEQA Guidelines, which discourages redundant analyses, as follows:

"Agencies are encouraged to tier the environmental analyses which they prepare for separate but related projects including the general plans, zoning changes, and development projects. This approach can eliminate repetitive discussion of the same issues and focus the later EIR or negative declaration on the actual issues ripe for decision at each level of environmental review. Tiering is appropriate when the sequence of analysis is from an EIR prepared for a general plan, policy or program to an EIR or negative declaration for another plan, policy, or program of lesser scope, or to a site-specific EIR or negative declaration."

Further, Section 15152(d) of the CEQA Guidelines states:

"Where an EIR has been prepared and certified for a program, plan, policy, or ordinance consistent with the requirements of this section, any lead agency for a later project pursuant to or consistent with the program, plan, policy, or ordinance should limit the EIR or negative declaration on the later project to effects which:

- (1) Were not examined as significant effects on the environment in the prior EIR; or
- (2) Are susceptible to substantial reduction or avoidance by the choice of specific revisions in the project, by the imposition of conditions, or other means."

## 2. Incorporation By Reference

Incorporation by reference is a procedure for reducing the size of EIRs/MND and is most appropriate for including long, descriptive, or technical materials that provide general background information, but do not contribute directly to the specific analysis of the project itself. This procedure is particularly useful when an EIR or Negative Declaration relies on a broadly-drafted EIR for its evaluation of cumulative impacts of related projects (*Las Virgenes Homeowners Federation v. County of Los Angeles* [1986, 177 Ca.3d 300]). If an EIR or Negative Declaration relies on information from a supporting study that is available to the public, the EIR or Negative Declaration cannot be deemed unsupported by evidence or analysis (*San Francisco Ecology Center v. City and County of San Francisco* [1975, 48 Ca.3d 584, 595]). This document incorporates by reference appropriate information from the "Final Environmental Impact Report and Environmental Assessment for the "County of Imperial General Plan EIR" prepared by Brian F. Mooney Associates in 1993 and updates.

When an EIR or Negative Declaration incorporates a document by reference, the incorporation must comply with Section 15150 of the CEQA Guidelines as follows:

- The incorporated document must be available to the public or be a matter of public record (CEQA Guidelines Section 15150[a]). The General Plan EIR and updates are available, along with this document, at the County of Imperial Planning & Development Services Department, 801 Main Street, El Centro, CA 92243 Ph. (442)265-1736.
- This document must be available for inspection by the public at an office of the lead agency (CEQA Guidelines Section 15150[b]). These documents are available at the County of Imperial Planning & Development Services Department, 801 Main Street, El Centro, CA 92243 Ph. (442)265-1736.

- 
- These documents must summarize the portion of the document being incorporated by reference or briefly describe information that cannot be summarized. Furthermore, these documents must describe the relationship between the incorporated information and the analysis in the tiered documents (CEQA Guidelines Section 15150[c]). As discussed above, the tiered EIRs address the entire project site and provide background and inventory information and data which apply to the project site. Incorporated information and/or data will be cited in the appropriate sections.
  - These documents must include the State identification number of the incorporated documents (CEQA Guidelines Section 15150[d]). The State Clearinghouse Number for the County of Imperial General Plan EIR is SCH #93011023.
  - The material to be incorporated in this document will include general background information (CEQA Guidelines Section 15150[f]). This has been previously discussed in this document.

**EEC ORIGINAL PKG**



---

## II. *Environmental Checklist*

---

1. **Project Title:** Conditional Use Permit #21-0002/Variance #21-0001 for Infra Towers, LLC / Initial Study #21-0003
2. **Lead Agency:** Imperial County Planning & Development Services Department
3. **Contact person and phone number:** Jeanine Ramos, Planner I, (442) 265-1736, ext. 1750
4. **Address:** 801 Main Street, El Centro CA, 92243
5. **E-mail:** [jeanineramos@co.imperial.ca.us](mailto:jeanineramos@co.imperial.ca.us)
6. **Project location:** The project site is located at 373 E. Aten Road, Imperial currently occupied by the Pioneers Museum, approximately 4 miles east of the Imperial County Airport. The parcel is identified as Assessor's Parcel Number (APN) 044-230-014-000 and is legally described as north one-half of Tract 69, Township 15 South, Range 14 East, S. B.B.& M., in an unincorporated area of the County of Imperial.
7. **Project sponsor's name and address:** Infra Towers, LLC., 1800 Diagonal Road, Suite 600, Alexandria, VA 22314
8. **General Plan designation:** Agriculture
9. **Zoning:** GS (Government/Special)
10. **Description of project:** The applicant, Infra Towers, LLC, has submitted modifications to the previously reviewed Conditional Use Permit #21-0002/Variance #21-0001 whose intent is to build and maintain a 160-foot wireless telecommunication tower with shelter, antennas, and ancillary equipment. The major changes include a replacement of the originally proposed camouflaged oil tower design, to the current lattice tower design with lighting. Due to this request, the project has been brought back to the Environmental Evaluation Committee (EEC) for their consideration. The entire parcel is approximately 21.95 acres; however, Infra Towers, LLC will be leasing an area of 3,600 square feet from the owner for the proposed tower site. The proposed tower site will be located within a 60' x 60' leased area, enclosed by a 6-foot tall decorative vinyl simulated wood fence, painted a rustic brown.
11. **Surrounding land uses and setting:** The project abuts an agricultural field to the south and west, that are zoned A-2 (General Agricultural Zone). The Imperial Valley College is directly north of the project site, also zoned GS (Government/Special), and Highway 111 is to the east. The proposed site is currently occupied by the Pioneers Museum.
12. **Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement.):** Planning Commission
13. **Have California Native American tribes traditionally and culturally affiliated with the project area requested consultation pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21080.3.1? If so, is there a plan for consultation that includes, for example, the determination of significance of impacts to tribal cultural resources, procedures regarding confidentially, etc.?**  
Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC), Quechan Indian Tribe and Torres-Martinez Indian Tribe were contacted and invited to participate in the Request for Review and Comments as part of the Initial Study review process. An AB52 letter was also sent out to the Quechan Indian Tribe for a 30 day consultation period for review and comment. On March 3, 2021 the Quechan Historic Preservation Office emailed to state they had no comments. No other comments were received.

EEC ORIGINAL PKG

---

Note: Conducting consultation early in the CEQA process allows tribal governments, lead agencies, and project proponents to discuss the level of environmental review, identify and address potential adverse impacts to tribal cultural resources, and reduce the potential for delay and conflict in the environmental review process. (See Public Resources Code, Section 21080.3.2). Information may also be available from the California Native American Heritage Commission's Sacred Lands File per Public Resources Code, Section 5097.96 and the California Historical Resources Information System administered by the California Office of Historic Preservation. Please also note that Public Resources Code, Section 21082.3 (c) contains provisions specific to confidentiality.

**EEC ORIGINAL PKG**

**ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:**

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

<input type="checkbox"/>	Aesthetics	<input type="checkbox"/>	Agriculture and Forestry Resources	<input type="checkbox"/>	Air Quality
<input type="checkbox"/>	Biological Resources	<input type="checkbox"/>	Cultural Resources	<input type="checkbox"/>	Energy
<input type="checkbox"/>	Geology /Soils	<input type="checkbox"/>	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	<input type="checkbox"/>	Hazards & Hazardous Materials
<input type="checkbox"/>	Hydrology / Water Quality	<input type="checkbox"/>	Land Use / Planning	<input type="checkbox"/>	Mineral Resources
<input type="checkbox"/>	Noise	<input type="checkbox"/>	Population / Housing	<input type="checkbox"/>	Public Services
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recreation	<input type="checkbox"/>	Transportation	<input type="checkbox"/>	Tribal Cultural Resources
<input type="checkbox"/>	Utilities/Service Systems	<input type="checkbox"/>	Wildfire	<input type="checkbox"/>	Mandatory Findings of Significance

**ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION COMMITTEE (EEC) DETERMINATION**

After Review of the Initial Study, the Environmental Evaluation Committee has:

Found that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

Found that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

Found that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

Found that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.

Found that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE DE MINIMIS IMPACT FINDING:  Yes  No

<u>EEC VOTES</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>ABSENT</u>
PUBLIC WORKS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SVCS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
OFFICE EMERGENCY SERVICES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
APCD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
AG	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
SHERIFF DEPARTMENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
ICPDS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

*For Julie Minnick*  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Jim Minnick, Director of Planning/EEC Chairman

*1-27-2022*  
 Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**EEC ORIGINAL PKG**

---

## PROJECT SUMMARY

---

- A. **Project Location:** The project site is located at 373 E. Aten Road, Imperial, approximately 4 miles east of the Imperial County Airport. The site is currently occupied by the Pioneers Museum. The parcel is identified as Assessor's Parcel Number (APN) 044-230-014-000 and is legally described as north one-half of Tract 69, Township 15 South, Range 14 East, S. B.M., in an unincorporated area of the County of Imperial.
- B. **Project Summary:** The applicant, Infra Towers, LLC, has submitted modifications to the previously reviewed Conditional Use Permit #21-0002/Variance #21-0001 whose intent is to build and maintain a 160-foot wireless telecommunication tower with shelter, antennas, and ancillary equipment. The major changes include a replacement of the originally proposed camouflaged oil tower design, to the current lattice tower design with lighting. Due to this request, the project has been brought back to the Environmental Evaluation Committee (EEC) for their consideration. The entire parcel is approximately 21.95 acres; however, Infra Towers, LLC will be leasing an area of 3,600 square feet from the owner for the proposed tower site. The proposed tower site will be located within a 60' x 60' leased area, enclosed by a 6-foot tall decorative vinyl simulated wood fence, painted a rustic brown. According to the applicants submitted Project Description and Findings, their objective for the proposed facility is to assist AT&T to fill a significant gap in 4G and 5G coverage to the Imperial Valley College and surrounding community. Their specific coverage objectives include improving coverage at Imperial Valley Pioneers Expy Hwy 111 from South to North between S80 and Ralph Rd, improving coverage at Aten Rd. from East to West McConnell Rd. to Cooley Rd., and improving coverage and capacity at Imperial Valley College.
- C. **Environmental Setting:** The existing land uses directly to the south and west of the project site consist primarily of agricultural fields. The Imperial Valley College is north of the site and Highway 111 runs parallel on the eastern edge of the property. The site is eastern portion of the site is occupied by the Pioneers Museum, with steel buildings used for equipment and machinery storage.
- D. **Analysis:** The project site is designated Agriculture under the Land Use Element of the Imperial County General Plan. The site is zoned "GS" (Government/Special) per Zoning Map #1 under Title 9 Land Use Ordinance. Under the Imperial County Title 9, Division 5, Chapter 20, Section 90520.02 (D), communication towers, including any necessary support equipment, are allowed on a GS zone with the approval of a conditional use permit. This meets the intentions of Division 24 the Communication Ordinance under Title 9 that, "encourages the location of towers and re-generation facilities in non-residential areas". The height restriction for communication tower in an G/S zone shall not exceed 6 stories or 100 feet. Due to this height limitation, the applicant has also applied for Variance #21-0001 to allow for the proposed tower to exceed this height limit by 60 feet. The intention behind exceeding the height limitation is to provide the area with better coverage, which will meet the Commination Ordinance's objective to enhance the ability of the providers of telecommunication services to provide such services, "quickly, effectively, and efficiently" to the surrounding community. There are five existing communication towers located within a 5-mile radius of the proposed site. However, the existing structures did not have the height necessary for the wireless carrier's communication grid, they were not in the specified area to meet the applicant's desired coverage and capacity objectives, and lack of landlord interest to lease to the applicant is what propelled them to apply for a new communication tower. The adoption of the CEQA initial study for this project will make the project consistent with applicable County, State, and Local ordinances and regulations.

EEC ORIGINAL PKG

---


E. **General Plan Consistency:** As previously mentioned, the project area is designated as Agriculture and is found to be consistent with the Imperial County General Plan. The proposed project is not expected to conflict with the County's General Plan.

EEC ORIGINAL PKG

# Exhibit "A" Vicinity Map




INFRA TOWERS, LLC  
 CUP# 21-0002 / V#21-0001  
 APN 044-230-014-000

 PROJECT LOCATION  
 TOWER LOCATION



**EEC ORIGINAL PKG**

# Exhibit "B" Site Plan



WEST ELEVATION 2 EAST ELEVATION 1

<p>IMPERIAL VALLEY CAG70 373 E AVE. RD IMPERIAL, CA 92523</p>	<p>PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATE No. 11387 Exp. 12/31/2023 CONTRACT NO. 192023-001</p>	<p>DATE: 02/28/2023 TIME: 10:00 AM PROJECT: CAG70 DRAWN BY: JACOB CHECKED BY: JACOB APPROVED BY: JACOB</p>	<p>Scale: 1/8" = 1'-0" 1/4" = 1'-0" 1/2" = 1'-0" 3/4" = 1'-0" 1" = 1'-0"</p>	<p>SHEET NUMBER <b>A-3</b></p> <p>SHEET TITLE ELEVATIONS</p>	<p>INSITE TOWERS, LLC 5000 S. CALIFORNIA AVE., SUITE 100 OAKLAND, CA 94608 TEL: 415-764-9900 WWW.INSITETOWERS.COM</p> <p>INFORMATION TITLE <b>InSite Towers, LLC</b> 373 E AVE. RD IMPERIAL, CA 92523 TEL: 619-335-5555 WWW.IMPERIALVALLEYCAG70.COM</p>
---	---	--	--	--	---

**EEC ORIGINAL PKG**

---

## EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

- 1) A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3) Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4) "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analyses," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
- 5) Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
  - a) Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
  - b) Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
  - c) Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7) Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8) This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
- 9) The explanation of each issue should identify:
  - a) the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
  - b) the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance



Potentially Significant Impact (PSI)	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No Impact (NI)
--------------------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	----------------

I. **AESTHETICS**

Except as provided in Public Resources Code Section 21099, would the project:

- a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista or scenic highway?      
**a) The project abuts to the west of Highway 111 which is designated a scenic highway according to Imperial County General Plan's Circulation & Scenic Highways Element. However, the only area on Highway 111 that is eligible for future Scenic Highway Designation is from Bombay Beach to the northern County line. This project is not located on or near the designated area. Therefore, less than significant impacts are expected.**
  
- b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?      
**b) There are no scenic resources such as trees, rock outcroppings or historic buildings surrounding the project site. The project site is currently leased by the Pioneers Museum; therefore, no impacts are expected.**
  
- c) In non-urbanized areas, substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of public views of the site and its surrounding? (Public views are those that are experienced from publicly accessible vantage point.) If the project is in an urbanized area, would the project conflict with applicable zoning and other regulations governing scenic quality?      
**c) The proposed project is a non-urbanized area and consists of building a wireless telecommunications facility. The modified design will be a 160-foot lattice tower with lighting. While the proposed tower will be visible to public, it will be situated on an existing government/special site (Pioneers Museum). The proposed project is located within an existing agricultural area and will not conflict with applicable zoning and other regulations governing scenic quality; therefore, less than significant impacts are expected.**
  
- d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?      
**d) Title 9, Division 24, requires all towers to be lit with approved lighting as required per the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and the Imperial County Airport Land Use Commission standards<sup>1</sup>. This includes day and nighttime lighting, which the US Fish and Wildlife Services states that, "security lighting on on-ground facilities, equipment, and infrastructures should be motion or heat sensitive, down-shielded and of a minimum intensity to reduce nighttime bird attraction."<sup>2</sup> Additionally, all sources of lighting that may be used, including security and operational lighting, are required by State Codes and County Ordinances to be shielded or directed onsite to minimize offsite impacts. Compliance with said codes and ordinances would bring the project's impacts to less than significant levels.**

II. **AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES**

In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and forest carbon measurement methodology provided in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. --Would the project:

- a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?      
**a) According to the California Department of Conservation Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program (2016)<sup>3</sup>, the project site's Farmland Type is designated Urban and Built-Up Land. As it is not shown on the map as Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), no impacts are expected.**

<sup>1</sup> Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan <http://www.icpds.com/CMS/Media/ALUC-Compatibility-Plan-1996-Part-I.pdf>  
<sup>2</sup> Fish and Wildlife Department's Service Guidance regarding Communication Towers  
<sup>3</sup> <https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/DLRP/CIFF/>

EEC ORIGINAL PKG

	Potentially Significant Impact (PSI)	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No Impact (NI)
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act Contract? <b>b) The existing zoning and land use designation of the property are related to government/special use, which do not conflict with any zoning for agricultural use. In addition, the project site is not under the Williamson Act contract; therefore no impacts are expected.</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code Section 51104(g))? <b>c) The proposed project is located within an existing built-up area and will not conflict with existing zoning or cause rezoning of forest land, timberland or timberland zoned Timberland Production. Therefore, no impacts are expected.</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use? <b>d) As previously stated, the proposed project is located within an existing built-up area and will not result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use; therefore, no impacts would occur.</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use? <b>e) The implementation of the project would not result in changes to the environment which could result in the conversion of farmland to non-agricultural use, since the project is not a part of a site designated as Farmland. Therefore, this would not cause an impact or conversion from forestland to non-forest use and no impacts are expected to occur.</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

### III. AIR QUALITY

Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management district or air pollution control district may be relied upon to the following determinations. Would the Project:

- |  |                          |                          |                                     |                          |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?<br><b>a) Air quality within Imperial County is regulated by the Imperial County Air Pollution Control District (ICAPCD). The project would be required to conform to the requirements of the ICAPCD and adhere to the Air District's Regulation VIII for the control of fugitive dust emissions, including the submittal of a construction notification to the Air District 10 days prior to earthmoving activities. Less than significant impacts are anticipated.</b>  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard?<br><b>b) The vehicle emissions and pollutants from construction activities would be within APCD's thresholds due to the area that is to be disturbed, and would be temporary; therefore, less than significant impacts are expected in regards to an increase of any criteria pollutant. In addition, the design and construction of the project shall be done in compliance with all applicable County and APCD requirements to assure that less than significant impacts occur.</b>  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutants concentrations?<br><b>c) The pollutants that could possibly affect the nearest sensitive receptors include diesel exhaust and volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions which are typically related to construction trucks and machinery, although the amount of these emissions would be very low and would be expected to disperse rapidly. The nearest sensitive receptors include the employees at the Pioneers Museum and employees and students at the Imperial Valley College, but the impacts would be temporary and would be lessened by showing compliance with APCD's rules and regulations regarding construction pollutants. For those reasons, less than significant impacts are expected.</b> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors adversely affecting a substantial number of people)?<br><b>d) As previously mentioned, diesel exhaust and volatile organic compound (VOC), which are pollutants that are typically emitted during construction, are low emissions that would be generated during the construction activities and would be dispersed rapidly from the project site. The operation of the proposed project is not expected to result in other emissions.</b>  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Potentially Significant Impact (PSI)	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No Impact (NI)
--------------------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	----------------

that would adversely affect a substantial number of people. Compliance with all County and APCD's regulations would bring the project's impacts to less than significant levels.

IV. **BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES** *Would the project:*

- a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?

**a) The proposed project site is not located within a designated sensitive habitat according to The Imperial County General Plan's Conservation and Open Space Element<sup>4</sup> Figure 1 "Sensitive Habitats Map". The project is also not within an agency-designated habitat area, but is within the "Burrowing Owl Species Distribution Model" according to the Imperial County General Plan's Conservation and Open Space Element, Figure 2<sup>5</sup>. In order to avoid any potentially significant impacts, the following mitigation measure shall be implemented prior to construction:**

**MM-BIO 1:**

**A pre-construction survey shall be conducted by a Biologist to identify any sensitive biological resources in the areas affected by construction.**

**[Monitoring Responsibility: Imperial County Planning & Development Services (ICPDS), Biological Monitor, Timing: prior to construction]**

- b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?

**b) According to the Imperial County General Plan's Conservation and Open Space Element, the project site is not located within or near a sensitive or riparian habitat, nor within a sensitive natural community. Less than significant impacts are expected to occur regarding adverse effects on the above habitats.**

- c) Have a substantial adverse effect on state or federally protected wetlands (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?

**c) The proposed location of the project is within an agricultural area that is currently in use by the Pioneers Museum and the only occasion that water would be required would be during construction. No marsh, vernal pool or coastal wetlands are in the area, so no impacts can be expected.**

- d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?

**d) The project site is not located on or near a large body of water and no fish or wildlife species would be affected by the proposed project. In addition, it would not impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites since there are none immediately surrounding the project site; therefore, less than significant impacts are expected.**

- e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinance protecting biological resource, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?

**e) Compliance with all of the County's regulations and requirements regarding local policies and/or ordinances protecting biological resources, would cause for the project's impacts to be less than significant, although there are no tree preservation policies applicable to the project site area.**

- f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation

<sup>4</sup> IC General Plan Conservation and Open Space Element Figure 1 <http://www.icpds.com/CMS/Media/Conservation-&-Open-Space-Element-2016.pdf>

<sup>5</sup> <http://www.icpds.com/CMS/Media/Conservation-&-Open-Space-Element-2016.pdf>

Potentially Significant Impact (PSI)	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No Impact (NI)
--------------------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	----------------

plan?

f) Imperial County does not have a Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP). Thus, with regards to the HCP, no impacts would occur. Some lands in the County under the jurisdiction of the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) are covered by the California Desert Conservation Area (CDCA) Plan which includes Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC). The project site is not within or immediately adjacent to an ACEC of the CDCA. Therefore, less than significant impacts are anticipated.

V. **CULTURAL RESOURCES** *Would the project:*

- |  |                          |                          |                                     |                          |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource pursuant to §15064.5?   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) As depicted on Imperial County's General Plan Figure 6, <i>Conversation and Open Space Element</i> <sup>6</sup> , the project site was not identified as containing a historic resource. The project is also located within a parcel that is currently in use by the Pioneers Museum and no history of any historical resources were previously found on this site. Accordingly, the project would not appear to impact a historical resource as defined by CEQA. Less than significant impacts are expected. |                          |                          |                                     |                          |
| b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5?  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) The project site is not located within an archeological site of significance as shown in the Conservation and Open Space Element. Less than significant impacts are expected.   |                          |                          |                                     |                          |
| c) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries?  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) There are no known cemeteries on or surrounding the project site. The project site is not known to have been a formal or informal cemetery. Therefore, the proposed project is not expected to disturb any human remains and less than significant impacts are anticipated.   |                          |                          |                                     |                          |

VI. **ENERGY** *Would the project:*

- |  |                          |                          |                                     |                          |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| a) Result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation?  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) The proposed project does not appear to result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient or unnecessary consumption of energy resources during the project. Less than significant impacts are anticipated. |                          |                          |                                     |                          |
| b) Conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency?  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) The proposed project does not appear to conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan regarding renewable energy or energy efficiency. Less than significant impacts are anticipated.   |                          |                          |                                     |                          |

VII. **GEOLOGY AND SOILS** *Would the project:*

- |   |                          |                          |                                     |                          |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| a) Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including risk of loss, injury, or death involving:  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) The proposed project does not appear to directly or indirectly cause potential adverse effects, including risk of loss, injury, or death. The project shall comply with the most current California Uniform Building Code and regulations provided by the Imperial County Public Works Department. Adherence with the previously referenced Building Codes, the submittal of a soils report as required by the Building Division within Imperial County Planning Department, and/or any other applicable requirement, would reduce impacts to less than significant. |                          |                          |                                     |                          |
| 1) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42?  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

<sup>6</sup> <http://www.icpds.com/CMS/Media/Conservation-&OpenSpaceElement-2016.pdf>

Potentially Significant Impact (PSI)	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No Impact (NI)
--------------------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	----------------

1) The proposed project is directly south of the Imperial Fault Zone according to the Fault Activity Map of California (2015)<sup>7</sup>. Although the project site is very close to the fault zone, it not a part of it, therefore adherence with the previously referenced Building Codes and/or any other applicable requirement, would reduce impacts to less than significant.

