### **Preliminary Geotechnical and GeoHazards Report**

## Rockwood Solar Farm NWC Corda Road and Hwy 98

Calexico, California

Prepared for:

85JP 8ME, LLC. 5455 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 200 Los Angeles, CA 90036





Prepared by:

Landmark Consultants, Inc. 780 N. 4<sup>th</sup> Street El Centro, CA 92243 (760) 337-1100

May 2013

LANDMARK Geo-Engineers and Geologists

780 N. 4th Street El Centro, CA 92243 (760) 370-3000 (760) 337-8900 fax

77-948 Wildcat Drive Palm Desert, CA 92211 (760) 360-0665 (760) 360-0521 fax

May 28, 2013

Mr. Tom Buttgenbach 85JP 8ME, LLC. 5455 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 200 Los Angeles, CA 90036

> Preliminary Geological and Geotechnical Hazard Evaluation Rockwood Solar Farm NWC Corda Road and State Hwy 78 Calexico, California LCI Project No. LE13089

Dear Mr. Buttgenbach:

This preliminary geotechnical report and geologic hazards study is provided for preliminary site evaluation and permitting of the photo-voltaic solar farm at the approximately 396-acre project area located at the northwest corner of Corda Road and State Hwy 98 approximately 5 miles west of Calexico, California.

### Scope of Work

The scope of work consisted of a geologic and geotechnical hazards evaluation of the project site which addresses the following items:

- 1. Site location in relation to mapped earthquake faults and seismic zones.
- 2. Review of published geologic literature and maps.
- 3. Intensity of ground shaking at the site determined by probabilistic methods (10% probability of occurrence in 50 years).
- 4. Potential for liquefaction, ground failure, and landslides at the site.
- 5. Potential for expansive soil hazards at the site including methods for mitigation.
- 6. Potential for flooding at the site from man-made facilities (dams, canals, etc.) and from natural storms.
- 7. Ability of site soils to support individual or community sewage disposal system leach fields.

### **Site Description**

The project site is located at the northwest corner of Corda Road and State Hwy 98. The project site consists of 396-acres comprised of six agricultural fields currently in crop production. Dirt field roads cross the site in a north-south direction. State Hwy 98, a paved two-lane highway, forms the southern boundary of the site. Corda Road, an unpaved rural road, forms the eastern boundary of the site and Kubler Road, a paved rural road, forms the northern property boundary. Rural residences are located adjacent to the northwest and southeast corners of the property.

Agricultural fields are located around the perimeter of the project site. Dirt field roads are located along the margins and also cross the parcels. The adjacent properties are approximately the same elevation as the project site.

### **Site Geological Conditions**

*Site Geology:* The project site is located in the Imperial Valley portion of the Salton Trough physiographic province. The Salton Trough is a topographic and geologic structural depression resulting from large scale regional faulting. The trough is bounded on the northeast by the San Andreas Fault and Chocolate Mountains and the southwest by the Peninsular Range and faults of the San Jacinto Fault Zone. The Salton Trough represents the northward extension of the Gulf of California, containing both marine and non-marine sediments since the Miocene Epoch. Tectonic activity that formed the trough continues at a high rate as evidenced by deformed young sedimentary deposits and high levels of seismicity. Figure 1 shows the location of the site in relation to regional faults and physiographic features.

The Imperial Valley is directly underlain by lacustrine deposits, which consist of interbedded lenticular and tabular silt, sand, and clay. The Late Pleistocene to Holocene lake deposits are probably less than 100 feet thick and derived from periodic flooding of the Colorado River which intermittently formed a fresh water lake (Lake Cahuilla).

Older deposits consist of Miocene to Pleistocene non-marine and marine sediments deposited during intrusions of the Gulf of California. Basement rock consisting of Mesozoic granite and Paleozoic metamorphic rocks are estimated to exist at depths between 15,000 - 20,000 feet. Based on Unified Soil Classification System, the permeability of these soils is expected to be low to very low.