2) Strong Seismic ground shaking?

2) Ground shaking is expected to occur being that the project site is located in the seismically active Imperial Valley, with numerous mapped faults of the San Andreas Fault System traversing the region. The proposed project is located directly south of a known fault, however, all grading and construction work shall require earthquake resistant construction and would need to comply with the latest edition of the California Building Codes, as well as with all current building codes; therefore less than significant impacts are anticipated.

3) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction and seiche/tsunami?

3) The proposed project is not located in a tsunami inundation area per the California Tsunami Inundation Map, additionally, the design and subsequent construction of the proposed communication tower will be subject to the latest California Building Codes. Therefore, adherence to these regulations would bring any seismic-related impacts such as ground failure to less than significant.

4) Landslides?

4) According to the Imperial County General Plan Landslide Activity Map, Figure 2<sup>8</sup>, Seismic and Public Safety Element, the project site does not lie within a landslide activity area and therefore, no impacts are anticipated.

b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?

b) The project site has a very low potential for soil erosion due to its flat topography. The ground would be disturbed for grading and construction purposes but would not affect or cause for soil erosion after construction is completed, since the tower would be unmanned. The project is subject to approval from both the County's Building (ICPDS) and Public Works Departments. The design of the tower and ancillary equipment, as well as its construction and future operation must be made so that any possibility of contamination of soil or topsoil is minimized or eliminated. Getting the approval for the design and construction of the proposed tower from all applicable County agencies would bring the project's impacts to less than significant levels regarding soil.

c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslides, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?

c) The project site is not known to be located on unstable geological units and/or soil, and the conditions for lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction and collapse are not present; therefore, less than significant impacts are expected.

d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in the latest Uniform Building Code, creating substantial direct or indirect risk to life or property?

d) The proposed project does not appear to be located on expansive soil as defined in the latest Uniform Building Code, creating substantial risk to life or property. Less than significant impacts are anticipated.

e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?

e) No septic tanks or other alternative waste water disposal systems are being proposed as part of the application. Less than significant impacts are expected.

f) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?

f) The proposed project does not appear to directly or indirectly destroy any unique paleontological resources as the site is an existing built up area. Less than significant impacts are anticipated.

<sup>7</sup> <http://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/fam/>

<sup>8</sup> <http://www.icpds.com/CMS/Media/Seismic-and-Public-Safety-Element.pdf>

Potentially Significant Impact (PSI)	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No Impact (NI)
--------------------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	----------------

VIII. **GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION** *Would the project:*

- a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?

**a) Other than during the construction phase, the proposed project is not expected to generate greenhouse gas emissions that would have a significant impact. Impacts are expected to be less than significant.**

- b) Conflict with an applicable plan or policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?

**b) The proposed project is not expected to conflict with an applicable plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases. Any grading and construction activities are subject to the Imperial County Air Pollution Control District's recommendations for the reduction of pollutant emissions. Compliance with APCD and all applicable County's requirements would bring the impacts to less than significant levels.**

IX. **HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS** *Would the project:*

- a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?

**a) The proposed project does not include any handling of hazardous materials; therefore, less than significant impacts to the public or the environment are anticipated.**

- b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonable foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?

**b) The proposed project does not include any works that would create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonable foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazard materials into the environment; therefore, less than significant impacts are anticipated.**

- c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?

**c) The Imperial Valley College is directly north of the proposed site. However, other than during the construction phase of the project, no hazardous emissions or materials are expected to be generated that would affect the college. Therefore, less than significant impacts are expected.**

- d) Be located on a site, which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?

**d) Government Code Section 65962.5 requires the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) the DTSC EnviroStor Database<sup>9</sup> to compile and update a list of hazardous waste and substances sites. After review, it was found that the project site was not located under a listed hazardous and substances site; therefore, less than significant impacts are anticipated.**

- e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard or excessive noise for people residing or working in the project area?

**e) The project site is not located within a runway protected zone or approach/departure zone of a local airport. The Imperial County Airport is approximately four miles from the project site, as shown in the Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan**

<sup>9</sup> EnviroStor Database <http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/>

	Potentially Significant Impact (PSI)	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No Impact (NI)
--	--------------------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	----------------

(Figure 1A)<sup>10</sup>. Less than significant impacts are anticipated.

- |   |                          |                          |                          |                                     |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| f) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|

**f) The proposed project shall comply with all County requirements and regulations and would not impair or interfere with any applicable emergency plans. The access point to the proposed tower does not interfere with the access points currently in use by the Pioneers Museum employees or users. Therefore, no impacts are to be expected.**

- |   |                          |                          |                                     |                          |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| g) Expose people or structures, either directly or indirectly, to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|

**g) The proposed project will not expose people or structures either directly or indirectly to a significant risk or loss, injury or death involving wildfire as the site is not located on or near wildlands. Less than significant impacts are expected.**

X. **HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY** *Would the project:*

- |  |                          |                          |                                     |                          |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements or otherwise substantially degrade surface or ground water quality? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|

**a) The proposed project does not include any water or wastewater for the operation of the tower other than what will be required for dust suppression when construction occurs, and therefore no violation of any water quality standards would occur. Compliance by the applicant, property owner and tower user with all local, state and federal laws would bring the project's impacts to less than significant levels.**

- |   |                          |                          |                                     |                          |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| b) Substantially decrease groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project may impede sustainable groundwater management of the basin? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|

**b) The proposed project would not require the usage of groundwater or would interfere substantially with groundwater recharge, other than when the communication tower is under construction. There are no known water wells (permitted or not) within the project site; therefore, less than significant impacts are anticipated.**

- |  |                          |                          |                                     |                          |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river or through the addition of impervious surfaces, in a manner which would: | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|

(i) result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site;

**(i) The proposed project will not alter any existing drainage patterns on site, including the alterations of a stream or river, which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on or off-site as it is not located near a stream or river. According to the Imperial County General Plan Erosion Activity Map, Figure 2<sup>11</sup>, Seismic and Public Safety Element, the area is designated nil activity. Therefore, less than significant impacts are anticipated.**

- |   |                          |                          |                                     |                          |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| (ii) substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or offsite; | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|

**(ii) As previously stated, the operation of the proposed tower does not involve the use of water. Any drainage patterns shall comply with all State and Local codes, including Public Works Department regulations. Adherence to the code would lessen the project's impact to less than significant.**

- |  |                          |                          |                                     |                          |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| (iii) create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff; or; | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|

**(iii) Any Grading and Drainage Study/Plans shall be submitted by the applicant to Imperial County Planning and Development Services and Public Works Department. The grading and draining plans would need to be designed**

<sup>10</sup> <http://www.icpds.com/CMS/Media/Airport-Locations.pdf>

<sup>11</sup> <http://www.icpds.com/CMS/Media/Seismic-and-Public-Safety-Element.pdf>

	Potentially Significant Impact (PSI)	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No Impact (NI)
--	--------------------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	----------------

as to prevent or avoid contribution to runoff or polluted water or alter stormwater drainage systems in a negative manner. Implementing these plans after approval would cause for less than significant impacts.

(iv) impede or redirect flood flows?

(iv) **The project site is located on Zone X, which is "Area of Minimal Flood Hazard" under FEMA Flood Map 06025C1725C; therefore, less than significant impacts are expected.**

d) In flood hazard, tsunami, or seiche zones, risk release of pollutants due to project inundation?

**d) According to the California Emergency Management Agency and the Department of Conservation<sup>12</sup>, the project site is not located within a Tsunami Inundation Area for Emergency Planning; therefore, no impacts are expected.**

e) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality control plan or sustainable groundwater management plan?

**e) The proposed project would not require the usage of water for the operation of the tower or located near a large body of water that would cause an obstruction to the implementation of a water quality control plan or sustainable groundwater management plan. Therefore, less than significant impacts are anticipated.**

XI. **LAND USE AND PLANNING** *Would the project:*

a) Physically divide an established community?

**a) The project site would not isolate any established communities. The proposed project site is located within an existing Government/Special zone with the established Pioneers Museum on site. The tower owner will only be leasing a 60X60 square foot area of the parcel for the proposed tower. Therefore, no impacts can be expected.**

b) Cause a significant environmental impact due to a conflict with any land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?

**b) Under the Land Use Element of the Imperial County General Plan, the project site is designated as "Agriculture" and zoned G/S (Government/Special). The proposed project would not conflict with the General Plan or Land Use Ordinance, since it is permitted use with an approved conditional use permit. The project also does not conflict with Title 9 Division 24 and therefore, less than significant impacts are expected.**

XII. **MINERAL RESOURCES** *Would the project:*

a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?

**a) In accordance with the California Department of Conservation- Mineral Land Classification Map<sup>13</sup>, the project site is not located within an area known to be underlain by regionally important mineral resources or within an area that has the potential to be underlain by regionally mineral resources. Accordingly, implementation of the proposed project would not result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region of the residents of the State of California. Less than significant impacts are anticipated.**

b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?

**b) In accordance with the Imperial County General Plan- Conservation and Open Space Element- Figure 8- Existing Mineral Resources<sup>14</sup>, the project site is not located within an area known to be underlain by regionally important mineral resources or within an area that has the potential to be underlain by regionally mineral resources. Accordingly, implementation of the proposed project would not result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on the local general plan, specific plan or other land use plans. Less than significant impacts are anticipated.**

<sup>12</sup> Department of Conservation Tsunami Inundation Maps [https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/informationwarehouse/ts\\_evacuation/](https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/informationwarehouse/ts_evacuation/)  
<sup>13</sup> <https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/informationwarehouse/index.html?map=mlc>  
<sup>14</sup> <http://www.icpds.com/CMS/Media/Conservation-&-Open-Space-Element-2016.pdf>



Potentially Significant Impact (PSI)	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No Impact (NI)
--------------------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	----------------

XIII. **NOISE** *Would the project result in:*

- |   |                          |                          |                                     |                                     |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Generation of a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?<br><b>a) Noise levels produced during the construction phase of the project would be temporary and within business hours, these levels shall not exceed the threshold established in the Imperial County General Plan "Noise Element" and shall comply with the applicable regulations regarding construction. Adherence to the "Noise Element" standards would bring the impacts to a less than significant level.</b> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| b) Generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?<br><b>b) As previously stated, the temporary noise levels and vibrations that could result from the earthwork and construction activities would have to be maintained within the County's allowed threshold to avoid nuisances regarding excessive groundborne vibration. Adherence to the "Noise Element" standards would bring the impacts to less than significant levels.</b>   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| c) For a project located within the vicinity of a private airstrip or an airport land use plan or where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?<br><b>c) The project site is not located within a runway protected zone or approach/departure zone of a local airport. The Imperial County Airport is approximately four miles from the site as shown in the Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (Figure 1A)<sup>15</sup>, but is not within its sphere of influence. No impacts are anticipated.</b>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

XIV. **POPULATION AND HOUSING** *Would the project:*

- |   |                          |                          |                          |                                     |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Induce substantial unplanned population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and business) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?<br><b>a) The proposed project is located within a government/special zone and would not induce a population growth in the area. The approval of the proposed project would improve telecommunication services to the area and to Imperial Valley College by means of an unmanned communication tower; therefore, no impacts are expected.</b> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Displace substantial numbers of existing people or housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?<br><b>b) Implementation of the project would not displace substantial numbers of existing housing and would not necessitate the construction of replacement housing elsewhere. No impacts are anticipated.</b>  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

XV. **PUBLIC SERVICES**

- |  |                          |                          |                                     |                          |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:<br><b>a) The proposed project will not result in any adverse physical impacts associated with any new or altered governmental facilities or require the need for new or altered governmental facilities. Therefore, less than significant impacts anticipated.</b> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 1) Fire Protection?<br><b>1) The proposed project area is under the service area of the Imperial County Fire Department and will receive adequate fire</b>   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

<sup>15</sup> <http://www.icpds.com/CMS/Media/Airport-Locations.pdf>

Potentially Significant Impact (PSI)	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No Impact (NI)
--------------------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	----------------

protection service. The site will not result in the need for new or physically altered fire protection services. Impacts to fire protection facilities would be less than significant.

2) Police Protection?      
**2) The County Sheriff's office provides police protection to the area. Less than impacts are anticipated due to the proposed project.**

3) Schools?      
**3) The proposed project is not expected to directly or indirectly draw a substantial number of new residents to the region that would generate school-aged students requiring public education. As the project would not cause or contribute a need to construct new or physically altered public school facilities, no impacts are anticipated.**

4) Parks?      
**4) The proposed project would not create a demand for public park facilities and would not result in the need to modify existing or construct new park facilities. Accordingly, implementation of the proposed project would not adversely affect any park facility and no impacts would be anticipated.**

5) Other Public Facilities?      
**5) The proposed project is not expected to result in a demand for other public facilities services. As such, implementation of the proposed project would not adversely affect other public facilities or require the construction of new or modified public facilities. No impacts are anticipated.**

**XVI. RECREATION**

a) Would the project increase the use of the existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?      
**a) The proposed project does not propose any type of residential use or other land use that may generate a population that would increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities. Accordingly, implementation of the proposed project would not result in the increased use or substantial physical deterioration of an existing neighborhood or regional park. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.**

b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse effect on the environment?      
**b) The proposed project does not propose to construct any new on or off-site recreational facilities. Additionally, the project would not expand any existing on or off-site recreational facilities. Thus, environmental effects related to the construction or expansion of recreational facilities would not occur with implementation of the proposed project. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.**

**XVII. TRANSPORTATION** *Would the project:*

a) Conflict with a program plan, ordinance or policy addressing the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle and pedestrian facilities?      
**a) The proposed project is not expected to conflict with the Imperial County General Plan's Circulation and Scenic Highways Element and/or any applicable plan, ordinance or policy related to the transportation aspect. The temporary construction activities and the amount of daily trips to the site from Highway 111, is expected to be below the acceptable threshold by the County; therefore, less than significant impacts are anticipated.**

b) Would the project conflict or be inconsistent with the CEQA Guidelines section 15064.3, subdivision (b)?      
**b) Section 15064.3 (b)- Criteria for Analyzing Transportation Impacts refers to the amount and distance of automobile travel attributable to a project. With regards to subsection (1)- Land Use Project, the project does not conflict or is inconsistent with this section as it is not a one-half mile of either an existing major transit stop or a stop along an existing high quality transit corridor. As the project proposed is for a communications tower, the decrease of vehicle miles traveled due to the project compared to existing conditions would not be relevant in this case and therefore, less than significant impacts are anticipated.**

EE ORIGINAL PKG

	Potentially Significant Impact (PSI)	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No Impact (NI)
expected.				
c) Substantially increases hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>c) The proposed project does not have any design features that would increase hazards or incompatible uses. Therefore, less than significant impacts are anticipated.</b>				
d) Result in inadequate emergency access?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>d) The proposed project will not result in inadequate emergency access, therefore less than significant impacts are expected.</b>				

XVIII. **TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES**

- a) Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code Section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is:
- |  |                          |                          |                                     |                          |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| <b>a) Assembly Bill 52 was passed in 2014 and took effect July 1, 2015. It established a new category of environmental resources that must be considered under CEQA called tribal cultural resources (Public Resources Code 21074) and established a process for consulting with Native American tribes and groups regarding those resources. Assembly Bill 52 requires a lead agency to begin consultation with California Native American tribe that is traditionally and culturally affiliated with geographic area of the proposed project. Imperial County has consulted with appropriate tribes with the potential for interest in the region. Based on comment provided by the Quechan Historic Preservation Office on March 3, 2021, the project site is not located in an area identified as having the potential for a tribal cultural resource; therefore less than significant impacts are expected.</b> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
- (i) Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as define in Public Resources Code Section 5020.1(k), or
- |  |                          |                          |                                     |                          |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| <b>(i) The proposed site was not listed under the California Historical Resources in County of Imperial<sup>16</sup> nor does it appear to be eligible under Public Resources Code Section 21074 or 5020.1 (k); therefore, less than significant impacts are expected.</b> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
- (ii) A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth is subdivision (c) of Public Resource Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American Tribe.
- |  |                          |                          |                                     |                          |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| <b>(ii) There appears to be no history or association in the past with any evidence of historical resources for the property to be either identified as of significance or as candidate for listing in the California Register; therefore, less than significant impacts are expected.</b> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|

XIX. **UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS** *Would the project:*

- |  |                          |                          |                                     |                          |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| a) Require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded water, wastewater treatment or stormwater drainage, electric power, natural gas, or telecommunications | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|

<sup>16</sup> Office of Historic Preservation <http://ohp.parks.ca.gov/ListedResources/?view=county&criteria=13>

	Potentially Significant Impact (PSI)	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No Impact (NI)
facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
<b>a) The proposed project is not expected to require or result in the relocation or construction of a new or expanded water, wastewater treatment or storm water drainage, electrical power, natural gas, or telecommunications facility; therefore, less than significant impacts are expected.</b>				
b) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing and reasonably foreseeable future development during normal, dry and multiple dry years?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>b) The proposed project is not expected to exceed the capacity of the current services provider and no new or expanded entitlements are needed. Therefore; less than significant impacts are anticipated.</b>				
c) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>c) The proposed project is not expected to cause an impact to the wastewater treatment provider. Less than significant impacts are anticipated.</b>				
d) Generate solid waste in excess of State or local standards, or in excess of the capacity of local infrastructure, or otherwise impair the attainment of solid waste reduction goals?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>d) The proposed project will not generate any solid waste that would be in excess of State or local standards or in excess of the capacity of local infrastructure or impair the attainment of solid waste reduction goals. Therefore, a less than significant impact is expected.</b>				
e) Comply with federal, state, and local management and reduction statutes and regulations related to solid waste?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>e) The proposed project does not require a solid waste plan and appears to comply with all federal, state and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste. Adherence to Federal, State and local regulations will bring the project to less than significant impacts.</b>				

XX. **WILDFIRE**

If located in or near state responsibility areas or lands classified as very high fire hazard severity zones, would the Project:

a) Substantially impair an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>a) The proposed project will not substantially impair any adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan. A less than significant impact is expected.</b>				
b) Due to slope, prevailing winds, and other factors, exacerbate wildfire risks, and thereby expose project occupants to pollutant concentrations from a wildfire or the uncontrolled spread of a wildfire?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>b) The proposed project is in a flat topographical area and not within a wildfire area. Therefore, less than significant impacts are expected.</b>				
c) Require the installation or maintenance of associated infrastructure (such as roads, fuel breaks, emergency water sources, power lines or other utilities) that may exacerbate fire risk or that may result in temporary or ongoing impacts to the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>c) The project is not located within a fire severity zone and will not require infrastructure that may exacerbate fire risk. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.</b>				
d) Expose people or structures to significant risks, including downslope or downstream flooding or landslides, as a result of runoff, post-fire slope instability, or drainage changes?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

EEC ORIGINAL PKG

Potentially Significant Impact (PSI)	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No Impact (NI)
--------------------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	----------------

**d) The proposed project will not expose people or structures to significant risks by flooding or landslips as a result of runoff, post-fire slope instability or drainage changes. The proposed project is located on flat terrain and impacts are expected to be less than significant.**

*Note: Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21083.05, Public Resources Code. Reference: Section 65088.4, Gov. Code; Sections 21080(c), 21080.1, 21080.3, 21083, 21083.05, 21083.3, 21093, 21094, 21095, and 21151, Public Resources Code; Sundstrom v. County of Mendocino, (1988) 202 Cal.App.3d 296; Leonoff v. Monterey Board of Supervisors, (1990) 222 Cal.App.3d 1337; Eureka Citizens for Responsible Govt. v. City of Eureka (2007) 147 Cal.App.4th 357; Protect the Historic Amador Waterways v. Amador Water Agency (2004) 116 Cal.App.4th at 1109; San Franciscans Upholding the Downtown Plan v. City and County of San Francisco (2002) 102 Cal.App.4th 656.*

Revised 2009- CEQA  
 Revised 2011- ICPDS  
 Revised 2016 – ICPDS  
 Revised 2017 – ICPDS  
 Revised 2019 – ICPDS

**EEC ORIGINAL PKG**

**SECTION 3**  
**III. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE**

The following are Mandatory Findings of Significance in accordance with Section 15065 of the CEQA Guidelines.

- |  |                          |                          |                                     |                          |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| <p>a) Does the project have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, eliminate tribal cultural resources or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?</p> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <p>b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.)</p>  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <p>c) Does the project have environmental effects, which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?</p>  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

#### **IV. PERSONS AND ORGANIZATIONS CONSULTED**

This section identifies those persons who prepared or contributed to preparation of this document. This section is prepared in accordance with Section 15129 of the CEQA Guidelines.

##### **A. COUNTY OF IMPERIAL**

- Jim Minnick, Director of Planning & Development Services
- Michael Abraham, AICP, Assistant Director of Planning & Development Services
- Diana Robinson, Planning Division Manager
- Jeanine Ramos, Project Planner
- Imperial County Air Pollution Control District
- Ag Commissioner

##### **B. OTHER AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS**

- Quechan Indian Tribe, Historic Preservation

*(Written or oral comments received on the checklist prior to circulation)*

**EEC ORIGINAL PKG**

## V. REFERENCES

1. Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan <http://www.icpds.com/CMS/Media/ALUC-Compatibility-Plan-1996-Part-1.pdf>
2. Fish and Wildlife Department's Service Guidance regarding Communication Towers
3. <https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/DLRP/CIFF/>
4. IC General Plan Conservation and Open Space Element Figure 1  
<http://www.icpds.com/CMS/Media/Conservation-&-Open-Space-Element-2016.pdf>
5. <http://www.icpds.com/CMS/Media/Conservation-&-Open-Space-Element-2016.pdf>
6. <http://www.icpds.com/CMS/Media/Conservation-&-Open-Space-Element-2016.pdf>
7. <http://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/fam/>
8. <http://www.icpds.com/CMS/Media/Seismic-and-Public-Safety-Element.pdf>
9. EnviroStor Database <http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/>
10. <http://www.icpds.com/CMS/Media/Airport-Locations.pdf>
11. <http://www.icpds.com/CMS/Media/Seismic-and-Public-Safety-Element.pdf>
12. Department of Conservation Tsunami Inundation Maps  
[https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/informationwarehouse/ts\\_evacuation/](https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/informationwarehouse/ts_evacuation/)
13. <https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/informationwarehouse/index.html?map=mlc>
14. <http://www.icpds.com/CMS/Media/Conservation-&-Open-Space-Element-2016.pdf>
15. <http://www.icpds.com/CMS/Media/Airport-Locations.pdf>
16. Office of Historic Preservation <http://ohp.parks.ca.gov/ListedResources/?view=county&criteria=13>



## VI. NEGATIVE DECLARATION – County of Imperial

---

*The following Negative Declaration is being circulated for public review in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act Section 21091 and 21092 of the Public Resources Code.*

---

**Project Name:** Conditional Use Permit #21-0002 /Variance #21-0001/ Initial Study #21-0003

**Project Applicant:** Infra Towers, LLC

**Project Location:** The project site is located at 373 E. Aten Road, Imperial currently occupied by the Pioneers Museum, approximately 4 miles east of the Imperial County Airport. The parcel is identified as Assessor's Parcel Number (APN) 044-230-014-000 and is legally described as north one-half of Tract 69, Township 15 South, Range 14 East, S. B.B. & M., in an unincorporated area of the County of Imperial.

**Description of Project:** The applicant, Infra Towers, LLC, has submitted modifications to the previously reviewed Conditional Use Permit #21-0002/Variance #21-0001 whose intent is to build and maintain a 160-foot wireless telecommunication tower with shelter, antennas, and ancillary equipment. The major changes include a replacement of the originally proposed camouflaged oil tower design, to the current lattice tower design with lighting. Due to this request, the project has been brought back to the Environmental Evaluation Committee (EEC) for their consideration. The entire parcel is approximately 21.95 acres; however, Infra Towers, LLC will be leasing an area of 3,600 square feet from the owner for the proposed tower site. The proposed tower site will be located within a 60' x 60' leased area, enclosed by a 6-foot tall decorative vinyl simulated wood fence, painted a rustic brown.

EEC ORIGINAL PKG

**VII. FINDINGS**

**This is to advise that the County of Imperial, acting as the lead agency, has conducted an Initial Study to determine if the project may have a significant effect on the environmental and is proposing this Negative Declaration based upon the following findings:**

The Initial Study shows that there is no substantial evidence that the project may have a significant effect on the environment and a **NEGATIVE DECLARATION** will be prepared.

The Initial Study identifies potentially significant effects but:

- (1) Proposals made or agreed to by the applicant before this proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration was released for public review would avoid the effects or mitigate the effects to a point where clearly no significant effects would occur.
- (2) There is no substantial evidence before the agency that the project may have a significant effect on the environment.
- (3) Mitigation measures are required to ensure all potentially significant impacts are reduced to levels of insignificance.

A **NEGATIVE DECLARATION** will be prepared.

**If adopted, the Negative Declaration means that an Environmental Impact Report will not be required. Reasons to support this finding are included in the attached Initial Study. The project file and all related documents are available for review at the County of Imperial, Planning & Development Services Department, 801 Main Street, El Centro, CA 92243 (442) 265-1736.**

**NOTICE**

**The public is invited to comment on the proposed Negative Declaration during the review period.**

1-27-2022                      Jim Minnick  
Date of Determination              Jim Minnick, Director of Planning & Development Services

*The Applicant hereby acknowledges and accepts the results of the Environmental Evaluation Committee (EEC) and hereby agrees to implement all Mitigation Measures, if applicable, as outlined in the MMRP.*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Applicant Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

**EEC ORIGINAL PKG**

## **SECTION 4**

### **VIII. RESPONSE TO COMMENTS**

(ATTACH DOCUMENTS, IF ANY, HERE)

**EEC ORIGINAL PKG**

**IX. MITIGATION MONITORING & REPORTING PROGRAM (MMRP)**

(ATTACH DOCUMENTS, IF ANY, HERE)

# CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT

I.C. PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPT.  
801 Main Street, El Centro, CA 92243 (760) 482-4236

- APPLICANT MUST COMPLETE ALL NUMBERED (black) SPACES - Please type or print

1. PROPERTY OWNER'S NAME The County of Imperial		EMAIL ADDRESS Jurg Heuberger jurgheuberger@gmail.com	
2. MAILING ADDRESS (Street / P O Box, City, State) 940 W Main Street, El Centro, CA		ZIP CODE 92243	PHONE NUMBER (760) 996-0313
3. APPLICANT'S NAME InSite Towers Development 2, LLC		EMAIL ADDRESS Debbie DePompei debbie@intellisitesllc.com	
4. MAILING ADDRESS (Street / P O Box, City, State) 1199 N. Fairfax Street #700 - Alexandria, VA		ZIP CODE 22314	PHONE NUMBER (702) 501-0882 (Debbie DePompei, Rep.)
4. ENGINEER'S NAME Morrison Hershfield Corporation	CA LICENSE NO. 2806970	EMAIL ADDRESS Roy Lorete RLorete@morrisonhershfield.com	
5. MAILING ADDRESS (Street / P O Box, City, State) 600 Stewart St. Suite #200, Seattle, WA		ZIP CODE 98101	PHONE NUMBER 206-268-7385
6. ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NO. 044-230-014		SIZE OF PROPERTY (In acres or square foot) 21.95 acres	ZONING (existing) GS
7. PROPERTY (site) ADDRESS 373 E. Aten Road - Imperial, CA 92251			
8. GENERAL LOCATION (i.e. city, town, cross street) At the Pioneers Museum located at the SWC of E. Aten Rd & State Highway 111 (South of Imperial Valley College)			
9. LEGAL DESCRIPTION See attached legal description of property			

**PLEASE PROVIDE CLEAR & CONCISE INFORMATION (ATTACH SEPARATE SHEET IF NEEDED)**

10. DESCRIBE PROPOSED USE OF PROPERTY (list and describe in detail) Applicant is requesting a Conditional Use Permit for the installation of a 160' wireless communication tower, in addition to a variance since the height exceeds the height limitation for the GS zoning district by 60'.	
11. DESCRIBE CURRENT USE OF PROPERTY	Pioneers Museum
12. DESCRIBE PROPOSED SEWER SYSTEM	N/A - not proposed with this project.
13. DESCRIBE PROPOSED WATER SYSTEM	N/A - not proposed for this project.
14. DESCRIBE PROPOSED FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	
15. IS PROPOSED USE A BUSINESS? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	IF YES, HOW MANY EMPLOYEES WILL BE AT THIS SITE? Un-manned wireless communication facility

**REQUIRED SUPPORT DOCUMENTS**

A	SITE PLAN
B	FEE
C	OTHER
D	OTHER

FOR I.C.P.S.  
 Jurg Heuberger 11.25.20  
 Print Name Date  
 Roy Roussos 12/05/20  
 Print Name Date  
 Signature

APPLICATION RECEIVED BY \_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_  
 APPLICATION DEEMED COMPLETE BY \_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_  
 APPLICATION REJECTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_  
 TENTATIVE HEARING BY \_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_  
 FINAL ACTION  APPROVED  DENIED

IKLW/ APPROVAL BY \_\_\_\_\_  
 OTHER DEPT'S REQUIRED  
 P W  
 E H S  
 A P C O  
 O L S

**CUP #**  
21-0002

EEC ORIGINAL PKG

SITUATED IN THE COUNTY OF IMPERIAL, STATE OF CALIFORNIA:

THAT PORTION OF THE NORTH ONE-HALF (N ½) OF TRACT 69, TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 14 EAST, S.B.M., ACCORDING TO THE PLAT OF RESURVEY APPROVED DECEMBER 22, 1908, AND FILED IN THE DISTRICT LAND OFFICE, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID TRACT; THENCE SOUTH 0 DEGREES 13 MINUTES EAST 701.88 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES 59 MINUTES 40 SECONDS WEST 1719.79 FEET TO A POINT ON THE EAST RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF THE DRAINAGE DITCH KNOWN AS CENTRAL DRAIN; THENCE NORTH 45 DEGREES 23 MINUTES 01 SECONDS EAST 999.42 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF TRACT 69; THENCE NORTH 98 DEGREES 59 MINUTES 40 SECONDS EAST 1005.72 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

TAX ID: 044-230-014

BEING THE SAME PROPERTY CONVEYED TO THE COUNTY OF IMPERIAL, A POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, GRANTEE, FROM THE IMPERIAL COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT, GRANTOR, BY DEED RECORDED 10/14/1987, IN BOOK 1590, PAGE 486, OF THE IMPERIAL COUNTY RECORDS.

EEC ORIGINAL PKG

## SITE PLAN REQUIREMENTS

### PLAN MUST:

- a. Be drawn to scale upon substantial paper, 11" x 14" (min.) – (20 copies must be submitted.)
- b. Show name of owner, legal description and Assessor's Parcel Number.
- c. Show existing property dimensions, size, adjacent roads, canals, right-of-ways, easements, etc.
- d. Show all existing and proposed structures (both above and below ground) location of sewer and water systems.
- e. Show adjacent property uses and approximate distances to nearest structures.
- f. Indicate name of person preparing site plan.
- g. Show North orientation.
- h. Show sufficient dimensions and information for proper evaluation to be done.

**CAUTION:** Incomplete or inaccurate applications, plans will cause the entire application to be rejected.

S:/forms\_lists/8.5 x 11 APPS/CUP backside 8.5 x 11

EEC ORIGINAL PKG

# VARIANCE

I.C. PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPT.  
801 Main Street, El Centro, CA 92243 (760) 482-4236

APPLICANT MUST COMPLETE ALL NUMBERED (black) SPACES - Please type or print

1	PROPERTY OWNER'S NAME County of Imperial	EMAIL ADDRESS Jurg Heuberger jurgheuberger@gmail.com	
2	MAILING ADDRESS (Street / P O Box, City, State) 9540 W. Main St. - El Centro, CA	ZIP CODE 92243	PHONE NUMBER (760) 996-0313
3	ENGINEERS NAME Morrison Hershfield Corporation	CA. LICENSE NO. 2806970	EMAIL ADDRESS Roy Lorete RLorete@morrisonhershfield.com
4	MAILING ADDRESS (Street / P O Box, City, State) 600 Stewart St., Suite #200 - Seattle, WA	ZIP CODE 98101	PHONE NUMBER (206) 268-7385
5	ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NO. 044-230-014	ZONING (existing) GS	
6	PROPERTY (site) ADDRESS 373 E. Aten Rd. - Imperial, CA 92251	SIZE OF PROPERTY (in acres or square foot) 21.95 acres	
7	GENERAL LOCATION (i.e. city, town, cross street) At the Pioneer's Museum located at the SWC of E. Aten Rd. & State Hwy 111 (South of Imperial Valley College)		
8	LEGAL DESCRIPTION See attached legal description of parcel.		

8 DESCRIBE VARIANCE REQUESTED (i.e. side yard set-back reduction, etc.)  
Applicant is requesting a Conditional Use Permit for the installation of a 160' wireless communication tower, in addition to a variance since the height exceeds the height limitation for the GS zoning district by 60'.

9. DESCRIBE REASON FOR, OR WHY VARIANCE IS NECESSARY :  
InSite Towers is proposing to build a 160' wireless communication facility in order to accommodate multiple tenants; AT&T is the anchor tenant who has requested the top RAD center on the tower in order to provide improved service to the college and surrounding area, in addition to providing First Net services.

10. DESCRIBE THE ADJACENT PROPERTY

East	<u>Zoned "Hospital"</u>
West	<u>Zoned "Agriculture"</u>
North	<u>Zoned "College" - Imperial Valley College</u>
South	<u>Zoned "Agriculture"</u>

**REQUIRED SUPPORT DOCUMENTS**

A.	SITE PLAN	_____
B.	FEE	_____
C.	OTHER	_____
D.	OTHER	_____

From ICHS  
JURG HEUBERGER 11/25/20  
 Print Name Date  
[Signature]  
 Signature  
Tony Romanos 12/08/20  
 Print Name Date  
[Signature]  
 Signature

APPLICATION RECEIVED BY \_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_  
 APPLICATION DEEMED COMPLETE BY \_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_  
 APPLICATION REJECTED BY \_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_  
 TENTATIVE HEARING BY \_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_  
 FINAL ACTION  APPROVED  DENIED

REVIEW / APPROVAL BY  
 OTHER DEPTS required  
 P W  
 E H S  
 A P C D  
 G E S  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

V #  
 21-0001

EEC ORIGINAL PKG



SITUATED IN THE COUNTY OF IMPERIAL, STATE OF CALIFORNIA:

THAT PORTION OF THE NORTH ONE-HALF (N ½) OF TRACT 69, TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 14 EAST, S.B.M., ACCORDING TO THE PLAT OF RESURVEY APPROVED DECEMBER 22, 1908, AND FILED IN THE DISTRICT LAND OFFICE, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID TRACT; THENCE SOUTH 0 DEGREES 13 MINUTES EAST 701.88 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES 59 MINUTES 40 SECONDS WEST 1719.79 FEET TO A POINT ON THE EAST RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF THE DRAINAGE DITCH KNOWN AS CENTRAL DRAIN; THENCE NORTH 45 DEGREES 23 MINUTES 01 SECONDS EAST 999.42 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF TRACT 69; THENCE NORTH 08 DEGREES 59 MINUTES 40 SECONDS EAST 1005.72 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

TAX ID: 044-230-014

BEING THE SAME PROPERTY CONVEYED TO THE COUNTY OF IMPERIAL, A POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, GRANTEE, FROM THE IMPERIAL COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT, GRANTOR, BY DEED RECORDED 10/14/1987, IN BOOK 1590, PAGE 486, OF THE IMPERIAL COUNTY RECORDS.

EEC ORIGINAL PKG

## SITE PLAN REQUIREMENTS

### PLAN MUST:

- a. Be drawn to scale upon substantial paper, 11" x 14" (min.) – (20 copies must be submitted.)
- b. Show name of owner, legal description and Assessor's Parcel Number.
- c. Show existing property dimensions, size, adjacent roads, canals, right-of-ways, easements, etc.
- d. Show all existing and proposed structures (both above and below ground) location of sewer and water systems.
- e. Show adjacent property uses and approximate distances to nearest structures.
- f. Indicate name of person preparing site plan.
- g. Show North orientation.
- h. Show sufficient dimensions and information for proper evaluation to be done.

**CAUTION:** Incomplete or inaccurate applications, plans will cause the entire application to be rejected.

S:/forms\_lists/8.5 x 11 APPS/CUP backside 8.5 x 11

EEC ORIGINAL PKG

**County of Imperial, CA Planning Department**

**InSite Towers CA070 Imperial**



**RECEIVED**

**JAN 29 2021**

IMPERIAL COUNTY  
PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

**Project Name:** InSite Towers – CA070 Imperial Wireless Communication Tower

**Location:** 373 E. Aten Rd.  
Imperial, CA 92251  
APN# 044-230-014

**Applicant:** InSite Towers Development 2, LLC  
1199 N. Fairfax Street, Suite 700  
Alexandria, VA 22314  
Contact: (702) 501-0882 – Debbie DePompei

**Owner:** The County of Imperial (Owner) and  
The Imperial County Historical Society (Sublessor)  
9540 W. Main Street  
El Centro, CA 92243  
Contact: (760) 996-0313 (County)  
(760) 996-0313 Jurg Heuberger (Pioneers Museum, Sublessor)

**Representative:** IntelliSites, LLC  
8822 Arroyo Azul Street  
Las Vegas, NV 89131  
Contact: (702) 501-0882 - Debbie DePompei  
[debbie@intellisitesllc.com](mailto:debbie@intellisitesllc.com)



**ABOUT INSITE TOWERS, LLC**

InSite Towers, a wholly-owned subsidiary of InSite Wireless Group, . LLC ([www.insitewireless.com](http://www.insitewireless.com)) is one of the largest privately-owned tower and wireless infrastructure companies in the United States. InSite currently owns and operates more than 2,000 wireless communication tower sites in the United States, Puerto Rico, U. S. Virgin Islands, Australia and Canada as well as more than 66 major DAS (“distributed antenna systems”) venues nationwide. InSite Towers primary business is building multi-tenant communications facilities for lease to wireless carriers and the enclosed notarized statement will serve as confirmation that the construction of the tower will be designed to accommodate the collocation of additional antennas for future users pursuant to Section 92409.01 (8).

InSite has strategically partnered with IntelliSites, LLC ([www.intellisitesllc.com](http://www.intellisitesllc.com)), which specializes in the marketing, management and development of multi-user communication tower sites. IntelliSites LLC provides a full array of infrastructure network development services for InSite Towers including site acquisition, land use entitlement, construction management and on-going site management.

### **Project Description**

InSite Towers, LLC is requesting the review and approval of a Conditional Use Permit for the construction and operation of a permanent multi-carrier wireless telecommunications facility to be designed as a 160' lattice tower (camouflaged as an oil tower) to be located at the Pioneers Museum (operated by the Imperial County Historical Society) at 373 E. Aten Rd. – Imperial, CA 92251. InSite is also requesting a Variance for the height since the 160' proposed structure exceeds the 100' height limitation for the GA zone by 60'.

AT&T will be the anchor tenant of the proposed facility upon site completion who proposes to co-locate at the 145' RAD level, which will include installation of the following: (2) 6' panel antennas per sector total of six (6), (3) remote radio units (RRU) per sector, total of (9), (3) surge protectors and (3) fiber spool boxes by the antenna area, in addition to (3) fiber cable trunks and (9) DC power cable trunks.

Associated equipment would include the installation of (2) Global Positioning System (GPS) antennas, a back-up generator (that is California emissions compliant & low acoustic noise) and an AT&T Mobility Walk Up Cabinet (WUC) that would be placed near the base of the tower. The entire site will be secured within a 60' x 60' enclosed six-foot (6') tall Concrete Masonry Unit (CMU) block walled equipment compound. The proposed facility is structurally designed to accommodate multiple wireless carriers' antennas and associated equipment within the 60' x 60' leased compound.

Please note that AT&T has been approved to install a Cell on Wheels (C.O.W.) facility at the location temporarily until the permanent facility being proposed with this application is constructed in order to expedite the deployment of FirstNet services to the area.

### **Objective**

The objective of the proposed facility is to assist AT&T (and other wireless carriers) to fill a significant gap in 4G LTE and 5G coverage to the Imperial Valley College and surrounding community, which is deficient due to the lack of infrastructure to co-locate on. AT&T's specific coverage objectives at the site include the following:

- Improve coverage at Imperial Valley Pioneers Expy Hwy 111 from South to North between S80 & Ralph Rd.
- Improve coverage at Aten Rd from East to West McConnell Rd to Cooley Rd .
- Improve coverage and capacity at Imperial Valley College

Furthermore, the site will enable the anchor tenant, AT&T, to carry out its FirstNet commitment to the state of California to provide reliable communications that are critical to the safety and success of first responders and the public. And, with AT&T's selection by the federal First Responder Network Authority, FirstNet, as the wireless services provider to build and manage

the first-ever nationwide public safety wireless network, each new site will help to improve first responder communications. First conceived by the 9/11 Commission Report as a necessary strategy to coordinate first responder communications, Congress created FirstNet as the nationwide first-responder wireless network. AT&T's goal is to support the public safety community by putting advanced wireless technologies into the hands of first responders.<sup>1</sup>

In order to meet the basic level of operational radio signal coverage, radio frequency (RF) engineers have designed a network of wireless telecommunications facilities for the Southern California area. The applicant's engineers choose specific sites after lengthy analysis. Selection criteria include limitations imposed by surrounding topography, the intended service area of the site, and the ability of the new site to "see" other sites in the network from its proposed location. Other selection factors include suitable access, availability of electrical and telephone service, and a willing property lessor. Only after careful analysis of many candidates and successful lease negotiations has been completed is a land use application such as this one submitted.


The following page details the current coverage (without the new site) and the coverage (with the new site):

### CAL02227 Coverage Without New Site



<sup>1</sup> For more information about FirstNet, see <https://www.firstnet.gov/> and <https://www.youtube.com/embed/p-zyDCSaDug>.  
Project Description & Findings

## CAL02227 Coverage With New Site @145'



### **Alternate Site Analysis**

InSite Towers seeks to minimize the visual impact to the immediate area when searching for suitable candidates for its' telecommunication towers. This project was pursued because there were no existing structures or buildings in the area with the available heights necessary for the wireless carrier's communication grid, the lack of existing tower structures available that will meet the desired coverage and capacity objectives in the area, landlord interest, site location and the ability to locate a new facility while minimizing the impact to the surrounding area. The following outlines the research that was conducted on the availability of existing infrastructure in the area:

AT&T was close to securing a site that was located at 2095 Highway 111, El Centro, CA; AT&T obtained zoning approval and submitted for building permit (BP# 59320), then the property owner would not sign the lease. AT&T then reviewed an existing Verizon tower located at 1990 US Highway 111 – El Centro, CA, but the tower did not have the available RAD center (height on the tower) necessary to meet their coverage objectives, which was when AT&T's RF Engineer had to move the search ring closer to the college. The Imperial Valley College was also contacted, however, they were not interested in leasing their property for a communication tower site, which was when we commenced discussions with the Pioneer Museum, the subject site of this application.

### **92401.04. General Requirements.**

Pursuant to Section 92401.04 (General Requirements) of the Imperial County Zoning Ordinance, please note the following findings in support of granting the Conditional Use Permit & Variance, as follows:

1. **Zones.** Wireless communication facilities may be located in all base zones which allow such facilities, upon approval of a conditional use permit as described below. **The project site will be located at the Pioneers Museum, which is currently zoned GS Government / Special Public within a preferred non-residential zone and will meet all required zoning setbacks.**



The entire area can be characterized as agricultural/rural with the exception of the Imperial Valley College to the north; the following details the existing land uses / parcels surrounding the project site:

<u>Direction</u>	<u>APN#</u>	<u>Existing Land Use</u>	<u>Planned Land Use</u>
North	044-510-007	Imperial Valley College	GS / Government Special Public
South	044-230-015	Agricultural (Fields)	A-2 / Agricultural
West	200-051-07-00	Agricultural (Fields)	A-2 / Agricultural
East	Old Highway 11		

2. **Use Permit Required.** All wireless communication facilities and all wired or fiber regeneration facilities other than those designated as exempt under \_\_\_\_\_ require a conditional use permit (CUP). To obtain a conditional use permit, a hearing is required before either the planning director or the planning commission, as provided for in this title. **InSite Towers, LLC is requesting the review and approval of a Conditional Use Permit application for this proposed facility.**

3. **Building Permit Required.** All communication facilities shall require a building permit issued by the county of Imperial. **Upon approval of the Conditional Use Permit, InSite Towers will submit and file for the necessary building permit prior to construction.**

4. **Design Consistency with the Surrounding Environment.** To the maximum extent feasible, all wireless communication facilities and all regeneration facilities shall blend in with the predominant features of the existing natural and/or built environments in which they are located. To this end, co-location, stealth mounts, structure mounts and ground mounts are particularly encouraged. **InSite is proposing to design the 160' lattice tower as an oil tower to blend in with the historic theme of the Pioneer's Museum and rural agricultural landscape of the surrounding environment. The site will be located in the far southwest corner of the parcel, which will be further screened by existing buildings on the property. Enclosed please find photo simulations for reference.**

5. **Height.** All communication facilities shall conform to the following height requirements:

- a. All communication facilities shall be of the minimum functional height, with additional provisions for co-location, as allowed in the respective base zone unless a variance is approved concurrent with a CUP. (For example, if the number of co-locators that a particular facility is designed for is four and the required height is eighty (80) feet, then the allowed height of the facility would be one hundred ten (110) feet and if it is five co-locators, then it would be one hundred twenty (120) feet). **The base zone (GS) allows a 100' height. The facility will be structurally designed to accommodate five or more co-locators and so according to the code, the allowed height of the facility would be one hundred and forty (140) feet. The proposed height is 160' so a Variance is being requested concurrent with this CUP application.**
- b. All communication facilities constructed within three-quarters of a mile of a designated scenic corridor (as designated by the Imperial County general plan) shall conform with the height limit in the zone in which they are located. New facilities that are co-located with an existing facility may exceed their zone's height limit, provided that the installation of the new facility does not require a height increase of the existing facility. **After reviewing the General Plan, the maps did not indicate that the proposed facility is within ¾ of a mile of a designated scenic corridor.**



- c. Outside of the three-quarter-mile range of a designated scenic corridor, communication facility, except an exempt facility, may exceed one hundred twenty (120) feet. A bonus of twenty (20) additional feet per facility, up to a maximum height of three hundred (300) feet, is permissible for operators co-locating on a single facility. **The proposed height of the facility is 160’.**
- d. No roof-mounted wireless communication facility, except an exempt facility, may be more than twelve (12) feet taller than the roof of the building on which it is mounted, unless facility is fully screened and height does not exceed height permitted by applicable zoning code. **Not applicable.**
- e. If an operator wishes to apply for an exception to these height limitations, then the facility shall be subject to the provisions at this title relating to conditional use permits and variances hearing processes. **The proposed height is 160’ so a Variance is being requested concurrent with this CUP application.**

**6. Screening.** All communication facilities shall be screened to the maximum extent possible, pursuant to the following requirements.