*Groundwater:* The groundwater in the site area is brackish and typically encountered at a depth of between 5 to 10 feet below ground surface in the vicinity of the project site. There is uncertainty in the accuracy of short-term water level measurements, particularly in fine-grained soil. Groundwater levels may fluctuate with precipitation, irrigation of adjacent properties, drainage, and site grading. The groundwater level noted should not be interpreted to represent an accurate or permanent condition.

**Onsite Wastewater Disposal:** The near surface soils at the project site generally consist of silty clays and clays having a very low to low infiltration rate. The near surface soils are considered poor in supporting onsite septic systems and leach fields for wastewater disposal. Site specific studies will be required to determine that State Health standards are met in regard to soil percolation rates and separation of leach fields from groundwater.

### **Geological Hazards**

*Landsliding:* No ancient landslides are shown on geologic maps of the region and no indications of landslides were observed during our site investigation. The hazard of landsliding is unlikely due to the relatively planar topography of the project site.

*Volcanic hazards:* The site is not located proximal to any known volcanically active area and the risk of volcanic hazards is considered very low.

*Tsunamis, seiches, and flooding:* The site does not lie near any large bodies of water, so the threat of tsunami, seiches, or other seismically-induced flooding is considered unlikely.

*Expansive soil:* In general, much of the near surface soils within the project site consist of silty clays and clays having a high to very high expansion potential. The clay is expansive when wetted and can shrink with moisture loss (drying). Development of building foundations, concrete flatwork, and asphaltic concrete pavements should include provisions for mitigating potential swelling forces and reduction in soil strength, which can occur from saturation of the soil.

Liquefaction/Seismic Settlements: Liquefaction is a potential design consideration because of possible saturated sandy substrata underlying the site. Liquefaction occurs when granular soil below the water table is subjected to vibratory motions, such as produced by earthquakes. With strong ground shaking, an increase in pore water pressure develops as the soil tends to reduce in volume. If the increase in pore water pressure is sufficient to reduce the vertical effective stress (suspending the soil particles in water), the soil strength decreases and the soil behaves as a liquid (similar to quicksand). Liquefaction can produce excessive settlement, ground rupture, lateral spreading, or failure of shallow bearing foundations.

Four conditions are generally required for liquefaction to occur:

- (1) the soil must be saturated (relatively shallow groundwater);
- (2) the soil must be loosely packed (low to medium relative density);
- (3) the soil must be relatively cohesionless (not clayey); and
- (4) groundshaking of sufficient intensity must occur to function as a trigger mechanism.

All of these conditions may exist to some degree at this site.

### Seismic Hazards

The project site is located in the seismically active Imperial Valley of southern California and is considered likely to be subjected to moderate to strong ground motion from earthquakes in the region.

*Groundshaking.* Imperial Valley has numerous mapped faults of the San Andreas Fault System traversing the region. The San Andreas Fault System is comprised of the San Andreas, San Jacinto, and Elsinore Fault Zones in southern California.

The Imperial fault represents a transition from the more continuous San Andreas fault to a more nearly echelon pattern characteristic of the faults under the Gulf of California (USGS 1990). We have performed a computer-aided search of known faults or seismic zones that lie within a 62 mile (100 kilometer) radius of the project site (Table 1).

Fault Name	Approximate Distance (miles)	Approximate Distance (km)	Maximum Moment Magnitude (Mw)	Fault Length (km)	Slip Rate (mm/yr)
Unnamed 2*	4.3	6.8			
Unnamed 1*	8.4	13.5			
Superstition Hills	9.4	15.0	6.6	23 ± 2	$4\pm 2$
Yuha*	9.4	15.1			
Borrego (Mexico)*	9.5	15.3			
Laguna Salada	10.6	17.0	7	67 ± 7	$3.5 \pm 1.5$
Imperial	11.2	17.9	7	62 ± 6	$20\pm5$
Brawley *	12.5	19.9			
Shell Beds	13.8	22.1			
Rico *	14.2	22.7			
Superstition Mountain	14.4	23.1	23.1 6.6		5 ± 3
Yuha Well *	14.5	23.2			
Cerro Prieto *	15.4	24.7			
Pescadores (Mexico)*	15.5	24.8			
Vista de Anza*	16.5	26.4			
Cucapah (Mexico)*	17.3	27.7			
Painted Gorge Wash*	21.1	33.8			
Ocotillo*	21.9	35.0			
Elsinore - Coyote Mountain	25.7	41.1	6.8	$39 \pm 4$	4 ± 2
Elmore Ranch	27.6	44.1	6.6	29±3	1 ± 0.5
San Jacinto - Borrego	31.9	51.1	6.6	29 ± 3	4 ± 2
Algodones *	39.9	63.9			

 Table 1

 Summary of Characteristics of Closest Known Active Faults

\* Note: Faults not included in CGS database.