- a. Ground- and tower-mounted antennas and all sound structures shall be located within areas where substantial screening by vegetation, landform and/or buildings can be achieved. Additional vegetation and/or other screening may be required as a condition of approval. Each structural screening shall be based on a recommendation from the planning department having addressed the visual impacts, which in some instance may, in fact, warrant no screening. **All equipment and materials needed to operate the site are located within a screened 60’ x 60’ enclosed six-foot (6’) tall Concrete Masonry Unit (CMU) block walled equipment compound, which will be located in the far southwest corner of the parcel that provides further screening of the site by existing buildings on the property.**
- b. The projection of structure-mounted antennas from the face of the structure to which they are attached shall be minimized. **The projection of structure-mounted antennas from the face of the tower shall be minimized as much as possible.**
- c. Roof-mounted antennas shall be set back from the edge of the roof a distance greater than or equal to the height of the antenna, except when the antennas are fully screened. For roof-mounted antennas, a screening structure that is architecturally compatible with the building on which it is mounted may also be required as a condition of approval. Antenna panels mounted flush on the outside of the parapet wall of an existing building and painted to match the exterior of the building may be allowed. **Not applicable.**

7. **Radio-Frequency Exposure.** No communication facility shall be sited or operated in such a manner that it poses, either by itself or in combination with other such facilities, a potential threat to public health. To that end, no communication facility or combination of facilities shall produce at any time power densities that exceed the current FCC adopted standards for human exposure to RF fields. Certification that a facility meets this standard is required. A copy of the certification from the FCC shall be submitted to the county. **Please find enclosed copies of AT&T's FCC Authorizations for all licensed frequencies that will be utilized at the project site, in addition to a RF Environmental Evaluation Report.**

8. **Cabling.** For structure-mounted antennas, all visible cabling between equipment and antennas shall be routed within the building wherever feasible, or on the roof below the parapet wall. Cabling on the exterior of a building or monopole shall be located within cable trays painted to match. All cabling shall be performed in accordance with the NEC (National Electrical Code). **All cabling on the exterior of the lattice tower will be located within cable trays, and in accordance with the NEC.**

9. **Painting and Lighting.** All facilities shall be painted or constructed of materials to minimize visual impact. All towers shall be painted in a non-reflective and preferably earth tone colors. All towers shall be lit with approved lighting as required by the FAA and the Airport Land Use Commissions standards. **The proposed tower will be of a galvanized steel finish (not painted) which is being retained to mimic the oil tower design. The proposed tower is not required to be lighted by the FAA since it does not exceed 199'. Enclosed is a copy of the applicant's FAA Aeronautical Study No. 2020-AWP-14523-OE, which based on this evaluation, marking and lighting are not necessary for aviation safety.**

10. **Noise.** All communication facilities shall be designed to minimize noise. If a facility is located in or within one hundred (100) feet of a residential zone, noise attenuation measures shall be included to reduce noise levels to a maximum exterior noise level of fifty (50) Ldn at the facility site's property lines. **The proposed tower is not located within 100 feet of a residential zone and is surrounded by agricultural and government special public zones. The nearest residential development is located 1.65 miles to the southwest of the proposed facility.**

11. **Accessory Structures.** Enclosures and cabinets housing equipment related to a wireless communication facility shall meet setback and height restrictions for such structures in their zones. Such structures shall appear architecturally compatible (as determined by the planning director evaluating the facility on the basis of color and materials) with their surroundings and be designed to minimize their visual impact. To meet this requirement, underground vaults may be required. **The accessory structures included with this application include a back-up generator (that is California emissions compliant & low acoustic noise) and an**

**AT&T Mobility Walk Up Cabinet (WUC) that would be placed near the base of the tower within a 60' x 60' enclosed six-foot (6') tall Concrete Masonry Unit (CMU) block walled equipment compound, which will meet all setbacks.**

12. **Roads and Parking.** Communication facilities shall be served by the minimum roads and parking areas necessary and shall use existing roads and parking areas whenever possible. **The site will be accessed off of Aten Rd. through the main entrance of the Pioneers Museum via a 12' access easement to the site.**

13. **Provisions for Future Co-location.** All commercial communication facilities shall be encouraged to promote future facility and site sharing. Technical evidence will be provided as to the infeasibility either technical and/or economic, of co-location or grouping prior to the issuance of a new use permit for a facility that would not be considered to be co-located or grouped under this division. **The construction of the tower will be designed to accommodate the co-location of additional antennas for future users pursuant to Section 92409.01(8).**

14. **Removal Upon Discontinuation of Use.** The operator's agreeing to such removal and allowing the county access across private property to effect such removal shall be a condition of approval of each permit issued. At its discretion, the county may require a financial guarantee acceptable to the county to ensure removal. **All equipment associated with the communication facility shall be removed within one hundred eighty (180) days of the discontinuation of the use and the site shall be restored to its original pre-construction condition.**

15. **Principal or Accessory Use.** Antennas and towers may be considered either principal or accessory uses. A different existing use of an existing structure on the same lot shall not preclude the installation of an antenna or tower on such lot. **The proposed tower will be an accessory use of the existing property, which is owned by the County and is operated as the Pioneers Museum by the Imperial County Historical Society.**

16. **Lot Size.** For purposes of determining whether the installation of a facility complies with county development regulations, including but not limited to setback requirements, lot-coverage requirements, and other such requirements, the dimensions of the entire lot shall control, even though the antennas or towers may be located on lease parcels within such lot. This shall also take into consideration the height of the tower in the event of a failure whereby it could fall thereby crossing property lines. **The parcel size is 21.98 acres of which the project site will occupy 3,600 square feet in the southwest corner of said parcel.**

17. **Inventory of Existing Sites.** Each applicant for a facility shall provide to the planning director an inventory of its existing towers, antennas, or sites approved for facilities, that are either within the jurisdiction of Imperial County or within one mile of the border thereof,

including specific information about the location, height and design of each facility. The planning director may share such information with other applicants applying for administrative approvals or special use permits under this division or other organizations seeking to locate facilities within the jurisdiction of Imperial County, provided, however that the planning director is not, by sharing such information, in any way representing or warranting that such sites are available or suitable. **Please find enclosed a list detailing the inventory of AT&T's existing towers, antennas, or sites approved for facilities as requested with this application.**

18. **Aesthetics.** Towers and antennas shall meet the following requirements:

a. Towers shall either maintain a galvanized steel finish, or, subject to any applicable standards of the FAA, be painted a neutral color so as to reduce visual obstructiveness. **The proposed tower will be maintained as a galvanized steel finish (conducive to the oil tower design being proposed) unless directed otherwise by conditions of approval.**

b. At a tower site, the design of the buildings and related structures shall, to the extent possible, use materials, colors, textures, screening, and landscaping that will blend them into the natural setting and surrounding buildings. **All materials being proposed will blend into the natural setting of the Pioneer Museum and surrounding buildings.**

c. If an antenna is installed on a structure other than a tower, the antenna and supporting electrical and mechanical equipment must be of a neutral color that is identical to, or closely compatible with, the color of the supporting structure so as to make the antenna and related equipment as visually unobstructive as possible. **Not applicable.**

19. **Lighting.** Towers shall not be artificially lighted, unless required by the FAA or other applicable authority. If lighting is required, the lighting alternatives and design chosen must cause the least disturbance to the surrounding views and community. **The proposed tower is not required to be lighted by the FAA since it does not exceed 199'. Please find enclosed a copy of the applicant's FAA Aeronautical Study No. 2020-AWP-14523-OE, which states that based on the evaluation the structure does not exceed obstruction standards and would not be a hazard to air navigation so marking and lighting are not necessary for aviation safety.**

20. **State or Federal Requirements.** All towers must meet or exceed current standards and regulations of the FAA, the FCC and any other agency of the state or federal government with the authority to regulate towers and antennas. If such standards and regulations are changed, then the owners of the towers and antennas governed by this division shall bring such towers and antennas into compliance with such revised standards and regulations as mandated by the controlling state or federal agency. Failure to bring towers and antennas into compliance with

such revised standards and regulations shall constitute grounds for the removal of the tower or antenna at the owner's expense. **The applicant will operate this facility in full compliance with the regulations and licensing requirements of the FCC, FAA, and CPUC as governed by the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and other applicable laws.**

**21. Building Codes—Safety Standards.** To ensure the structural integrity of towers, the owner of a tower shall ensure that it is maintained in compliance with standards contained in applicable state or local building codes and the applicable standards for towers that are published by the Electronic Industries Association, as amended from time to time. If, upon inspection, the county concludes that a tower fails to comply with such codes and standards and constitutes a danger to persons or property, then upon notice being provided to the owner of the tower, the owner shall have thirty (30) days to bring such tower into compliance with such standards. Failure to bring such tower into compliance within thirty (30) days shall constitute grounds for the removal of the tower or antenna at the owner's expense. **The tower will be structurally built and maintained in compliance with REV H standards for towers that are published by the Electronic Industries Association.**

**22. Measurement.** For purposes of measurement, tower setbacks and separation distances shall be calculated and applied to facilities located in the unincorporated areas of the county of Imperial according to the provisions of this title for the respective base zone. **The GS base zone requires 0' setbacks from property lines, however, the tower will be setback from property lines as follows: 722' to the north (Imperial College parcel), 10' to the west and south and 1,580' from the compound to the east.**

**23. Not Essential Services.** Towers and antennas shall be regulated and permitted pursuant to this division and shall not be regulated or permitted as essential services, public utilities or private utilities. **The applicant recognizes that the tower, if approved, will not be permitted as essential services, public utilities or private utilities.**

**24. Franchises.** Owners and/or operators of towers or antennas shall certify that all franchises required by law for the construction and/or operation of a communication system in Imperial County have been obtained and shall file a copy of all required franchises with the planning director. **This application for the proposed tower does not require a franchise for the operation of the facility.**

**25. Public Notice.** For purposes of this division, any conditional use permit request, variance request, or appeal of an administratively approved CUP or special use permit shall require public notice to all abutting property owners and all property owners of properties that are located within the corresponding separation distance listed for a CUP or variance in the respective base

zone, under this title, in addition to any notice otherwise required by the planning director.

**Applicant acknowledges the public notification process for this Conditional Use Permit and Variance application.**

**26. Signs.** No signs shall be allowed on an antenna or tower except as may be required by law or another permitting or licensing agency. **No signs will be installed on the tower except as may be required by law or another permitting or licensing agency such as the FCC and FAA.**


**27. Buildings and Support Equipment.** Buildings and support equipment associated with antennas or towers shall comply with requirements of this title. **All building and support equipment associated with antennas or towers will comply with requirements of this title.**

**28. Multiple Antenna/Tower Plan.** Imperial County encourages the users of towers and antennas to submit a single application for approval of multiple towers and/or antenna sites. Applications for approval of multiple sites shall be given priority in the review process. **This application proposes the installation of one (1) single tower that will be made available for multiple user co-locations.**


### 92409.01. Information Required.

Pursuant to Section 92409.01 (Information Required) of the Imperial County Zoning Ordinance, please note the following and attached enclosures being submitted with this application for a Conditional Use Permit & Variance:

- 1.) Site Plans: Enclosed are two (2) full size (24" x 36') scaled site plans indicating the location, type and height of the proposed tower, on-site land uses and base zoning, adjacent land uses and zoning.
- 2.) Legal Description: Enclosed is a legal description of the parent tract and leased parcel. The legal description of the 60' x 60' lease area is shown on page C-1 of the site plans.
- 3.) Setbacks: Below please find a Google Earth map showing the setback distance between the proposed tower and nearest residential use, platted residentially zoned properties, and un-platted residentially zoned properties, which is 1.65 miles to the southwest of the proposed site.



- 4.) Separation Distance from Other Towers: Below please find a Google Earth map showing the inventory of existing sites within a 5-mile radius (red circle) of the proposed tower site.
  1. SBA Towers – Monopalm Tower
  2. FM Broadcast Lattice Tower
  3. Verizon Monopole Tower
  4. AT&T Site # 2732 – 56' Roof-Top
  5. AT&T Site #2764 – 122' Lattice Tower



- 5.) **Landscape Plan:** No landscaping is being proposed with this application due to the fact that there is no vegetation (only dirt) surrounding the area of the leased area, in addition to no water utilities to maintain.
- 6.) **Method of Fencing Finished Color:** The entire lease area for the project site will be enclosed by a six-foot (6') concrete masonry unit (CMU) block wall, which is typically gray in color – the finished color to remain unless otherwise directed by conditions of approval of this application.
- 7.) **Description of Compliance with Sections 92401.04:** Addressed in the previous section herein.
- 8.) **Notarized Statement:** Enclosed please find a notarized statement by the applicant stating the construction of the tower will accommodate the co-location of additional antennas for future users.
- 9.) **Fiber Backhaul:** AT&T Wireline will be providing the fiber backhaul to the site.
- 10.) **Description of the suitability of the use of existing towers:** The Alternative Site Analysis addressed in the previous section addresses the fact that this project site was pursued because there were no existing structures or buildings in the area with the available heights necessary for the wireless carrier's communication grid, the lack of existing tower structures available that will meet the desired coverage and capacity objectives in the area, landlord interest, site location and the ability to locate a new facility while minimizing the impact to the surrounding area.



Thank you, in advance, for your consideration of InSite Towers request for a Conditional Use Permit and Variance for the installation of a new 160' communication tower. Please feel free to call me at (702) 501-0882 if you have any questions or require further information.

Sincerely,



Debbie DePompei  
IntelliSites, LLC – representing InSite Towers

Enclosures:     (2) Site Plans  
                  Conditional Use Permit Application  
                  Variance Application  
                  Check #067864 \$6,500.00 (Application Fees)  
                  AT&T's FCC Authorizations for Licensed Frequencies  
                  FAA Aeronautical Study No 2020-AWP-14523-OE  
                  AT&T Inventory of Existing Sites  
                  Legal Description of Leased Parcel  
                  Photo Simulations (Visual Analysis)  
                  RF Environmental Study  
                  Notarized Statement

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

SITUATED IN THE COUNTY OF IMPERIAL, STATE OF CALIFORNIA:

THAT PORTION OF THE NORTH ONE-HALF (N ½) OF TRACT 69, TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 14 EAST, S.B.M., ACCORDING TO THE PLAT OF RESURVEY APPROVED DECEMBER 22, 1908, AND FILED IN THE DISTRICT LAND OFFICE, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID TRACT; THENCE SOUTH 0 DEGREES 13 MINUTES EAST 701.88 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES 59 MINUTES 40 SECONDS WEST 1719.79 FEET TO A POINT ON THE EAST RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF THE DRAINAGE DITCH KNOWN AS CENTRAL DRAIN; THENCE NORTH 45 DEGREES 23 MINUTES 01 SECONDS EAST 999.42 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF TRACT 69; THENCE NORTH 98 DEGREES 59 MINUTES 40 SECONDS EAST 1005.72 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

TAX ID: 044-230-014

BEING THE SAME PROPERTY CONVEYED TO THE COUNTY OF IMPERIAL, A POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, GRANTEE, FROM THE IMPERIAL COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT, GRANTOR, BY DEED RECORDED 10/14/1987, IN BOOK 1590, PAGE 496, OF THE IMPERIAL COUNTY RECORDS.

EEC ORIGINAL PKG



EXISTING



PROPOSED LOOKING SOUTHWEST FROM ATEN ROAD

EEC ORIGINAL PKG



EXISTING



PROPOSED

LOOKING SOUTH FROM ATEN ROAD

EEC ORIGINAL PKG




EXISTING



PROPOSED

LOOKING SOUTHEAST FROM ATEN ROAD

EEC ORIGINAL PKG



EXISTING



PROPOSED

LOOKING NORTHWEST FROM HIGHWAY 111

EEC ORIGINAL PKG

# CAL02227

## RF Environmental Evaluation Report -


The operation of the proposed facility in addition to other ambient RF emission levels will not exceed current FCC-adopted standards with regard to human exposure in controlled and uncontrolled areas as defined by the FCC.

FCC ORIGINAL PKG

© 2019 AT&T Intellectual Property. AT&T, Globe logo, and DIRECTV are registered trademarks and service marks of AT&T Intellectual Property and/or AT&T affiliated companies. All other marks are the property of their respective owners.



# CAL02227 Coverage Without New Site



EEC ORIGINAL PKG





# CAL02227 Coverage With New Site




Good  
Fair  
Poor

-95  
-105  
-113

EEC ORIGINAL PKG



# CAL02227 Coverage With New Site @145'





Towers, LLC

# IMPERIAL VALLEY CA070

373 E ATEN RD  
IMPERIAL, CA 92251

## PROJECT INFORMATION

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION:** TO CONSTRUCT A MULTI-CARRIER, MULTISERVICES RADIO TELECOMMUNICATION FACILITY CONSISTING OF A 160' TOWER ON A TOWER WITHIN A 60'x60' TENCED COMPOUND.

**APPLICANT:**  
INSTE TOWERS, LLC  
800 STEWART ST, SUITE 200  
LAS VEGAS, NV 89101  
PH: (702) 432-4999

**PROPERTY OWNER:**  
IMPERIAL COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

**TOWER OWNER:**  
INSTE TOWERS, LLC  
800 STEWART ST, SUITE 200  
LAS VEGAS, NV 89101  
PH: (702) 432-4999

**SITE INFORMATION:**  
ADDRESS: IMPERIAL COUNTY  
ZONING CLASSIFICATION: COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL (C/I)

CONSTRUCTION TYPE: V-A

OCCUPANCY: U (UTILITY)

CURRENT USE: WAREHOUSE

PROPOSED USE: TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY

PARCEL SIZE: 31.994 ACRES

LEASE AREA: 3,800 SF

**PARCEL NUMBER(S):**  
044-230-014

**SITE LOCATION:**

**LOT:** 25.6187' W  
**LONGITUDE:** 117° 30' 23.3294" W  
**ELEVATION:** 160.00' AMSL

## PROJECT TEAM

**A&E CONTACT:**  
INTELLISITES, LLC  
800 STEWART ST, SUITE 200  
LAS VEGAS, NV 89101  
PH: (702) 432-4999  
for information@intellisites.com

**PROFESSIONAL OF RECORD:**  
MORRISON HERSHFELD  
373 E ATEN RD  
IMPERIAL, CA 92251  
PH: (945) 577-4668  
for information@mhfd.com

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

SHARES IN THE COUNTY OF IMPERIAL, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THAT PORTION OF THE NORTH ONE-HALF (N 1/2) OF TRACT 69, TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 14 EAST, SECTION 36, T18S14E36S, AS SEPARATED AND APPLICABLE APPROVED DECEMBER 22, 1900, AND FILED IN THE DISTRICT LAND OFFICE, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: BEGINNING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID EAST 1/2 SECTION 36, T18S14E36S, AND THENCE S89°42'00" W 899.42 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF SAID SECTION 36, T18S14E36S, DISTANCE 40 SECONDS EAST 1000.72 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

BEING THE SAME PROPERTY CONVEYED TO THE COUNTY OF IMPERIAL, A POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF IMPERIAL COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, GRANTOR, BY DEED DATED AND RECORDED IN BOOK 1581, PAGE 498, OF THE IMPERIAL COUNTY RECORDS.

## DRAWING INDEX

SHEET	DESCRIPTION
T-1	TITLE SHEET
C-1	SITE SURVEY
C-2	SITE SURVEY
C-3	SITE SURVEY
A-1	SITE PLAN
A-2	DETAILED PLAN
A-3	ELEVATIONS

## VICINITY MAP



## ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATION	DESCRIPTION
A/C	AIR CONDITIONING
ABOVE	ABOVE GROUND LEVEL
ADJ	ADJACENT
BLK	BLOCKING
BLDG	BUILDING
CLG	CEILING
CLF	CLAR
CONSTR	CONSTRUCTION
CONT	CONTINUOUS
DBL	DOUBLE
DIAG	DIAGONAL
DET	DETAIL
DWG	DRAWING
ELEV	EACH FLOOR
ELEC	ELECTRICAL
EQUIP	EQUIPMENT
EXT	EXTERIOR
FIN	FINISH
FLOOR	FLOOR
FT	FOOT
GEN	GENERAL
CONTR	CONTRACTOR
SPR	SPRINKLER
WALL	WALL BONES

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

SHARES IN THE COUNTY OF IMPERIAL, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THAT PORTION OF THE NORTH ONE-HALF (N 1/2) OF TRACT 69, TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 14 EAST, SECTION 36, T18S14E36S, AS SEPARATED AND APPLICABLE APPROVED DECEMBER 22, 1900, AND FILED IN THE DISTRICT LAND OFFICE, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: BEGINNING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID EAST 1/2 SECTION 36, T18S14E36S, AND THENCE S89°42'00" W 899.42 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF SAID SECTION 36, T18S14E36S, DISTANCE 40 SECONDS EAST 1000.72 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

BEING THE SAME PROPERTY CONVEYED TO THE COUNTY OF IMPERIAL, A POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF IMPERIAL COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, GRANTOR, BY DEED DATED AND RECORDED IN BOOK 1581, PAGE 498, OF THE IMPERIAL COUNTY RECORDS.

## PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION

**MORRISON HERSHFELD**  
373 E ATEN RD  
IMPERIAL, CA 92251  
PH: (945) 577-4668  
for information@mhfd.com

**IMPERIAL VALLEY**  
CA070  
373 E ATEN RD  
IMPERIAL, CA 92251

DATE	REVISIONS
3/17/2020	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
3/27/2020	ISSUE FOR PERMIT

**SHEET TITLE**  
TITLE SHEET

**SHEET NUMBER**  
T-1

**PROPRIETARY INFORMATION**  
THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS SET OF CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS IS PROPRIETARY TO INTELLISITES, LLC. ANY UNAUTHORIZED REPRODUCTION OR DISSEMINATION OF THIS INFORMATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED.

**InsTe**  
TOWERS, LLC  
1109 N. FAIRFAX STREET, #700  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314


PROJECT INFORMATION:  
**CA070 IMPERIAL VALLEY COLLEGE**  
APN: 044-230-04  
373 E. ATEEN ROAD  
IMPERIAL COUNTY

CURRENT ISSUE DATE:  
**12/8/2020**

ISSUED FOR:  
**SURVEY**

REV.	DATE	DESCRIPTION

PLANS PREPARED BY:  
**Imperial Surveys**  
1440 SOUTH AVENUE, SUITE 230  
IMPERIAL, CALIFORNIA 92343  
APN: 044-230-04



SHEET TITLE:  
**SURVEY ANALYSIS & PARCEL INFORMATION**

SHEET NUMBER:  
**C1**

REVISION:  
**1**

CA070

SITE NAME/ NUMBER: CA070 IMPERIAL VALLEY COLLEGE  
SITE ADDRESS: 373 E. ATEEN ROAD, IMPERIAL, CA 92321  
OWNER'S NAME/ ADDRESS: IMPERIAL COUNTY, CALIFORNIA  
MURDER: MURDER  
ASSESSOR PARCEL NO(S): 044-230-014  
NET AREA OF PARCEL(S): 21.96± ACRES  
NOTE: A FIELD SURVEY WAS PERFORMED ON 10/13/20. CALIFORNIA STATE PLANE COORDINATE ZONE 14 (NAD83 - GRID - U.S. SURVEY FEET)  
BASIS OF BEARINGS: NATIONAL GEODESIC SURVEY BENCHMARK  
PC: 028113  
PD: 028113  
ELEVATION: -51.64 (US SURVEY FEET)  
-15.72 (ICTDMS)  
BASED ON NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM OF 1988 (NAVD 88)  
AS SHOWN BY FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP NO. 17050C0101, FLOOD INSURANCE RATE DETERMINED TO BE OUTSIDE THE 0.2% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOODPLAIN.


LEGAL DESCRIPTION:  
SEE SHEET 3

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:  
SEE SHEET 3

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:  
SEE SHEET 3



VICINITY MAP  
I CERTIFY THAT I, TRENT J. KEENAN, AM A LICENSED PROFESSIONAL SURVEYOR IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, LICENSE NO. 8396, AND I AM THE SURVEYOR OF THIS SURVEY. THIS SURVEY WAS COMPLETED ON 10/13/20. THIS SURVEY IS BASED ON THE NATIONAL TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY'S (NTIC) SURVEY, U.S. AND GEOGRAPHIC NATIONAL TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY, AND TO THEIR SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS, THAT THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THIS MAP OR PLAN AND THE SURVEY ON WHICH IT IS BASED WERE MADE IN ACCORDANCE WITH MINIMUM STANDARD REQUIREMENTS FOR ALTA PLANS AND THE SURVEY WAS ONLY ESTABLISHED AND ADOPTED BY ME AND I AM THE SURVEYOR RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY THEREOF AS TO THE LOCATION AND AREA OF THE LAND DESCRIBED THEREON. I AM A LICENSED PROFESSIONAL SURVEYOR REGISTERED IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, LICENSE NO. 8396, AND I AM THE SURVEYOR OF THIS SURVEY. THIS SURVEY WAS COMPLETED ON 10/13/20.



EEC ORIGINAL PKG

SURVEY ANALYSIS



TOWERS, LLC  
1199 N. FAIRFAX STREET, #700  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

PROJECT INFORMATION:

CA070 IMPERIAL  
VALLEY COLLEGE  
APN: 044-510-007  
333 E. AVEN ROAD  
IMPERIAL COUNTY  
IMPERIAL, CA

CURRENT ISSUE DATE:  
**12/8/2020**

ISSUED FOR:  
**SURVEY**

REV.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY:

PLANS PREPARED BY:  
  
1140 WEST IMPERIAL BLVD. SUITE 230  
IMPERIAL, CA 92343  
TEL: 760.435.4242  
FAX: 760.435.4243  
WWW.INNOVATIVE-LAND-SERVICES.COM

DRAWN BY: CHC  
REC  
LICENSEURE



SHEET TITLE:  
**TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY  
LEASE AREA**

SHEET NUMBER:  
**02**

REVISION:  
**1**

CA070

Line Table		
Line #	Direction	Length
L6	S0°00'00"E	28.14'
L7	N90°00'00"W	60.00'
L8	N0°00'00"E	60.00'
L9	N90°00'00"E	60.00'
L10	S0°00'00"E	31.86'

Line Table		
Line #	Direction	Length
L1	N0°00'00"E	75.89'
L2	N90°00'00"W	61.15'
L3	S35°28'36"W	187.08'
L4	S0°00'00"E	117.24'
L5	N90°00'00"W	82.24'

APN: 044-510-007  
IMPERIAL COUNTY  
ZONED: GOVERNMENT/SPECIAL (G/S)  
COMMUNITY COLLEGE

80.00' IMPERIAL COUNTY  
ROADWAY EASEMENT  
OR 1104-688

PROPOSED 12' WIDE  
ACCESS EASEMENT  
POINT OF BEGINNING

50.00' IMPERIAL COUNTY  
ROADWAY EASEMENT  
BK. 48, PG. 74

**E. ATEN ROAD**

S89°36'08"W 1006.23'

N89°36'37"E 4564.71'

FD. 3" BC IN WELL  
TRACT 90 85  
67 68

POINT OF  
COMMENCEMENT  
PROPOSED 12' WIDE  
ACCESS EASEMENT

CENTERLINE CENTRAL  
MAIN DRAIN

UTILITY EASEMENT  
BK 1404-PG 50  
REC 7-19-77

APN: 044-230-014  
IMPERIAL COUNTY  
ZONED: GOVERNMENT/SPECIAL  
(G/S)

10.00' UTILITY EASEMENT  
BK 1699-PG 988  
REC. 5-14-1992

PROPOSED 12' WIDE  
ACCESS EASEMENT

PROPOSED  
TOWER  
LOCATION

S89°36'07"W 1719.79'

APN: 044-230-015  
ZONED: GENERAL  
AGRICULTURE ZONE (A-2)  
FARM LAND

POINT OF TERMINUS 12'  
ACCESS EASEMENT  
POINT OF BEGINNING  
PROPOSED LEASE AREA

EEC ORIGINAL PKG

TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY



PROJECT INFORMATION: CA070 IMPERIAL VALLEY COLLEGE

CURRENT ISSUE DATE: 12/8/2020

ISSUED FOR: SURVEY

Table with 2 columns: REV., DATE, DESCRIPTION. Row 1: 1, 12/8/2020, SURVEY

PLANS PREPARED BY: [Firm Name]

SCALE BY: CHK: [Signature]



SHEET TITLE: LEGAL DESCRIPTIONS & TITLE REPORT INFORMATION

SHEET NUMBER: C3, REVISION: 1, CA070

TITLE REPORT LEGAL DESCRIPTION

SITUATED IN THE COUNTY OF IMPERIAL, STATE OF CALIFORNIA... THAT PORTION OF THE NORTH ONE-HALF (N 1/2) OF TRACT 68, TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 14 EAST, S.E.M., ACCORDING TO THE PLAT OF RESURVEY APPROVED DECEMBER 22, 1900, AND FILED IN THE DISTRICT LAND OFFICE, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

PROPOSED 12' WIDE ACCESS EASEMENT LEGAL DESCRIPTION

BEING A PORTION OF THE TRACT PARCEL OF LAND CONVEYED TO THE COUNTY OF IMPERIAL, A POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, BY DEED OF RECORD, SITUATE IN THE NORTH HALF (N 1/2) OF TRACT 68, TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 14 EAST, S.E.M., ACCORDING TO THE PLAT OF RESURVEY APPROVED DECEMBER 22, 1900, AND FILED IN THE DISTRICT LAND OFFICE, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

TITLE REPORT LEGAL DESCRIPTION

SITUATED IN THE COUNTY OF IMPERIAL, STATE OF CALIFORNIA... THAT PORTION OF THE NORTH ONE-HALF (N 1/2) OF TRACT 68, TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 14 EAST, S.E.M., ACCORDING TO THE PLAT OF RESURVEY APPROVED DECEMBER 22, 1900, AND FILED IN THE DISTRICT LAND OFFICE, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

PROPOSED LEASE AREA LEGAL DESCRIPTION

BEING A PORTION OF THE TRACT PARCEL OF LAND CONVEYED TO THE COUNTY OF IMPERIAL, A POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, BY DEED OF RECORD, SITUATE IN THE NORTH HALF (N 1/2) OF TRACT 68, TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 14 EAST, S.E.M., ACCORDING TO THE PLAT OF RESURVEY APPROVED DECEMBER 22, 1900, AND FILED IN THE DISTRICT LAND OFFICE, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

TITLE REPORT LEGAL EXCEPTIONS

- 8. SUBJECT TO THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS SET FORTH IN THE DEED, RECORDED 10/14/1987, IN BOOK 1580, PAGE 486, OF THE IMPERIAL COUNTY RECORDS. - BLANKET IN MATRIUM - NOT PLATTED)
9. EASEMENT IN FAVOR OF IMPERIAL IRRIGATION DISTRICT, RECORDED 06/29/1982, IN BOOK 1702, PAGE 174, OF THE IMPERIAL COUNTY RECORDS. (CHECK IF NOT AFFECT PARCEL)
10. EASEMENT DEED IN FAVOR OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, RECORDED 05/06/2000, IN BOOK 2014, PAGE 441, OF THE IMPERIAL COUNTY RECORDS. THROUGH THE OPERATION OF TRANSFER, TO IMPERIAL IRRIGATION DISTRICT, RECORDED 03/07/2011, AS DOCUMENT NO. 2011-008942, OF THE IMPERIAL COUNTY RECORDS. - PLATTED)
11. JOINT USE AGREEMENT BY AND BETWEEN THE IMPERIAL IRRIGATION DISTRICT, AND THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, RECORDED 05/11/2008, AS DOCUMENT NO. 2008-008020, OF THE IMPERIAL COUNTY RECORDS. (CHECK IF NOT AFFECT PARCEL)
12. JOINT USE AGREEMENT BY AND BETWEEN THE IMPERIAL IRRIGATION DISTRICT, AND THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, RECORDED 05/11/2008, AS DOCUMENT NO. 2008-008020, OF THE IMPERIAL COUNTY RECORDS. (CHECK IF NOT AFFECT PARCEL)

EEC ORIGINAL PKG



THIS DOCUMENT IS THE PROPERTY OF INTELSITES, LLC. IT IS TO BE USED ONLY FOR THE PROJECT AND SITE SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFIED HEREIN. NO PART OF THIS DOCUMENT IS TO BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, ELECTRONIC OR MECHANICAL, INCLUDING PHOTOCOPYING, RECORDING, OR BY ANY INFORMATION STORAGE AND RETRIEVAL SYSTEM. ALL RIGHTS ARE RESERVED. THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED AND NOT SUBJECT TO E.O. 13526, WHICH RELATES TO INFORMATION THAT IS TO BE CONTROLLED BY THE ACQUISITION AUTHORITY. THE INFORMATION IS UNCLASSIFIED ON THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE.



INTELSITES, LLC  
 8677 ADELPHI AVE. SUITE 100  
 WESTLAKE, CA 91361

MORRISON HERSHFIELD  
 6700 N. GARDEN RD.  
 SUITE 200  
 SPARKLE, VA 24151  
 TEL: (202) 285-7370  
 WWW.MORRISONHERSHFIELD.COM


PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION

IMPERIAL VALLEY  
 CAO70  
 373 E. ATEN RD  
 IMPERIAL, CA 92251

NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/17/20	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
2	11/27/20	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
3	12/11/20	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
4	12/11/20	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
5	12/11/20	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
6	12/11/20	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
7	12/11/20	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
8	12/11/20	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
9	12/11/20	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
10	12/11/20	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
11	12/11/20	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
12	12/11/20	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
13	12/11/20	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
14	12/11/20	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
15	12/11/20	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
16	12/11/20	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
17	12/11/20	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
18	12/11/20	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
19	12/11/20	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
20	12/11/20	ISSUE FOR PERMITS

SHEET TITLE  
 ENLARGED PLAN

SHEET NUMBER  
 A-2



Know what's below.  
 Call before you dig.

ENLARGED PLAN 1

24" X 36" SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"  
 11" X 17" SCALE: 3/16" = 1'-0"  
 THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS SET OF CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS IS PRELIMINARY IN NATURE. ANY USE OR DISCLOSURE OTHER THAN THAT WHICH RELATES TO GARDNER BROTHERS IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED.

EEC ORIGINAL KG



IMPERIAL VALLEY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT  
 2000 UNIVERSITY AVENUE  
 IMPERIAL, CA 92541  
 PHONE: (760) 939-2000  
 FAX: (760) 939-2001  
 WWW: www.imperialvalleycc.edu

CLIENT



INTELLIGENT TOWER  
**Intelligites, LLC**  
 802 ARROYO JAIL STREET - LA JOLLA, CA 92037  
 PHONE: (619) 451-1000

MORRISON HERSFIELD  
 2000 UNIVERSITY AVENUE  
 IMPERIAL, CA 92541  
 Tel: (760) 939-2000  
 www.morrisonhershfield.com

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATE



PROJECT  
**IMPERIAL VALLEY**  
 CA070  
 373 E ATEN RD  
 IMPERIAL, CA 92251

NO.	DATE	REVISIONS
1	11/17/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
2	11/17/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
3	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
4	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
5	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
6	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
7	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
8	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
9	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
10	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
11	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
12	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
13	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
14	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
15	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
16	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
17	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
18	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
19	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
20	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
21	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
22	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
23	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
24	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
25	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
26	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
27	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
28	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
29	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
30	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
31	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
32	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
33	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
34	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
35	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
36	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
37	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
38	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
39	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
40	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
41	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
42	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
43	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
44	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
45	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
46	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
47	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
48	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
49	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
50	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
51	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
52	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
53	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
54	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
55	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
56	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
57	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
58	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
59	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
60	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
61	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
62	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
63	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
64	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
65	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
66	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
67	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
68	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
69	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
70	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
71	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
72	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
73	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
74	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
75	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
76	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
77	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
78	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
79	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
80	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
81	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
82	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
83	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
84	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
85	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
86	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
87	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
88	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
89	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
90	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
91	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
92	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
93	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
94	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
95	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
96	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
97	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
98	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
99	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
100	12/11/2015	ISSUE FOR PERMITS

SHEET TITLE  
 ELEVATIONS

SHEET NUMBER  
**A-3**

NOTES:  
 1. NO WORK SHALL COMMENCE WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF THE CITY ENGINEER. ALL PERMITS SHALL BE OBTAINED PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND FOR THE COST THEREOF. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PROTECTION OF ALL UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES TO REMAIN. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PROTECTION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES TO REMAIN.  
 2. REFER TO ENGINEER DRAWINGS FOR DESIGN/ENGINEERING OF TOWER AND ITS FOUNDATION (BY TOWER MANUFACTURER).



SOUTH ELEVATION | 1

EAST ELEVATION | 2

1" = 10'-0" SCALE  
 1" = 20'-0" SCALE  
 THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS SET OF CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS IS PREPARED BY AND FOR THE CLIENT. ANY USE OR DISSEMINATION OF THIS INFORMATION FOR OTHER THAN THAT WHICH RELATES TO GARNER SERVICES IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED.

EEC ORIGINAL DKG

**Attachment A: Requested Modifications to the  
Notice of Action**

**EEC ORIGINAL PKG**



November 3, 2021 – **REVISED 12/10/21**

Ms. Jeanine Ramos, Planner I  
Imperial County Planning & Development Services  
801 Main Street  
El Centro, CA 92243

**Re: InSite Towers Development 2, LLC Notice of Action (CUP # 21-0002)**

Dear Jeanine:

After reviewing the General & Site-Specific Conditions outlined in the Notice of Action for the above referenced project, the applicant would like to make a formal request to address the following five (5) minor modifications to amend the NOA as follows:

1. **Applicant Name Change:** The applicant is seeking an amendment to change the name of the applicant from InSite Towers Development 2, LLC to Infra Towers, LLC. Please find attached a letter that was jointly signed by InSite Towers and Infra Towers requesting that the application be transferred to Infra Towers, LLC. We also need all references of the applicant's name changed throughout the NOA where appropriate to "Infra Towers, LLC" and their address, which is 1800 Diagonal Rd., Suite 600, Alexandria, VA 22314.
2. **G18 Local Benefit Agreement:** Please find below the current G18 Local Benefit Agreement language contained in the Notice of Action and the applicant's proposed language that we have presented for IVECA's review and consideration on 12/10/21. The proposed revision does not change the intent of our agreement to provide space to the County free of charge but addresses some areas of concern as further explained below.

**CURRENT NOA LANGUAGE:**

5 **G18 LOCAL BENEFIT AGREEMENT:**  
6 Permitee has agreed to provide a local benefit per a negotiated agreement between the  
7 County and the permittee. The local benefit agreement allows the permittee to provide  
8 multiple antenna spaces and a guaranteed antenna height of fifty (50) feet on the proposed  
communication tower at no cost to Imperial County or IVECA.

**PROPOSED LANGUAGE:**

**G18 LOCAL BENEFIT AGREEMENT:**

***Permittee and Imperial County will enter into a to be negotiated agreement authorizing the County and/or the Imperial Valley Emergency Communications Authority (IVECA) to occupy certain antenna spaces on a space available basis on the proposed communication tower (other than at the one hundred twenty (120) to one hundred sixty (160) foot AGL (Above Ground Level) which is reserved for commercial use) at no cost to Imperial County or IVECA.***

**EEC ORIGINAL PKG**

The first sentence of the current language stating “per a negotiated agreement” could be construed to imply that the agreement has already been negotiated. The applicant is merely requesting a change that clarifies that the parties will enter into a “to be negotiated” agreement at a later date.

The second cause for concern with the current language is the reference to “multiple antenna spaces”, which the applicant felt was too vague and could be left open to interpretation since the County does not know exactly what they want to place on the tower at this time and at what height location on the tower. So, the applicant is requesting to revise this from “multiple antenna spaces” to “certain antenna spaces” since IVECA has expressed that the inclusion of space(s) adds definitive clarity since they employ multiple antennas at each site, which will likely continue.

IVECA has also removed the 50' antenna height request since the proposed tower, due to its location, could prove to be a very valuable asset in the future and so accordingly future technology specifications and needs will suggest height requirements. With that in mind, Infra has delineated to be “*(other than at the one hundred twenty (120) to one hundred sixty (160) foot AGL (Above Ground Level) which is reserved for commercial use)*” due to the fact that: (i) Infra needs to ensure that the tower remains structurally sound with full loading, and (ii) in order for Infra to incur the significant expense in constructing, maintaining, and operating the tower, we need to ensure that it continues to be viable for collocation by wireless carriers. That is, while Infra Towers is happy to offer tower space at no charge to the County, they need to ensure that there is also sufficient, viable space available for wireless carriers (e.g., paying customers) at the highest portion of the structure.

IVECA has indicated that procedurally, once satisfactory language has been achieved, the document will be reviewed by IVECA’s legal counsel and then voted on by the IVECA Board of Directors.

3. **Recitals – Page 2:** On the first line labeled “1”, the applicant is requesting the following be inserted after the first word “tower” as follows: “on terms acceptable to Permittee and each subsidiary user”.
4. **Indemnification Agreement:** Infra Towers has requested the insertion of a statement to the effect that “Infra Towers has the right, but not the obligation, to withdraw its application in the event of a third-party challenge”, which I had inserted within the attached as #3 and Infra’s legal counsel has signed. In short, Infra cannot commit to incur unlimited litigation expenses in defending the County if, in their judgment, they believe a third-party challenge to be viable/likely to succeed.
5. **Tower Design Revision:** The unexpected inclusion of the S11 Lighting Requirement contained within the NOA has imposed additional expense to our budget for the project, which we would like to mitigate by proposing to revise the tower design from a 4-legged oil tower to a 3-legged lattice tower, in addition to changing the 6’ CMU block wall surrounding the lease area to an 6’ tall decorative vinyl simulated wood fence painted a rustic brown. Please find enclosed our revised site plans and photo simulations for the new 3-legged design, which is less visually intrusive since the platforms have been removed and the design it is much slimmer in design with only 3 legs versus 4 legs.

EEC ORIGINAL PKG

6. **S1 Project Description:** I know when I originally spoke with you about this section, you explained that it is the County's preference to keep this section more general instead of spelling out all the specifics on the number of antennas, RRU's, etc. so it does not limit our use in the future for additional collocations. So, I hope the County will agree on Infra's suggested revision to the project description below:

***The applicant, Infra Towers, LLC, is proposing to construct and operate a collocation wireless telecommunications facility, 160-foot above ground level (AGL), including therewith the necessary ancillary antennas (including collocator antennas), equipment, shelter, and appurtenances.***

In the meantime, please feel free to call me at (702) 501-0882 if you have any questions or require further information to address the above requests.

Best Regards,



Debbie DePompei  
IntelliSites, LLC, Manager  
Representing Infra Towers, LLC & Insite Towers Development 2, LLC

Enclosures:

Applicant Name Change Request Letter Signed by Infra Towers / InSite Towers  
Indemnification Agreement  
Revised Site Plans  
Revised Photo Simulations

EEC ORIGINAL PKG



November 3, 2021

**VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS/  
ADVANCE COPY VIA FACSIMILE**

Imperial County Planning & Development Services  
Attn: Jeanine Ramos, Planner  
801 Main Street  
El Centro, CA 92243

jeanineramos@co.imperial.ca.us

**Re: Request for Applicant Name Change – CUP#21-0002/V#21-0001  
APN 044-230-014-000**

Dear Ms. Ramos:

This letter is sent pursuant to your recent discussions with Ms. Debbie DePompei of IntelliSites Development, LLC (“IntelliSites”) in connection with the Applicant Name Change pertaining to the above-referenced CUP and Variance Application. The Application was originally filed by IntelliSites on behalf of InSite Towers Development 2, LLC (“ISTD2”) on February 2, 2021. ITSD2 conveyed the **CA070 Imperial Valley Site Development Opportunity (“DSO”)** that is the subject of the Application and 141 other DSOs to **Infra Towers, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, with headquarters offices located at 1800 Diagonal Road, Suite 600, Alexandria, VA 22314** pursuant to the terms of that certain redacted Asset Purchase Agreement dated April 1, 2021, a redacted copy of which is enclosed (the “Transfer”).

As a result of the Transfer, it is necessary that the Name of the Applicant for CUP#21-0002/V#0001 be changed from InSite Towers Development 2, LLC to Infra Towers, LLC. Should you have any questions regarding this request, please don’t hesitate to contact Richard Palermo, Senior Attorney with American Tower Corporation, ITSD2’s parent company, (781) 926-4973, richard.palermo@americantower.com, or Roni D. Jackson, General Counsel of Infra Towers, LLC, (714) 396-1360, roni.jackson@infraholdingsllc.com.

Thank you very much for your attention to this important matter.

Very truly yours,

Richard P. Palermo, Esq.  
on behalf of InSite Towers Development 2, LLC

Roni D. Jackson, Esq.  
on behalf of Infra Towers, LLC

cc: Jurg Heuberger/The Imperial County Historical Society

INFRA TOWERS, LLC  
1800 Diagonal Road • Suite 600 • Alexandria, VA 22314 • (571) 366-1720

**EEC ORIGINAL PKG**



EXISTING



PROPOSED      LOOKING SOUTHWEST FROM ATEN ROAD

EEC ORIGINAL PKG



Towers, LLC

# IMPERIAL VALLEY CA070

373 E ATEN RD  
IMPERIAL, CA 92251

### PROPRIETARY INFORMATION

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS SET OF CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS IS PROPRIETARY BY NATURE AND IS THE PROPERTY OF INSTITE TOWERS, LLC. ANY REPRODUCTION OR TRANSMISSION OF THIS INFORMATION WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF INSTITE TOWERS, LLC IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED.