A fault map illustrating known active faults relative to the site is presented on Figure 1, *Regional Fault Map.* Figure 2 shows the project site in relation to local faults. The criterion for fault classification adopted by the California Geological Survey defines Earthquake Fault Zones along active or potentially active faults. An active fault is one that has ruptured during Holocene time (roughly within the last 11,000 years). A fault that has ruptured during the last 1.8 million years (Quaternary time), but has not been proven by direct evidence to have not moved within Holocene time is considered to be potentially active. A fault that has not moved during Quaternary time is considered to be inactive. Review of the current Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone maps (CGS, 2000a) indicates that the nearest mapped Earthquake Fault Zone is an unnamed fault located approximately 4.3 miles west of the project site. The unnamed fault was recently identified and zoned after the April 4, 2010 magnitude 7.2M<sub>w</sub> El Mayor-Cucapah earthquake.

<u>CBC Seismic Coefficients:</u> The 2010 CBC general ground motion parameters are based on the Maximum Considered Earthquake for a ground motion with a 2% probability of occurrence in 50 years. The U.S. Geological Survey "Earthquake Ground Motion Tool", version 5.0.9a (USGS, 2009) was used to obtain the site coefficients and adjusted maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration parameters shown in Table 2. The site soils have been classified as Site Class D (stiff soil profile). Design earthquake ground motions are defined as the earthquake ground motions that are twothirds (2/3) of the corresponding MCE ground motions. Design earthquake ground motion data are provided in Table 2.

A peak ground acceleration (PGA) value of 0.38g was determined for liquefaction and seismic settlement analysis in accordance with 2010 CBC Section 1803.5.12 and CGS Note 48 (PGA =  $S_{DS}/2.5$ ). The parameter  $S_{DS}$  is derived from the maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration for short periods (CBC Section 1613.5.4) and provided in Table 2 of this report.

*Surface Rupture:* The project site does not lie within a State of California, Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone. Surface fault rupture at the project site is considered to be low. Ground failures (lateral spreading) were noted along the embankments of the All American Canal approximately 1.5 miles south of the project site after the April 4, 2010 magnitude 7.2M<sub>w</sub> El Mayor-Cucapah earthquake.



### EXPLANATION

Fault traces on land are indicated by solid lines where well located, by dashed lines where approximately located or inferred, and by dotted lines where concealed by younger rocks or by lakes or bays. Fault traces are queried where continuation or existence is uncertain. Concealed faults in the Great Valley are based on maps of selected subsurface horizons, so locations shown are approximate and may indicate structural trend only. All offshore faults based on seismic reflection profile records are shown as solid lines where well defined, dashed where inferred, queried where uncertain.

#### FAULT CLASSIFICATION COLOR CODE (Indicating Recency of Movement)

Fault along which historic (last 200 years) displacement has occurred and is associated with one or more of the following:

(a) a recorded earthquake with surface rupture. (Also included are some well-defined surface breaks caused by ground shaking during earthquakes, e.g. extensive ground breakage, not on the White Wolf fault, caused by the Arvin-Tehachapi earthquake of 1952). The date of the associated earthquake is indicated. Where repeated surface ruptures on the same fault have occurred, only the date of the latest movement may be indicated, especially if earlier reports are not well documented as to location of ground breaks.

(b) fault creep slippage - slow ground displacement usually without accompanying earthquakes.

(c) displaced survey lines.

A triangle to the right or left of the date indicates termination point of observed surface displacement. Solid red triangle indicates known location of rupture termination point. Open black triangle indicates uncertain or estimated location of rupture termination point.