**InSite Towers, LLC**  
4441 E ATEN RD  
IMPERIAL, CA 92251  
TEL: (760) 288-7370  
WWW.INSTITUTEOWERS.COM

**Intelligites, LLC**  
1801 HERRING HILL STREET, SUITE 100, IMPERIAL, CA 92251  
TEL: (760) 288-7370  
WWW.INSTITUTEOWERS.COM


**MORRISON HERSHFELD**  
600 STEWART ST., SUITE 200  
ALBANY, CA 94706  
TEL: (925) 286-7170  
WWW.MORRISONHERSFELD.COM

### LEGAL DESCRIPTION

COMMENCES IN THE COUNTY OF IMPERIAL, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, TRACT 69, TOWNSHIP 12 SOUTH, RANGE 14 EAST, SECTION 10, T4S, R14E, S10E, AS APPROVED DECEMBER 27, 1906, AND FILED IN THE DISTRICT LAND OFFICE, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: BEGINNING AT THE NORTH-EAST CORNER OF SAID EAST 1/2 SECTION 10, T4S, R14E, S10E, AND PROCEEDING WEST ALONG THE EAST BOUNDARY OF SAID EAST 1/2 SECTION 10, T4S, R14E, S10E, TO A POINT ON THE EAST BOUNDARY OF SAID EAST 1/2 SECTION 10, T4S, R14E, S10E, BEING 701.86 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES 59 MINUTES 58 SECONDS EAST ALONG SAID EAST BOUNDARY OF SAID EAST 1/2 SECTION 10, T4S, R14E, S10E, TO A POINT ON THE EAST BOUNDARY OF SAID EAST 1/2 SECTION 10, T4S, R14E, S10E, BEING 989.42 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF SAID EAST 1/2 SECTION 10, T4S, R14E, S10E, BEING 470 SECONDS EAST 1008.74 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

### DRAWING INDEX

SHEET	DESCRIPTION
T-1	TITLE SHEET
C1	SITE SURVEY
C2	SITE SURVEY
C3	SITE SURVEY
A-1	SITE PLAN
A-2	ENLARGED PLAN
A-3	ELEVATIONS



### PROJECT INFORMATION

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**  
INSTITE TOWERS, LLC PROPOSES TO CONSTRUCT A MULTI-CARRIER, UNSELECTED BAND TELECOMMUNICATION FACILITY CONSISTING OF A 160' SELF-SUPPORT TOWER WITHIN A 60'x40' FENCED COMPOUND.

**PROPERTY OWNER:**  
IMPERIAL COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

**TOWER OWNER:**  
INSTITE TOWERS, LLC  
600 STEWART ST., SUITE 200  
ALBANY, CA 94706  
TEL: (925) 286-7170

**SITE INFORMATION:**  
JURISDICTION: IMPERIAL COUNTY  
ZONING CLASSIFICATION: GOVERNMENT/SPECIAL (G/S)  
CONSTRUCTION TYPE: VEH

**OCCUPANCY (U) UTILITY**

**CURRENT USE: MUSEUM**

**PROPOSED USE: TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY**

**PARCEL SIZE: 21.966 ACRES**

**LEASE AREA: 6,800 SF**

**PARCEL NUMBER(S):**  
044-230-014

**SITE LOCATION:**  
LATITUDE: 32° 25.187' N  
LONGITUDE: 115° 07' 23.3284" W  
ELEVATION: 14.107' AMSL

**BUILDING CODE COMPLIANCE:**  
ALL WORK AND MATERIALS SHALL BE PERFORMED AND SUPPLIED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LATEST EDITIONS OF THE FOLLOWING CODES AS ADOPTED BY THE LOCAL GOVERNING AUTHORITIES, NOTHING IN THIS CONTRACT SHALL BE CONSIDERED TO PREVENT WORK NOT CONFORMING TO THESE CODES: CALIFORNIA STATE AND LOCAL BUILDING CODES WITH THE FOLLOWING REFERENCE CODE:  
2019 CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE (CBC)  
2019 CALIFORNIA PLUMBING CODE (CPC)  
2019 CALIFORNIA FIRE CODE (FC)  
2019 CALIFORNIA ELECTRICAL CODE (CEC)

**GENERAL INFORMATION:**  
1. PERMITS REQUIRED: ARE UNOBTAINED.  
2. PERMITS IS UNAFFECTED.

### PROJECT TEAM

**ASB CONTACT:**  
ASB CONTACT  
600 STEWART ST., SUITE 200  
ALBANY, CA 94706  
PH: (925) 286-7370  
INSTITE@INSTITUTEOWERS.COM

**PROFESSIONAL OF RECORD:**  
MORRISON HERSHFELD  
600 STEWART ST., SUITE 200  
ALBANY, CA 94706  
PH: (925) 286-7170  
WWW.MORRISONHERSFELD.COM

### DRIVING DIRECTIONS

FROM SAN DIEGO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT: TAKE HIGHWAY 94 SOUTH, AT EXIT 159, TAKE RAMP RIGHT AND FOLLOW SIGNS FOR I-8 SOUTH. AT EXIT 188, TAKE RAMP RIGHT AND FOLLOW SIGNS FOR I-8 EAST. AT EXIT 188, ON E ATEN RD, ARRIVE AT E ATEN RD.

FROM SAN DIEGO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT: TAKE HIGHWAY 94 SOUTH, AT EXIT 159, TAKE RAMP RIGHT AND FOLLOW SIGNS FOR I-8 EAST. AT EXIT 188, TAKE RAMP RIGHT AND FOLLOW SIGNS FOR I-8 EAST. AT EXIT 188, ON E ATEN RD, ARRIVE AT E ATEN RD.

### ABBREVIATIONS

A/C	AIR CONDITIONING	PLWD	PLYWOOD
APR	APPROXIMATE	PROP	PROPERTY
B/C	BLOCKING	PT	PRESSURE TREATED
B/L	BUILDING	R/O	ROOM
B/S	BUILDING	R/S	ROOM
C/C	CLEAR	SHT	SHEATH
CL	CLEAR	SPC	SPRINKLER
CLG	CLEAR	SS	STAINLESS STEEL
CON	CONSTRUCTION	STR	STRUCTURAL
CONT	CONTINUOUS	STD	STANDARD
DBL	DOUBLE	TH	THICK
D/S	DIAGONAL	TYP	TYPICAL
DET	DETAIL	UND	UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE
DWG	DRAWING	VER	VERTICAL
EN	EACH	VF	VERY FINE
EV	ELECTRICAL	W	WITH
ELC	ELECTRICAL	W/O	WITHOUT
EQP	EQUIPMENT	W/P	WITH PROOF
EXT	EXTERIOR		
FIN	FINISH		
FLOOR	FLOOR		
FLP	FLOOR		
FT	FOOT		
CAV	CALIFORNIA		
CC	CONCRETE		
CON	CONCRETE		
CON	CONCRETE		

### REVISIONS

NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	11/17/2018	ISSUE FOR REVIEW
2	12/14/2018	ISSUE FOR REVIEW
3	11/17/2018	ISSUE FOR REVIEW

LIFE PROJECT NO.: 00000000  
DRAWING NO.: 00000000  
SHEET NO.: 00000000

SHEET TITLE  
TITLE SHEET

SHEET NUMBER  
T-1

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS SET OF CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS IS PROPRIETARY BY NATURE. ANY USE OR DISCLOSURE OTHER THAN THAT WHICH RELATES TO CURRENT SERVICES IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED.





PROJECT INFORMATION:  
**CA070 IMPERIAL VALLEY COLLEGE**  
 APN: 044-230-014  
 373 E. ATEN ROAD  
 IMPERIAL COUNTY

CURRENT ISSUE DATE:  
**12/8/2020**

ISSUED FOR:  
**SURVEY**

REV.	DATE	DESCRIPTION

PLANS PREPARED BY:  

 TRENT J. KEENAN  
 Exp. 3/31/2022

DRAWN BY: CHG  
 SCALE: AS SHOWN



SHEET TITLE:  
**SURVEY ANALYSIS & PARCEL INFORMATION**

SHEET NUMBER: **C11**  
 REVISION: CA070

SITE NAME / NUMBER: CA070 IMPERIAL VALLEY COLLEGE  
 SITE ADDRESS: 373 E. ATEN ROAD, IMPERIAL COUNTY, CALIFORNIA  
 OWNER'S NAME / ADDRESS: MUSEUM  
 PRESENT USE: ASSESSOR PARCEL NO(S): 044-230-014  
 NET AREA OF PARCELS: 21.96± ACRES  
 DATE OF SURVEY: 10/13/2020  
 BASIS OF BEARINGS: CALIFORNIA STATE PLANE COORDINATES, ZONE 14  
 NATIONAL GEODESIC SURVEY BENCHMARK  
 BENCHMARK: 102823  
 PROJECTIONS: NAD83  
 ELEVATION: -51.84 (US SURVEY FEET)  
 -15.72 (METERS)  
 BASED ON NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM OF 1988 (NAVD 88).  
 AS SHOWN BY FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP NO. 17010C0101, UNSHADED ZONE X, AREA DETERMINED TO BE OUTSIDE THE 0.2% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOODPLAIN.


FLOOD NOTE:  
 LEGAL DESCRIPTION: SEE SHEET 3

PARCEL INFORMATION  
 CONTIGUOUS: \_\_\_\_\_  
 RIGHT-OF-WAY: \_\_\_\_\_  
 SECTION LINE: \_\_\_\_\_  
 PROPERTY LINE: \_\_\_\_\_  
 ADJACENT LINE: \_\_\_\_\_  
 FOUND MONUMENT AS NOTED  
 OFFICIAL RECORD NUMBER

LEGEND  
 (Symbol) FOUND MONUMENT AS NOTED  
 (Symbol) OFFICIAL RECORD NUMBER



VICINITY MAP  
 CHERITY TOWERS, LLC AND OLD BERRIES NATIONAL TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY, AND TO THEIR SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS, THAT:  
 THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THIS MAP OR PLAN AND THE SURVEY ON WHICH IT IS BASED WERE MADE IN ACCORDANCE WITH "MINIMUM STANDARD DETAIL REQUIREMENTS FOR ALTA'S LAND TITLE SURVEYS," JOINTLY ESTABLISHED AND ADOPTED BY THE NATIONAL BOARD OF SURVEYORS AND ENGINEERS, INC. AND THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF PUBLIC SURVEYORS, REGISTERED IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THE RELATIVE POSITIONAL ACCURACY OF WHICH IS AS SPECIFIED THEREIN.  
 THIS SURVEY WAS COMPLETED ON 10/13/2020.



EEC ORIGINAL PKG



TOWERS, LLC  
1196 N. FAIRAX STREET #700  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

PROJECT INFORMATION:  
CA070 IMPERIAL  
VALLEY COLLEGE

APN: 044-510-007  
IMPERIAL COUNTY  
COMMUNITY COLLEGE

APN: 044-230-014  
373 E. ATEN ROAD  
IMPERIAL COUNTY

CURRENT ISSUE DATE:  
12/8/2020

ISSUED FOR:  
SURVEY

REV.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY


PLANS PREPARED BY:  
**JamesonFarrack**  
4400 HWY 99, SUITE 400  
IMPERIAL, CA 92249  
TEL: 760-331-8100  
FAX: 760-331-8102

DRAWN BY: DJK  
REC: [ ]  
L: [ ]  
SCALE: [ ]



SHEET TITLE:  
TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY  
LEASE AREA

SHEET NUMBER:  
02  
REVISION:  
1  
CA070



Line #	Direction	Length
1.6	S0700'00"E	28.14'
1.7	N90700'00"W	60.00'
1.8	N0700'00"E	60.00'
1.9	N90700'00"E	60.00'
1.10	S0700'00"E	31.86'

Line #	Direction	Length
L1	N0700'00"E	75.89'
L2	N90700'00"W	61.15'
L3	S36°26'36"W	187.08'
L4	S0700'00"E	117.24'
L5	N90700'00"W	82.24'

FD. 3" BC IN WELL  
TRACT  
90 85  
67 68

POINT OF COMMENCEMENT  
PROPOSED 12' WIDE  
ACCESS EASEMENT

EEC ORIGINAL PKG

TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY



1199 N. FARFAX STREET #700  
ALBANY, VA 23114

PROJECT INFORMATION:

CA070 IMPERIAL  
VALLEY COLLEGE  
APN: 044-230-014  
373 E. ATEN ROAD  
IMPERIAL COUNTY,  
CALIFORNIA

12/8/2020  
CURRENT ISSUE DATE:

SURVEY  
ISSUED FOR:

REV.: DATE: DESCRIPTION: BY:

Table with 4 columns: REV., DATE, DESCRIPTION, BY. The table is currently empty.

PLANS PREPARED BY:



DRAWN BY: CHK. BY: APPV. BY:  
RSC - - - - -

LICENSE NUMBER:



SHEET TITLE:

LEGAL DESCRIPTIONS  
& TITLE REPORT  
INFORMATION

SHEET NUMBER: 03  
REVISION: 1  
CA070

TITLE REPORT LEGAL DESCRIPTION

SITUATED IN THE COUNTY OF IMPERIAL, STATE OF CALIFORNIA:  
THAT PORTION OF THE NORTH ONE-HALF (1/2) OF TRACT 88, TOWNSHIP 15  
SOUTH, RANGE 14 EAST, S.E.1/4, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT OF RESUBDIVISION  
APPROVED DECEMBER 22, 1988, AND FILED IN THE DISTRICT LAND OFFICE,  
DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:  
BEGINNING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID TRACT, THENCE SOUTH 0  
MINUTES 40 SECONDS WEST 1718.77 FEET TO A POINT ON THE EAST  
RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF THE DRAINAGE DITCH KNOWN AS CENTRAL DRAIN;  
THENCE SOUTH 00 DEGREES 00 MINUTES 00 SECONDS WEST 1718.77 FEET TO A  
POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF TRACT 88; THENCE NORTH 69 DEGREES 59  
MINUTES 40 SECONDS EAST 1063.72 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.  
TAX ID: 044-230-014  
BEING THE SAME PROPERTY COMVECTED TO THE COUNTY OF IMPERIAL, A  
POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, BEING FROM THE  
PLAT OF RESUBDIVISION OF TRACT 88, TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 14 EAST,  
10/11/1987, IN BOOK 1584, PAGE 484, OF THE IMPERIAL COUNTY RECORDS,  
RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

TITLE REPORT LEGAL DESCRIPTION

BEING A PORTION OF THE TRACT PARCEL OF LAND COMVECTED TO THE COUNTY  
OF IMPERIAL, A POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, BY DEED  
RECORDED 10/11/1987, IN BOOK 1584, PAGE 484, OF THE IMPERIAL COUNTY  
RECORDS, BEING FROM THE PLAT OF RESUBDIVISION OF TRACT 88, TOWNSHIP 15  
SOUTH, RANGE 14 EAST, S.E.1/4, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT OF RESUBDIVISION  
APPROVED DECEMBER 22, 1988, AND FILED IN THE DISTRICT LAND OFFICE,  
DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:  
BEING A STRIP OF LAND, 12.00 FEET WIDE, 6.00 FEET TO EACH SIDE OF THE  
FOLLOWING DESCRIBED CENTERLINE:  
BEGINNING AT A POINT 31' BEARS 68° 00' 00" N. WELL REPRESENTING THE  
NORTHEAST CORNER OF TRACT 88 ACCORDING TO THE PLAT OF RESUBDIVISION  
APPROVED DECEMBER 22, 1988, THENCE ALONG THE NORTHERLY LINE OF SAID  
POINT OF BEGINNING, THENCE DEPARTING SAID NORTHERLY  
LINE, SOUTH 00°00'00" WEST, 874.33 FEET, THENCE  
NORTH 00°00'00" EAST, 1642.7 FEET, THENCE  
NORTH 80°00'00" WEST, 238.84 FEET, THENCE  
SOUTH 00°00'00" WEST, 187.08 FEET, THENCE  
NORTH 80°00'00" WEST, 81.15 FEET, THENCE  
SOUTH 00°00'00" WEST, 77.44 FEET, THENCE  
NORTH 80°00'00" WEST, 82.24 FEET TO THE POINT OF TERMINUS.  
CONTAINING 24.93 SQUARE FEET, MORE OR LESS.

TITLE REPORT LEGAL DESCRIPTION

BEING A PORTION OF THE TRACT PARCEL OF LAND COMVECTED TO THE COUNTY  
OF IMPERIAL, A POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, BY DEED  
RECORDED 10/11/1987, IN BOOK 1584, PAGE 484, OF THE IMPERIAL COUNTY  
RECORDS, BEING FROM THE PLAT OF RESUBDIVISION OF TRACT 88, TOWNSHIP 15  
SOUTH, RANGE 14 EAST, S.E.1/4, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT OF RESUBDIVISION  
APPROVED DECEMBER 22, 1988, AND FILED IN THE DISTRICT LAND OFFICE,  
DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:  
BEGINNING AT THE ABOVE MENTIONED POINT OF TERMINUS, THENCE  
SOUTH 00°00'00" EAST, 28.14 FEET, THENCE  
NORTH 80°00'00" WEST, 80.00 FEET, THENCE  
NORTH 80°00'00" EAST, 80.00 FEET, THENCE SOUTH 00°00'00" EAST, 31.96 FEET  
TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.  
CONTAINING 3,000 SQUARE FEET, MORE OR LESS.

TITLE REPORT LEGAL EXCEPTIONS

- 9. SUBJECT TO THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS SET FORTH IN THE DEED,  
RECORDED 10/11/1987, IN BOOK 1584, PAGE 484, OF THE IMPERIAL COUNTY  
RECORDS.  
(APPROVED PARCELS - BLANKET IN MARIANA - NOT PLATTED)
- 10. EASEMENT IN FAVOR OF IMPERIAL IRRIGATION DISTRICT, RECORDED  
06/28/1982, IN BOOK 1302, PAGE 1745, OF THE IMPERIAL COUNTY RECORDS.  
(DEED NOT APPLICABLE)
- 11. EASEMENT DEED IN FAVOR OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, RECORDED  
05/09/2000, IN BOOK 2074, PAGE 441, OF THE IMPERIAL COUNTY RECORDS.  
(DEED NOT APPLICABLE)
- 12. EASEMENT DEED IN FAVOR OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, RECORDED  
02/02/2011, AS DOCUMENT NO. 2011-006842, OF THE IMPERIAL  
COUNTY RECORDS.  
(APPROVED PARCELS - PLATTED)
- 13. EASEMENT IN FAVOR OF IMPERIAL IRRIGATION DISTRICT, RECORDED  
2009-01-03-01, IN BOOK 2009, PAGE 1584, OF THE IMPERIAL COUNTY RECORDS.  
(APPROVED PARCELS - PLATTED)
- 14. EASEMENT IN FAVOR OF IMPERIAL IRRIGATION DISTRICT, RECORDED  
2009-01-03-01, IN BOOK 2009, PAGE 1584, AS DOCUMENT NO.  
(APPROVED PARCELS - PLATTED)

EEC ORIGINAL PKG

TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY

- NOTES:
- THIS IS NOT A SURVEY. SITE PLAN IS A DIAGRAMMATIC REPRESENTATION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT. VERIFY ALL PROPERTY LINE INFORMATION WITH EXISTING SURVEY DATA.
  - VERIFY ALL PROPERTY LINE INFORMATION WITH EXISTING SURVEY DATA.

**PROPERTY INFO**  
 APN: 044-230-014  
 OWNER: IMPERIAL COUNTY, CALIFORNIA  
 LEGAL DESCRIPTION:  
 SITUATED IN THE COUNTY OF IMPERIAL, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THAT PORTION OF THE NORTH ONE-HALF (1/2) OF TRACT 89, TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 18 EAST, SECTION 34, T15S15R18E, AS SHOWN ON A PLAT DATED DECEMBER 22, 1928, AND FILED IN THE DISTRICT LAND OFFICE, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:  
 BEGINNING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID TRACT, THENCE SOUTH 0 DEGREES 13 MINUTES EAST 70.89 FEET, THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES 59 MINUTES 40 SECONDS WEST 100.00 FEET TO AN IRON PIN, THENCE NORTH 45 DEGREES 01' SECONDS EAST 99.42 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF TRACT 89, THENCE NORTH 45 DEGREES 01' SECONDS EAST 100.52 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

TAX ID: 044-230-014

BEARS THE SAME PROBATIONARY CONVEYANCE TO THE COUNTY OF IMPERIAL, A POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, GRANTED FROM THE IMPERIAL COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT, GRANTOR, BY DEED RECORDED 10/14/1987, IN BOOK 1590, PAGE 488, OF THE IMPERIAL COUNTY RECORDS.

CLIENT:  
**Inste Towers, LLC**

IMPLICATION TEAM:  
**Intellistites, LLC**  
 802 AMERICAL STREET, JAS VEGAL, W 8011  
 FORTY, FORTYBOND

A/E TEAM:  
**MPI**  
 MORRISON HERSHFIELD  
 500 MARKET STREET, SUITE 200  
 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101  
 Tel: (619) 268-7370  
 www.morrisonherfield.com


PROJECT:  
**IMPERIAL VALLEY**  
 CA070  
 373 E ATEEN RD  
 IMPERIAL, CA 92251

NO.	DATE	REVISIONS
1	11/17/2011	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
2	11/17/2011	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
3	11/17/2011	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
4	11/17/2011	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
5	11/17/2011	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
6	11/17/2011	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
7	11/17/2011	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
8	11/17/2011	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
9	11/17/2011	ISSUE FOR PERMITS
10	11/17/2011	ISSUE FOR PERMITS

POSTED: 11/17/2011

SHEET TITLE:  
 SITE PLAN

SHEET NUMBER:  
 A-1



Know what's below.  
 Call before you dig.

SITE PLAN I

24"x36" SCALE: 1" = 100'-0"  
 11"x17" SCALE: 1/4" = 10'-0"  
 THE INFORMATION SHOWN IN THIS SET OF CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS IS PROPRIETARY BY NATURE. ANY USE OR DISCLOSURE OTHER THAN THAT WHICH RELATES TO CHIEFER SERVICES IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED.

REC ORIGINAL PKG

FOR THE CLIENT'S USE ONLY. THIS DOCUMENT IS THE PROPERTY OF THE CLIENT AND IS NOT TO BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, ELECTRONIC OR MECHANICAL, INCLUDING PHOTOCOPYING, RECORDING, OR BY ANY INFORMATION STORAGE AND RETRIEVAL SYSTEM. ANY UNAUTHORIZED REPRODUCTION OR TRANSMISSION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. THE CLIENT'S USE OF THIS DOCUMENT IS LIMITED TO THE PROJECT AND SITE SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFIED HEREIN. ANY OTHER USE OF THIS DOCUMENT IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. THE CLIENT'S USE OF THIS DOCUMENT IS LIMITED TO THE PROJECT AND SITE SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFIED HEREIN. ANY OTHER USE OF THIS DOCUMENT IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED.



**Intellisites, LLC**  
 IMPLEMENTATION TEAM  
 10000 W. CENTRAL EXPRESSWAY, SUITE 100  
 FORT WORTH, TEXAS 76155  
 PHONE: (817) 291-0000

**MORRISON HERSHFELD**  
 AEC TEAM  
 500 SOUTH MAIN STREET, SUITE 200  
 SALEM, VA 24151  
 TEL: (804) 268-7370  
 WWW.MORRISONHERSFELD.COM


PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

PROJECT: **IMPERIAL VALLEY**  
 CA070  
 373 E ATEEN RD  
 IMPERIAL, CA 92251

REV	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	11/17/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
2	11/17/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
3	11/17/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
4	11/17/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
5	11/17/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
6	11/17/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
7	11/17/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
8	11/17/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
9	11/17/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
10	11/17/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT

SHEET TITLE  
 ENLARGED PLAN

SHEET NUMBER  
 A-2



Know what's below.  
 Call before you dig.

ENLARGED PLAN |

EEC ORIGINAL PKG

24" X 36" SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"  
 11 1/2" X 17" SCALE: 1/16" = 1'-0"

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS SET OF CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS IS PROPRIETARY TO INSTITUTE. ANY USE OR DISCLOSURE OTHER THAN THAT WHICH RELATES TO CARRIER SERVICES IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED.

NO SMALL BUSINESS CONTRACTORS INTERFERE WITH OR HINDER THE PROGRESS OF THE PROJECT OR THE WORK OF THE ARCHITECT. ALL REVISIONS TO THE DRAWINGS SHALL BE MADE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REVISIONS SHEET. THE ARCHITECT SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT. THE ARCHITECT SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT.



INTELLIGENT TOWER  
**Intellites, LLC**  
 802 ARROYO GALLE STREET, LAGUNA BEACH, CA 92653  
 PHONE: 714.261.1000  
 FAX: 714.261.1001  
 www.intellites.com

**MORRISON HERSHFELD**  
 802 ARROYO GALLE STREET, LAGUNA BEACH, CA 92653  
 TEL: (714) 261-1000  
 www.morrisonhersfeld.com


PROJECT LOCATION

**IMPERIAL VALLEY**  
 CA070  
 373 E. ATEN RD  
 IMPERIAL, CA 92251


NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	11/17/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
2	12/12/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
3	12/12/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
4	12/12/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
5	12/12/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
6	12/12/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
7	12/12/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
8	12/12/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
9	12/12/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
10	12/12/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
11	12/12/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
12	12/12/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
13	12/12/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
14	12/12/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
15	12/12/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
16	12/12/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
17	12/12/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
18	12/12/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
19	12/12/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
20	12/12/17	ISSUE FOR PERMIT

SHEET TITLE  
 ELEVATIONS

SHEET NUMBER  
**A-3**



24"x36" SCALE: 1" = 10'-0"  
 11"x17" SCALE: 1" = 20'-0"  
 SOUTH ELEVATION | 1



24"x36" SCALE: 1" = 10'-0"  
 11"x17" SCALE: 1" = 20'-0"  
 EAST ELEVATION | 2

EEC ORIGINAL .PKG

REVISED 12/9/2021

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Recorded Requested By and  
When Recorded Return To:  
Imperial County Planning & Development Services  
801 Main Street  
El Centro California 92243

**AGREEMENT FOR CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT CUP #21-0002**  
(Wireless Telecommunications Tower)  
(044-230-014-000)

(Approved at Planning Commission \_\_\_\_\_)

This Agreement is made and entered into on this 1600 Diagonal Rd., day of 600 2021 by and between ~~InSite Towers Development 2, LLC (1199 N. Fairfax St., Suite 700, Alexandria, VA 22314)~~ <sup>Infra Towers,</sup> hereinafter referred to as Permittee, and the COUNTY OF IMPERIAL, a political subdivision of the State of California, (hereinafter referred to as "COUNTY").

**RECITALS**

**WHEREAS**, Permittee is the owner, and/or operator, and/or successor in interest in certain land in Imperial County identified as Assessor's Parcel Number 044-230-014-000, and further identified by the following legal description: as north one-half of Tract 69, Township 15 South, Range 14 East, S.B.B.&M., in an unincorporated area of the County of Imperial, and;

**WHEREAS**, Permittee, and/or any subsequent owner(s) would be required to and intend to fully comply with all of the terms and conditions of the project as specified in this Conditional Use Permit (CUP). In the event of a conflict between the attached CUP Agreement and conditions, these conditions govern; and

**WHEREAS**, Permittee has requested a permit to construct and operate a wireless telecommunications facility, 160-foot above ground level "AGL" as a co-locatable tower, including therewith the necessary ancillary antennas, equipment, shelter and appurtenances; and

**WHEREAS**, Permittee will not operate any type of use other than specified herein and within the application; and

**WHEREAS**, Permittee intends to operate the tower for its own use, Permittee shall at some future date allow another "compatible" use communication, or electronic transmission operator (hereinafter referred to as a "subsidiary user"), to use the same

on terms acceptable to Permittee and each subsidiary user,

1 tower, thereby minimizing the number of towers required within the confines of the  
2 County; and

3 **WHEREAS**, the County encourages multiple use (co-locators) of such towers to the  
4 extent that sharing of towers is compatible in use, frequency and meets applicable  
5 regulatory standards of all permitting jurisdictions; and

6 **WHEREAS**, though the sharing of tower space is physically possible, it is recognized  
7 that additional structural considerations must be addressed and if applicable, permitted  
8 by the Building Division of the Imperial County Planning and Development Services  
9 Department, to assure that the tower is structurally adequate.

10 **NOW THEREFORE**, the County hereby issues CUP #21-0002/ subject to all of the  
11 following conditions.

12 **GENERAL CONDITIONS:**

13 *The "GENERAL CONDITIONS" are shown by the letter "G". These conditions are conditions that are either routinely  
14 and commonly included in all Conditional Use permits as "standardized" conditions and/or are conditions that the  
15 Imperial County Planning Commission has established as a requirement on all CUP's for consistent application and  
enforcement. The Permittee is advised that the General Conditions are as applicable as the SITE SPECIFIC  
conditions!*

16 **G1 COSTS:**

17 Permittee shall pay any and all amounts as determined by the County to defray all costs  
18 for the review of reports, field inspections, enforcement, monitoring, or other activities  
19 related to compliance with this permit, County Ordinances, and/or other laws that apply.  
20 Any billing against this project, now or in the future, by the Planning and Development  
Services Department or any County Department for costs incurred as a result of this permit,  
shall be billed through the Planning and Development Services Department.

21 **G2 AUDIT OF BILLS:**

22 Permittee shall have the right to have any bill audited for clarification or correction. In the  
23 event Permittee request an audit or an explanation of any bill, it shall be in writing to the  
24 Planning and Development Services Department. Permittee shall bring the account current  
25 including any amount due under a "disputed" billing statement, before any audit is  
performed. If the amount disputed is the result of a Department other than the Planning and  
Development Services Department the explanation or audit shall be performed by said  
Department and a report provided to both the Permittee and the Planning and Development  
Services Department.

26 **G3 PERMITS/LICENSES:**

27 The Permittee shall obtain any and all local, state, and/or federal permits, licenses,  
28 contracts, and/or other approvals for the construction and/or operation of this project. This



1 shall include, but not be limited to Health, Building, Sanitation, APCD, Public Works, Sheriff,  
2 Regional Water Quality Control Board, Offices of Emergency Services, Division of Mines  
3 and Geology, etc. Permittee shall like-wise comply with all such permit requirements for  
4 the life of the project. Additionally, Permittee shall submit a copy of any such additional  
5 permit, license and/or approval to the Planning Department within 30 days of receipt.

6 **G4 RECORDATION:**

7 This permit shall not be effective until it is recorded at the Imperial County Recorder's  
8 Office, and payment of the recordation fee shall be the responsibility of the Permittee. If  
9 the Permittee fails to pay the recordation fee within six (6) months from the date of approval,  
10 and/or this permit is not recorded within 180 days from the date of approval, this permit  
11 shall be deemed null and void, without notice having to be provided to Permittee. Permittee  
12 may request a written extension by filing such a request with the Planning Director at least  
13 30 days prior to the original 180 day expiration. The Director may approve an extension for  
14 a period not to exceed 180 days. An extension may not be granted if the request for an  
15 extension is filed after the expiration date.

16 **G5 COMPLIANCE/REVOCAION:**

17 Upon the determination by the Planning and Development Services Department, (if  
18 necessary upon consultation with other Departments or Agency(ies)) that the project is or  
19 may not be in full compliance with any one or all of the conditions of this Conditional Use  
20 Permit, or upon the finding that the project is creating a nuisance as defined by law, the  
21 PERMIT and the noted violation(s) shall be brought immediately to the attention of the  
22 appropriate enforcement agency or to the Planning Commission for hearing to consider  
23 appropriate response including but not limited to the revocation of the CUP or to consider  
24 possible amendments to the CUP. The hearing before the Planning Commission shall be  
25 held upon due notice having been provided to the Permittee and to the public in accordance  
26 with established ordinance/policy. In the event the action by the County is necessitated by  
27 the actions or lack thereof of a subsidiary user of the tower, all action by the County shall  
28 be taken against the permittee as if the permittee had or was causing the violation. The  
29 County shall not be obligated to deal with any subsidiary user of the facility.

30 **G6 PROVISION TO RUN WITH LAND:**

31 The provisions of this project are to run with the land/project and shall bind the current and  
32 future owner(s) successor(s) of interest, assignee(s) and/or transferee(s) of said project.  
33 Permittee shall not without prior notification to the Planning and Development Services  
34 Department assign, sell, or transfer, or grant control of project or any right or privilege  
35 therein. The Permittee shall provide a minimum of 60 days written notice prior to such  
36 proposed transfer becoming effective. The permitted use identified herein is limited for use  
37 upon this parcel described herein and may not be transferred to another parcel. This shall  
38 likewise be applicable if the transfer is between the primary and a subsidiary user.

39 **G7 RIGHT OF ENTRY:**

40 *The County reserves the right to enter the premises to make the appropriate inspection(s)*  
41 *and to determine if the condition(s) of this permit are complied with. Access to authorized*  
42 *enforcement agency personnel shall not be denied, by the landowner, the permittee or a*  
43 *subsidiary user. The County will contact the person designated by the Permittee to request*  
44 *access to the facility. The request shall be approved within (72) seventy-two hours after*  
45 *request.*

1 **G8 TIME LIMIT:**

2 Unless otherwise specified within the project specific conditions this project shall be limited  
3 to a maximum of (10) ten years from the recordation date of the CUP. The Conditional Use  
4 Permit may be extended for a single (5) five year period by the Imperial County Planning &  
5 Development Services Director. The CUP may be revoked or the extension may not be  
6 granted if the project is in violation of any one or all of the conditions or if there is a history  
7 of non-compliance with the project conditions.

8 **G9 DEFINITIONS:**

9 In the event of a dispute the meaning(s) or the intent of any word(s) phrase(s) and/or  
10 conditions or sections herein shall be determined by the Planning Commission of the  
11 County of Imperial. Their determination shall be final unless an appeal is made to the Board  
12 of Supervisors within the required time. In this permit the term Permittee may also apply to  
13 any other facility user whether specified by name herein or not. To the extent that this tower  
14 may be used by more than one service provider other than the applicant (permittee), all of  
15 the conditions of this permit shall be equally applicable to the other "user(s)" as if they were  
16 the "permittee".

17 **G10 SPECIFICITY:**

18 The issuance of this permit does not authorize the Permittee to construct or operate this  
19 project in violation of any state, federal, local law nor beyond the specified boundaries of  
20 the project as shown the application/project description/permit, nor shall this permit allow  
21 any accessory or ancillary use not specified herein. This permit does not provide any  
22 prescriptive right or use to the Permittee for future addition and/or modification to this  
23 project. The site specific use authorized by this permit is listed under the SITE SPECIFIC  
24 ("S") conditions, and only the use or uses listed shall be deemed as approved by this permit.  
25 The Permittee's application and or any support documents supplied by Permittee as part  
26 of the application shall not be used to determine allowed use(s).

27 **G11 HEALTH HAZARD:**

28 If the County Health Officer determines that a significant health hazard exists to the public,  
the County Health Officer may require appropriate measures and the Permittee shall  
implement such measures to mitigate the health hazard. If the hazard to the public is  
determined to be imminent, such measures may be imposed immediately and may include  
temporary suspension of the subject operations. However, within 45 days of any such  
suspension of operations, the measures imposed by the County Health Officer must be  
submitted to the Planning Commission for review and approval. Nothing shall prohibit  
Permittee from requesting a special Planning Commission meeting provided Permittee  
bears all costs.

**G12 ENCROACHMENT PERMIT:**

Permittee shall obtain, as necessary all encroachment permits, or other special traffic safety  
permits from the Department of Public Works and/or CALTRANS.

**G13 REPORT(S):**

Permittee shall file an annual report with the Planning and Development Services  
Department to show that Permittee is in full compliance with this Conditional Use Permit.  
The report shall be filed within sixty (60) days from the first day of the Calendar year, and

1 shall include at a minimum, the total number of "users" on the tower, any problems  
2 encountered during the previous year, any reported frequency interference complaints, the  
3 name & phone number of the responsible person whom to contact, and a checklist to show  
4 the status of each condition herein. It shall be the responsibility of the Permittee to provide  
5 all reports and to include the information about other users. The County may request  
6 information at any time from Permittee or other users if applicable, however it shall be the  
7 responsibility of the permittee to assure the County that such information is received.

8  
9  
10 **G14 RESPONSIBLE AGENT:**

11 Permittee shall maintain on file with the Planning and Development Services Department  
12 the name and phone number of the responsible agent for the site. A backup name shall  
13 also be provided, and a phone number for 24-hour emergency contact shall also be on file.  
14 If there are other users, the same information (as applicable) required from the Permittee  
15 shall also be made available to the County from such other users.

16  
17  
18 **G15 INDEMNIFICATION:**

19 At no cost to the County, Permittee and each and every subsidiary user, shall indemnify,  
20 and hold harmless the County, the Board of Supervisors and all officers and agents of the  
21 County against any and all claims, actions and liabilities arising out of the permitting,  
22 construction and/or operation of the project. This indemnity agreement shall be on file with  
23 the Planning and Development Services Department prior to recordation of this CUP.  
24 Failure to have the agreement on file within 60 days from the date of approval by the  
25 Planning Commission shall terminate the approval of this CUP. If the tower is subject to  
26 "multiple" use by anyone other than the Permittee, each such operator, or facility, or  
27 individual, person or corporation shall have on file with the County Planning and  
28 Development Services Department an indemnification agreement identical to that of the  
Permittee.

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28 **G16 CHANGE OF OWNER/OPERATOR:**

19 In the event the ownership of the site or the operation of the site transfers from the current  
20 Permittee to a new successor Permittee, the successor Permittee shall be bound by all  
21 terms and conditions of this permit as if said successor was the original Permittee. Current  
22 Permittee shall inform the County Planning and Development Services Department in  
23 writing at least 60 days prior to any such transfer. Failure of a notice of change of ownership  
24 or change of operator shall be grounds for the immediate revocation of the CUP. In the  
25 event of a change, the new Owner/Operator shall file with the Department, via certified mail,  
26 a letter stating that they are fully aware of all conditions and acknowledge that they will  
27 adhere to all. If this permit or any subservient or associated permit requires financial surety,  
28 the transfer of this permit shall not be effective until the new Permittee has requisite surety  
on file. Furthermore existing surety shall not be released until replacement surety is  
accepted by County. Failure to provide timely notice of transfer by Permittee shall forfeit  
current surety.

27 In the event this is a multi-use tower facility, the written approval from any "user" of the  
28 tower shall be provided to the County in addition to the above.

1  
2 **G17 COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCE:**

3 Permittee is aware of, has been provided a copy of and has agreed to be bound by and  
4 maintain compliance with the "Communications Ordinance", being Title 9 Division 24 of the  
5 County's codified ordinances.

6 **G18 LOCAL BENEFIT AGREEMENT:**

7 *and Imperial County will enter into* *to be*  
8 ~~Permittee has agreed to provide a local benefit per a negotiated agreement between the~~  
9 ~~County and the permittee. The local benefit agreement allows the permittee to provide~~  
10 ~~multiple antenna spaces and a guaranteed antenna height of fifty (50) feet on the proposed~~  
11 ~~communication tower at no cost to Imperial County or IVECA.~~

12 *→ (other than at the one hundred twenty (120) to one hundred sixty*  
13 *(160) foot AGL (Above Ground Level) which is reserved for*  
14 *(TOTAL "G" CONDITIONS are 18) Commercial use)*

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  

---

This space intentionally left blank.

*→ authorizing the County and/or the Imperial Valley Communications  
Authority (IVECA) to occupy certain spaces on a space  
available basis*

Site Specific Conditions:

**S1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

*(including collocation antennas),*

*collocation*

The applicant, <sup>*Infra*</sup> ~~InSite Towers Development 2, LLC~~, is proposing to construct and operate a wireless telecommunication facility, 160-foot above ground level "AGL, including therewith the necessary ancillary antennas, equipment, shelter, and appurtenances, ~~which includes the installation of 2 Global Positioning System (GPS) antennas, a back-up generator, and an AT&T Mobility Walk Up Cabinet (WUC) that will be placed near the base of the tower. The tower may be used by multiple users in addition to the Permittee, provided the conditions stated herein are followed.~~

**S2 ACCESS TO SITE:**

Access to the site shall be as described in the application and as shown on the assessors plat map, and/or as approved by or through an encroachment permit.

**S3 HOURS OF OPERATION:**

Permittee shall be allowed to operate the site 24 hours per day, seven days per week.

**S4 ANCILLARY USES & ADDITIONAL LAND USE PERMITS:**

This permit authorizes the Permittee to operate the site as described under Specific Condition S1 with no additional ancillary facilities or uses. This permit shall be considered the primary permit for this site, and if additional Conditional Use Permit(s) are secured for this site, they shall be subservient to this permit at all times.

**S5 SUSPENSION OF OPERATIONS:**

If operation of the communications facility ceases for a period of twenty-four (24) consecutive months, the Permittee shall remove the communications tower, all related equipment, and all structures and buildings within 6 months. Permittee may request in writing to the Planning Director a one-time extension; such extension shall be limited to a maximum of one year.

**S6 ENFORCEMENT ACTION:**

County officials responsible for monitoring and/or enforcing the provisions of this permit shall issue a notice requiring abatement of a violation of its terms within a reasonable time ~~as set by ordinance or County policy~~. As an example, responsible County officials may issue a citation and/or cease-and-desist order for repeated violation until such violations are abated. Under specific violations, the County may order the facility to cease operation until it can or will be operated in full compliance.

In the event there is enforcement action taken by the County it shall at all times be against the Permittee, even if another party using the tower causes the violation. It shall be the

1 responsibility of Permittee to assure that the tower is operated in compliance with all terms  
2 and conditions of the CUP.

3 **S7 LIGHT & GLARE:**

4 Permittee is allowed to have security as well as operational lighting. Said lighting shall be  
5 shielded and direct to on site areas to minimize off site interference from unacceptable  
6 levels of light or glare.

7 **S8 CONFLICTING PERMIT CONDITIONS:**

8 In the event that there is a conflict between the condition of this permit and any other permit,  
9 the most stringent condition shall govern.

10 **S9 MINOR ADMINISTRATIVE MODIFICATION:**

11 The Planning and Development Services Director shall have the authority to make  
12 interpretations, issue administrative decisions and provide directions that while not  
13 modifying the intent of any condition will allow for problem resolution at an administrative  
14 level. Both Director and/or Permittee have the right to defer such issues to the Planning  
15 Commission. However, in no event shall any decision regarding this permit be brought to  
16 the Board of Supervisors without first having been brought to the Commission.

17 **S10 LATEST CODES GOVERN:**

18 All on site structures shall be designed and built to meet the latest edition of the applicable  
19 codes. In the event the tower is altered, added to, or modified to accommodate additional  
20 users, additional antennas or other structural modifications from those originally approved  
21 by County, Permittee shall provide revised structural drawings and calculations to the  
22 Building Inspection Division prior to such modifications being made.

23 **S11 LIGHTING:**

24 All towers shall be lit with aircraft warning lights. At a minimum the tower shall include lights  
25 at the top of the structure. Permittee shall install a white medium intensity strobe beacon  
26 (for daylight use only) and a red flashing warning light (for nighttime use only) to warn  
27 aircraft in the vicinity. Permittee shall submit evidence of compliance with these  
28 requirements.

The County of Imperial will not require back-up power so long as the following measures  
are in place:

1. Implementation of a Network Operation Control Center (NOCC) as a 24 hour, 365 days a year alert system that informs the tower operator and other pertinent agencies immediately of any problems with the tower and the emergency lighting system (including towers less than 200 feet.);
2. Provide the Imperial County Applicators' notification under the NOCC system and its updates at no cost;

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

3. Work with Imperial County Air Applicators' on the repair schedule and flight path rerouting;
4. Repair lighting or tower equipment failure within 72 hours, and;
5. Provide written reports to the Imperial County Air Applicators' and the Imperial County Planning & Development Services Department upon completion of tower repairs (to the tower lighting) resulting from NOCC actions, and provide yearly summary reports pertaining to NOCC actions.

**S12 COMMUNICATION FREQUENCY:**

Transmission frequency, amount of radiated power, and antennae characteristics shall comply with requirements by the Federal Aviation Authority (FAA), Federal Communications Commission (FCC), Planning Department and other applicable agencies.

**S13 FREQUENCY COORDINATION:**

The operation of the project shall not cause interference with transmission or reception of signals or other communication facilities. Failure to comply with this condition shall result in suspension or revocation of the Conditional Use Permit.

**S14 TOWER EMERGENCY INFORMATION:**

Permittee shall file (with the County) a Tower Site Information sheet. The permittee shall update this information yearly.

**S15 RESTORATION SURETY:**

(a) To ensure that such restoration is completed, Permittee shall provide security that is in conformance with the County's Financial Assurance Guideline, is acceptable to the Office of County Counsel, and in an amount no less than \$25,000. Said security shall cover Permittee, as well as any co-locators, users, or other subleases located at the site.

(b) The amount of security may be administratively increased by the Planning Department of the Planning Commission, upon a finding that the characteristics of the site warrants additional security. The Security must be filed with the County within six (6) months of the approval of this CUP, and/or prior to recordation, whichever comes first.

(c) The security shall remain in effect until the project has been completely removed, and the site has been fully restored to its undeveloped condition. In the event there is a history of noncompliance with the conditions of this CUP, or any other applicable federal, State or local law, regulation, rule, policy or procedure, the minimum amount of required security may be administratively increased by the Planning Department of Planning Commission to \$ 35,000.

1 **S-16 AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT<sup>2</sup>**

2 Construction activities on the site must adhere to the Air District's Regulation VIII for the  
3 control of fugitive dust emissions, including the submittal of a construction notification to  
4 the Air District 10 days prior to any earthmoving activities.

5 **S-17 IMPERIAL IRRIGATION DISTRICT<sup>3</sup>**

- 6
- 7 1. To request electrical service for the approved communication tower, the applicant  
8 should be advised to contact Ernie Benitez, IID Customer Project Development  
9 Planner, at (760) 482-3405 or e-mail Mr. Benitez at [eibenitez@iid.com](mailto:eibenitez@iid.com) to initiate  
10 the customer service application process. In addition to submitting a formal  
11 application (available for download at the district website  
12 <http://www.iid.com/home/showdocument?id=12923>, the applicant will be required  
13 submit a complete set of approved plans by the County of Imperial, electrical plans,  
14 panel location, voltage requirement, electrical panel schedules, an AutoCAD file  
15 of the site plan, construction schedule, and the applicable fees, permits,  
16 easements and environmental compliance documentation pertaining to the  
17 provision of electrical service to the project. The applicants shall be responsible for  
18 all costs and mitigation measures related to providing electrical service to the  
19 project.
- 20 2. The applicant will need to abide by the following IID electrical tower service  
21 guidelines:
- 22 a. IID will allow only one metering point per site. If more than one meter is  
23 requested, the customer must utilize a multi-meter pack.
- 24 b. For single-phase service from a pole-mounted transformer the total service  
25 capacity shall not exceed 600 amps (e.g. six (6) 100 amp panels or three  
26 (3) 200 amp panels). Maximum transformer size is a 100kVA with a  
27 secondary voltage of 120/240V single phase.
- 28 c. For single-phase service from a pad-mounted transformer, the total service  
capacity shall not exceed 800 amps. Maximum transformer size is a  
167kVA, 120/240V.
- d. Services exceeding 800 amps of total capacity must be served from a three-  
phase pad-mounted transformer rated at 120/208V. Please note that load  
must be balanced across the phases.
3. Any construction or operation on IID property or within its existing and proposed  
right of way or easements including but not limited to: surface improvements such

27 <sup>2</sup> Air Pollution Control District Comment Letter dated 03/11/2021

28 <sup>2</sup> Imperial Irrigation District Comment Letter dated 03/15/2021



1 as proposed new streets, driveways, parking lots, landscape; and all water, sewer,  
2 storm water, or any other above ground or underground utilities; will require an  
3 encroachment permit, or encroachment agreement (depending on the  
4 circumstances). The IID encroachment permit application and instructions are  
5 available at <https://www.iid.com/about-iid/departments-directory/real-estate>. The  
6 IID Real Estate Section should be contacted at (760) 339-9239 for additional  
7 information regarding encroachment permits or agreements.

- 8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28
4. Any new, relocated, modified or reconstructed IID facilities required for and by the project (which can include but is not limited to electrical utility substations, electrical transmission and distribution lines, canals, drain, etc.) need to be included as part of the project's CEQA and/or NEPA documentation, environmental impact analysis and mitigation. Failure to do so will result in postponement of any construction and/or modification of IID facilities until such time as the environmental documentation is amended and environmental impacts are fully analyzed. **Any and all mitigation necessary as a result of the construction, relocation and/or upgrade of IID facilities is the responsibility of the project proponent.**

**S-18 VARIANCE**

In conjunction with this CUP, Variance #21-0001 has been approved and issued to allow for the construction of the communication tower to a height not to exceed 160-feet above ground level (AGL).

(TOTAL "S" CONDITIONS are 18)

---

This space intentionally left blank.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**NOW THEREFORE**, County hereby issues Conditional Use Permit #21-0002 and Permittee hereby accepts such permit upon the terms and conditions set forth herein:

**IN WITNESS THEREOF**, the parties hereto have executed this Agreement the day and year first written.

**PERMITTEE**

~~InSite Towers Development 2, LLC~~  
*Infra Towers, LLC*

\_\_\_\_\_ Date

**COUNTY OF IMPERIAL, a political subdivision of the STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

\_\_\_\_\_  
James A. Minnick, Director of  
Planning & Development Services

\_\_\_\_\_ Date

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**PERMITTEE NOTARIZATION**

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF \_\_\_\_\_ } S.S.

On \_\_\_\_\_ before me, \_\_\_\_\_  
a Notary Public in and for said County and State, personally appeared \_\_\_\_\_, who proved to on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

ATTENTION NOTARY: Although the information requested below is OPTIONAL, it could prevent fraudulent attachment of this certificate to unauthorized document.

Title or Type of Document \_\_\_\_\_

Number of Pages \_\_\_\_\_ Date of Document \_\_\_\_\_

Signer(s) Other Than Named Above \_\_\_\_\_

Dated \_\_\_\_\_

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**COUNTY NOTARIZATION**

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF IMPERIAL } S.S.

On \_\_\_\_\_ before \_\_\_\_\_ me,  
\_\_\_\_\_ a Notary Public in and for said County and State,  
personally appeared \_\_\_\_\_, who  
proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s)  
is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they  
executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their  
signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the  
person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the  
foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

ATTENTION NOTARY: Although the information requested below is OPTIONAL, it  
could prevent fraudulent attachment of this certificate to unauthorized document.

Title or Type of Document \_\_\_\_\_  
Number of Pages \_\_\_\_\_ Date of Document \_\_\_\_\_  
Signer(s) Other Than Named Above \_\_\_\_\_

S:\AllUsers\APN\044\230\014\CUP21-0002\PC\CUP21-0002 CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL.docx

**Attachment B: Comment Letters**

**EEC ORIGINAL PKG**

## Kimberly Noriega

---

**From:** Mario Salinas  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 2, 2021 1:41 PM  
**To:** Gabriela Robb  
**Cc:** Rosa Soto; Carina Gomez; Maria Scoville; John Robb; Kimberly Noriega; Valerie Grijalva; Michael Abraham; Jeanine Ramos  
**Subject:** RE: Request for Comments CUP21-0002

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

Good afternoon Ms. Robb,

Pertaining to CUP21-0002, Division of Environmental Health does not have any comments at this time.

Thank you,

### Mario Salinas, MBA

Environmental Health Compliance Specialist I  
Imperial County Public Health Department  
Division of Environmental Health  
797 Main Street Suite B, El Centro, CA 92243  
[mariosalinas@co.imperial.ca.us](mailto:mariosalinas@co.imperial.ca.us)  
Phone: (442) 265-1888  
Fax: (442) 265-1903  
[www.icphd.org](http://www.icphd.org)

**RECEIVED**

**MAR 02 2021**

**IMPERIAL COUNTY  
PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES**



The preceding e-mail message (including any attachments) contains information that may be confidential, be protected by the attorney-client or other applicable privileges, or constitute non-public information. It is intended to be conveyed only to the designated recipient(s). If you are not an intended recipient of this message, please notify the sender by replying to this message and then delete it from your system. Use, dissemination, distribution, or reproduction of this message by unintended recipients is not authorized and may be unlawful.

**From:** Gabriela Robb <GabrielaRobb@co.imperial.ca.us>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 1, 2021 4:55 PM  
**To:** Carlos Ortiz <CarlosOrtiz@co.imperial.ca.us>; Sandra Mendivil <SandraMendivil@co.imperial.ca.us>; Matt Dessert <MattDessert@co.imperial.ca.us>; Monica Soucier <MonicaSoucier@co.imperial.ca.us>; Ray Castillo <RayCastillo@co.imperial.ca.us>; Vanessa Ramirez <VanessaRamirez@co.imperial.ca.us>; Jorge Perez <JorgePerez@co.imperial.ca.us>; Jeff Lamoure <JeffLamoure@co.imperial.ca.us>; Mario Salinas <MarioSalinas@co.imperial.ca.us>; Robert Malek <RobertMalek@co.imperial.ca.us>; Andrew Loper <AndrewLoper@co.imperial.ca.us>; Margo Sanchez <MargoSanchez@co.imperial.ca.us>; John Gay <JohnGay@co.imperial.ca.us>; Carlos Yee <CarlosYee@co.imperial.ca.us>; Guillermo Mendoza <GuillermoMendoza@co.imperial.ca.us>; Scott Sheppard <scottsheppard@icso.org>; Thomas Garcia <tgarcia@icso.org>; ceo@pioneersmuseum.net; Donald Vargas <dvargas@iid.com>; Stefan T. Chatwin - City of Imperial Manager <schatwin@cityofimperial.org>; ltylenda@cityofimperial.org; Jill McCormick **EEC ORIGINAL PKG**

**Kimberly Noriega**

---

**From:** Quechan Historic Preservation Officer <historicpreservation@quechantribe.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 3, 2021 6:44 AM  
**To:** Gabriela Robb; Jeanine Ramos  
**Cc:** ICPDSCcommentLetters  
**Subject:** RE: Request for Comments CUP21-0002

**CAUTION: This email originated outside our organization; please use caution.**

This email is to inform you that we have no comments on this project.

**From:** Gabriela Robb [mailto:GabrielaRobb@co.imperial.ca.us]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 01, 2021 5:55 PM  
**To:** Carlos Ortiz; Sandra Mendivil; Matt Dessert; Monica Soucier; Ray Castillo; Vanessa Ramirez; Jorge Perez; Jeff Lamoure; Mario Salinas; Robert Malek; Andrew Loper; Margo Sanchez; John Gay; Carlos Yee; Guillermo Mendoza; Scott Sheppard; Thomas Garcia; ceo@pioneersmuseum.net; Donald Vargas; Stefan T. Chatwin - City of Imperial Manager; itylenda@cityofimperial.org; Jill McCormick; Quechan Indian Tribe ; Katy Sanchez; sha-lcr-webcomments@usbr.gov; byronfrontier@yahoo.com  
**Cc:** Rosa Soto; Carina Gomez; Maria Scoville; John Robb; Kimberly Noriega; Valerie Grijalva; Michael Abraham; Jeanine Ramos  
**Subject:** Request for Comments CUP21-0002

Good afternoon,

Please see attached Request for Comments Packet for Conditional Use Permit #21-0002. Comments are due by **March 15, 2021 at 5:00 PM.**

In an effort to increase the efficiency at which information is distributed and reduce paper usage, the Request for Comments Packet is being sent to you via this email.

Should you have any questions regarding this project, please feel free to contact Planner Jeanine Ramos at (442)265-1736 ext. 1750 or submit your comment letters to [icpdsccommentletters@co.imperial.ca.us](mailto:icpdsccommentletters@co.imperial.ca.us)

Thank you,

*Gabriela Robb*

**Office Assistant III**

Imperial County Planning & Development Services  
801 Main St. El Centro, CA 92243  
(442) 265-1736



**RECEIVED**  
**MAR 03 2021**  
IMPERIAL COUNTY  
PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

Virus-free. [www.avast.com](http://www.avast.com)

**EEC ORIGINAL PKG**

EEC ORIGINAL PKG



**Kimberly Noriega**

---

**From:** Quechan Historic Preservation Officer <historicpreservation@quechantribe.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 11, 2021 12:34 PM  
**To:** Jeanine Ramos  
**Cc:** ICPDSCommentLetters  
**Subject:** RE: Request for Comments CUP21-0002

**CAUTION: This email originated outside our organization; please use caution.**

This email is to inform you that we have no comments on this project.

**From:** Jeanine Ramos [mailto:JeanineRamos@co.imperial.ca.us]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 11, 2021 12:47 PM  
**To:** Carlos Ortiz; Sandra Mendivil; Matt Dessert; Monica Soucier; Ray Castillo; Vanessa Ramirez; Jorge Perez; Jeff Lamoure; Mario Salinas; Robert Malek; Andrew Loper; Margo Sanchez; John Gay; Carlos Yee; Guillermo Mendoza; Scott Sheppard; Thomas Garcia; ceo@pioneersmuseum.net; Donald Vargas; Stefan T. Chatwin - City of Imperial Manager; ltylenda@cityofimperial.org; Jill McCormick; Quechan Indian Tribe ; Katy Sanchez; sha-lcr-webcomments@usbr.gov; byronfrontier@yahoo.com  
**Cc:** Diana Robinson  
**Subject:** RE: Request for Comments CUP21-0002

Good morning,

This email is to serve as a reminder of the opportunity to comment for CUP #21-0002. Comments are due by March 15, 2021 at 5:00 PM.

Thank you,

Jeanine Ramos  
Planner I  
Imperial County Planning & Development Services  
801 Main Street  
El Centro, CA 92243  
(442) 265-1736  
(442) 265-1735 (Fax)  
[jeanineramos@co.imperial.ca.us](mailto:jeanineramos@co.imperial.ca.us)

**RECEIVED**  
**MAR 11 2021**  
IMPERIAL COUNTY  
PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

**From:** Gabriela Robb <GabrielaRobb@co.imperial.ca.us>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 1, 2021 4:55 PM  
**To:** Carlos Ortiz <CarlosOrtiz@co.imperial.ca.us>; Sandra Mendivil <SandraMendivil@co.imperial.ca.us>; Matt Dessert <MattDessert@co.imperial.ca.us>; Monica Soucier <MonicaSoucier@co.imperial.ca.us>; Ray Castillo <RayCastillo@co.imperial.ca.us>; Vanessa Ramirez <VanessaRamirez@co.imperial.ca.us>; Jorge Perez <JorgePerez@co.imperial.ca.us>; Jeff Lamoure <JeffLamoure@co.imperial.ca.us>; Mario Salinas <MarioSalinas@co.imperial.ca.us>; Robert Malek <RobertMalek@co.imperial.ca.us>; Andrew Loper <AndrewLoper@co.imperial.ca.us>; Margo Sanchez <MargoSanchez@co.imperial.ca.us>; John Gay <JohnGay@co.imperial.ca.us>; Carlos Yee <CarlosYee@co.imperial.ca.us>; Guillermo Mendoza <GuillermoMendoza@co.imperial.ca.us>; Scott Sheppard <scottsheppard@icso.org>; Thomas Garcia <tgarcia@icso.org>; ceo@pioneersmuseum.net; Donald Vargas <dvargas@iid.com>; Stefan T. Chatwin - City of Imperial Manager <schatwin@cityofimperial.org>; ltylenda@cityofimperial.org; Jill McCormick

**EEC ORIGINAL PKG**

<historicpreservation@quechantribe.com>; Quechan Indian Tribe <tribalsecretary@quechantribe.com>; Katy Sanchez <katy.sanchez@nahc.ca.gov>; sha-lcr-webcomments@usbr.gov; byronfrontier@yahoo.com  
**Cc:** Rosa Soto <RosaSoto@co.imperial.ca.us>; Carina Gomez <CarinaGomez@co.imperial.ca.us>; Maria Scoville <mariascoville@co.imperial.ca.us>; John Robb <JohnRobb@co.imperial.ca.us>; Kimberly Noriega <KimberlyNoriega@co.imperial.ca.us>; Valerie Grijalva <ValerieGrijalva@co.imperial.ca.us>; Michael Abraham <MichaelAbraham@co.imperial.ca.us>; Jeanine Ramos <JeanineRamos@co.imperial.ca.us>  
**Subject:** Request for Comments CUP21-0002

Good afternoon,

Please see attached Request for Comments Packet for Conditional Use Permit #21-0002.  
Comments are due by **March 15, 2021 at 5:00 PM.**

In an effort to increase the efficiency at which information is distributed and reduce paper usage, the Request for Comments Packet is being sent to you via this email.

Should you have any questions regarding this project, please feel free to contact Planner Jeanine Ramos at (442)265-1736 ext. 1750 or submit your comment letters to [icpdscommentletters@co.imperial.ca.us](mailto:icpdscommentletters@co.imperial.ca.us)

Thank you,

*Gabriela Robb*

**Office Assistant III**

Imperial County Planning & Development Services  
801 Main St El Centro, CA 92243  
(442) 265-1736



This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.  
[www.avast.com](http://www.avast.com)



March 11, 2021

**RECEIVED**

MAR 11 2021

IMPERIAL COUNTY  
PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

Jim Minnick  
Planning & Development Services Director  
801 Main Street  
El Centro, CA 92243

**SUBJECT: Conditional Use Permit 21-0002—InSite Towers Development 2, LLC**

Dear Mr. Minnick:

The Imperial County Air Pollution Control District ("Air District") appreciates the opportunity to review and comment on Conditional Use Permit (CUP) 21-0002 and Variance submitted by InSite towers Development 2, LLC to construction a new 160-foot wireless telecommunication tower at 373 East Aten Road, Imperial, California, also identified as Assessor's Parcel Number (APN) 044-230-014-001.

The applicant should be aware that all construction activities on the site must adhere to the Air District's Regulation VIII for the control of fugitive dust emissions, including the submittal of a construction notification to the Air District 10 days prior to any earthmoving activities. As to the backup generator, since the size of the generator is not disclosed please contact the Air District to discuss any potential permitting requirements. Finally, the Air District is requesting additional information regarding the installation of a temporary Cell on Wheels (COW).

For your convenience, the Air District's rules and regulations are available via the web at <https://apcd.imperialcounty.org>. Please feel free to call should you have questions at (442) 265-1800.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Curtis Blondell".

Curtis Blondell  
APC Environmental Coordinator

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Monica N. Soucier".

Reviewed by,  
Monica N. Soucier  
APC Division Manager

**Kimberly Noriega**

---

**From:** Margo Sanchez  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 11, 2021 12:47 PM  
**To:** ICPDSCommentLetters; Jeanine Ramos  
**Subject:** FW: Request for Comments CUP21-0002  
**Attachments:** CUP21-0002 Request for Comments.pdf

Good afternoon Ms. Ramos,

The Imperial County Agricultural Commissioner's office has No Comment on this project other than a request for comments be given to Imperial County Applicators, representative Byron Nelson at Frontier Ag Service, 760-357-1967, for possible comments on this project.

Thank you.

Best regards,  
Margo

**RECEIVED**

**MAR 11 2021**

IMPERIAL COUNTY

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

**From:** Gabriela Robb <GabrielaRobb@co.imperial.ca.us>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 1, 2021 4:55 PM  
**To:** Carlos Ortiz <CarlosOrtiz@co.imperial.ca.us>; Sandra Mendivil <SandraMendivil@co.imperial.ca.us>; Matt Dessert <MattDessert@co.imperial.ca.us>; Monica Soucier <MonicaSoucier@co.imperial.ca.us>; Ray Castillo <RayCastillo@co.imperial.ca.us>; Vanessa Ramirez <VanessaRamirez@co.imperial.ca.us>; Jorge Perez <JorgePerez@co.imperial.ca.us>; Jeff Lamoure <JeffLamoure@co.imperial.ca.us>; Mario Salinas <MarioSalinas@co.imperial.ca.us>; Robert Malek <RobertMalek@co.imperial.ca.us>; Andrew Loper <AndrewLoper@co.imperial.ca.us>; Margo Sanchez <MargoSanchez@co.imperial.ca.us>; John Gay <JohnGay@co.imperial.ca.us>; Carlos Yee <CarlosYee@co.imperial.ca.us>; Guillermo Mendoza <GuillermoMendoza@co.imperial.ca.us>; Scott Sheppard <scottsheppard@icso.org>; Thomas Garcia <tgarcia@icso.org>; ceo@pioneersmuseum.net; Donald Vargas <dvgargas@iid.com>; Stefan T. Chatwin - City of Imperial Manager <schatwin@cityofimperial.org>; Itylenda@cityofimperial.org; Jill McCormick <historicpreservation@quechantribe.com>; Quechan Indian Tribe <tribalsecretary@quechantribe.com>; Katy Sanchez <katy.sanchez@nahc.ca.gov>; sha-lcr-webcomments@usbr.gov; byronfrontier@yahoo.com  
**Cc:** Rosa Soto <RosaSoto@co.imperial.ca.us>; Carina Gomez <CarinaGomez@co.imperial.ca.us>; Maria Scoville <mariascoville@co.imperial.ca.us>; John Robb <JohnRobb@co.imperial.ca.us>; Kimberly Noriega <KimberlyNoriega@co.imperial.ca.us>; Valerie Grijalva <ValerieGrijalva@co.imperial.ca.us>; Michael Abraham <MichaelAbraham@co.imperial.ca.us>; Jeanine Ramos <JeanineRamos@co.imperial.ca.us>  
**Subject:** Request for Comments CUP21-0002

Good afternoon,

Please see attached Request for Comments Packet for Conditional Use Permit #21-0002. Comments are due by **March 15, 2021 at 5:00 PM.**

In an effort to increase the efficiency at which information is distributed and reduce paper usage, the Request for Comments Packet is being sent to you via this email.

Should you have any questions regarding this project, please feel free to contact Planner Jeanine Ramos at (442)265-1736 ext. 1750 or submit your comment letters to [icpdscommentletters@co.imperial.ca.us](mailto:icpdscommentletters@co.imperial.ca.us)

Thank you,

*Gabriela Robb*

**Office Assistant III**

Imperial County Planning & Development Services

801 Main St El Centro, CA 92243

(442) 265-1736



## Valerie Grijalva

---

**From:** Andrew Loper  
**Sent:** Monday, March 15, 2021 8:35 AM  
**To:** Gabriela Robb  
**Cc:** Rosa Soto; Carina Gomez; Maria Scoville; John Robb; Kimberly Noriega; Valerie Grijalva; Michael Abraham; Jeanine Ramos; Robert Malek; Alfredo Estrada Jr; Mark Schmidt  
**Subject:** RE: Request for Comments CUP21-0002  
**Attachments:** CUP21-0002 Request for Comments.pdf

Good Morning

This is email is for requesting some additional time to comment on CUP21-0002 as requested by Mark Schmidt at IVECA. Mr. Schmidt was not on the original email and was forward the information and would like to request some additional time to comment on the CUP. Please let us know if this is possible thank you.

Thank You

Andrew Loper  
Imperial County Fire Department  
Lieutenant/Fire Prevention Specialist  
2514 La Brucherie Road, Imperial CA 92251  
Office: 442-265-3021  
Cell: 760-604-1828



**From:** Gabriela Robb <GabrielaRobb@co.imperial.ca.us>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 1, 2021 4:55 PM  
**To:** Carlos Ortiz <CarlosOrtiz@co.imperial.ca.us>; Sandra Mendivil <SandraMendivil@co.imperial.ca.us>; Matt Dessert <MattDessert@co.imperial.ca.us>; Monica Soucier <MonicaSoucier@co.imperial.ca.us>; Ray Castillo <RayCastillo@co.imperial.ca.us>; Vanessa Ramirez <VanessaRamirez@co.imperial.ca.us>; Jorge Perez <JorgePerez@co.imperial.ca.us>; Jeff Lamoure <JeffLamoure@co.imperial.ca.us>; Mario Salinas <MarioSalinas@co.imperial.ca.us>; Robert Malek <RobertMalek@co.imperial.ca.us>; Andrew Loper <AndrewLoper@co.imperial.ca.us>; Margo Sanchez <MargoSanchez@co.imperial.ca.us>; John Gay <JohnGay@co.imperial.ca.us>; Carlos Yee <CarlosYee@co.imperial.ca.us>; Guillermo Mendoza <GuillermoMendoza@co.imperial.ca.us>; Scott Sheppard <scottsheppard@icso.org>; Thomas Garcia <tgarcia@icso.org>; ceo@pioneersmuseum.net; Donald Vargas <dvargas@iid.com>; Stefan T. Chatwin - City of Imperial Manager <schatwin@cityofimperial.org>; Itylenda@cityofimperial.org; Jill McCormick <historicpreservation@quechantribe.com>; Quechan Indian Tribe <tribalsecretary@quechantribe.com>; Katy Sanchez <katy.sanchez@nahc.ca.gov>; sha-lcr-webcomments@usbr.gov; byronfrontier@yahoo.com  
**Cc:** Rosa Soto <RosaSoto@co.imperial.ca.us>; Carina Gomez <CarinaGomez@co.imperial.ca.us>; Maria Scoville <mariascoville@co.imperial.ca.us>; John Robb <JohnRobb@co.imperial.ca.us>; Kimberly Noriega <KimberlyNoriega@co.imperial.ca.us>; Valerie Grijalva <ValerieGrijalva@co.imperial.ca.us>; Michael Abraham <MichaelAbraham@co.imperial.ca.us>; Jeanine Ramos <JeanineRamos@co.imperial.ca.us>  
**Subject:** Request for Comments CUP21-0002

Good afternoon,

Please see attached Request for Comments Packet for Conditional Use Permit #21-0002. Comments are due by **March 15, 2021 at 5:00 PM.**

In an effort to increase the efficiency at which information is distributed and reduce paper usage, the Request for Comments Packet is being sent to you via this email.

Should you have any questions regarding this project, please feel free to contact Planner Jeanine Ramos at (442)265-1736 ext. 1750 or submit your comment letters to [icpdscommentletters@co.imperial.ca.us](mailto:icpdscommentletters@co.imperial.ca.us)

Thank you,

*Gabriela Robb*

**Office Assistant III**

Imperial County Planning & Development Services  
801 Main St. El Centro, CA 92243  
(442) 265-1736