Date bracketed by triangles indicates local fault break.

No triangle by date indicates an intermediate point along fault break.

Fault that exhibits fault creep slippage. Hachures indicate linear extent of fault creep. Annotation (creep with leader) indicates representative locations where fault creep has been observed and recorded.

Square on fault indicates where fault creep slippage has occured that has been triggered by an earthquake on some other fault. Date of causative earthquake indicated. Squares to right and left of date indicate terminal points between which triggered creep slippage has occurred (creep either continuous or intermittent between these end points).

Holocene fault displacement (during past 11,700 years) without historic record. Geomorphic evidence for Holocene faulting includes sag ponds, scarps showing little erosion, or the following features in Holocene age deposits: offset stream courses, linear scarps, shutter ridges, and triangular faceted spurs. Recency of faulting offshore is based on the interpreted age of the youngest strata displaced by faulting.

Late Quaternary fault displacement (during past 700,000 years). Geomorphic evidence similar to that described for Holocene faults except features are less distinct. Faulting may be younger, but lack of younger overlying deposits precludes more accurate age classification.

Quaternary fault (age undifferentiated). Most faults of this category show evidence of displacement sometime during the past 1.6 million years; possible exceptions are faults which displace rocks of undifferentiated Plio-Pleistocene age. Unnumbered Quaternary faults were based on Fault Map of California, 1975. See Bulletin 201, Appendix D for source data.

Pre-Quaternary fault (older that 1.6 million years) or fault without recognized Quaternary displacement. Some faults are shown in this category because the source of mapping used was of reconnaissnce nature, or was not done with the object of dating fault displacements. Faults in this category are not necessarily inactive.



1951

1969

1968

\_\_\_\_\_.

2-

\_\_\_\_.

CREEP

1968

### Fault Map Legend

LE13089

#### ADDITIONAL FAULT SYMBOLS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

491

Bar and bail on downthrown side (relative or apparent).

Arrows along fault indicate relative or apparent direction of lateral movement.

Arrow on fault indicates direction of dip.

Low angle fault (barbs on upper plate). Fault surface generally dips less than 45° but locally may have been subsequently steepened. On offshore faults, barbs simply indicate a reverse fault regardless of steepness of dip.

#### OTHER SYMBOLS

Numbers refer to annotations listed in the appendices of the accompanying report. Annotations include fault name, age of fault displacement, and pertinent references including Earthquake Fault Zone maps where a fault has been zoned by the Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act. This Act requires the State Geologist to delineate zones to encompass faults with Holocene displacement.

Structural discontinuity (offshore) separating differing Neogene structural domains. May indicate discontinuities between basement rocks.

-----

Brawley Seismic Zone, a linear zone of seismicity locally up to 10 km wide associated with the releasing step between the Imperial and San Andreas faults.

Ge	Geologic		Years Before	Fault	Recency	DESCRIPTION						
	Fime Scale		Present (Approx.)	Symbol	of Movement	ON LAND	OFFSHORE					
	y	Historic		~		Displacement during historic time (e Includes areas of known fault creep						
	Late Quaternary	llalacene	200		6	Displacement during threaten lime	Pault offsets scaleor sectorents or struta of Holocéne looe					
Quaternary	Late Q	ə	<u> </u>	-	-2	Faults showing eledence of displacement during tass Quiternary time	Pault cols istrate of Late Platacourie age:					
Quate	Early Quaternary	Pleistocene	— 700,000 —	~	3	Undivided Quaternary faults- most faults in this category show avatance of displacement during the fault 1,000,000 years, possible accoptions are faults which displace rocks of undifferentiated Plin-Plinstocane age.	Fault cuts strata of Quaternary age,					
Pre-Quaternary			1,600,000" 4.5 billion			Faults without recognized Quaternary displacement or showing evidence of no displacement during Quaternary time. Not necessarily inactive.	Fault cuts strata of Pliocene or older age.					

\* Quaternary now recognized as extending to 2.6 Ma (Walker and Geissman, 2009). Quaternary faults in this map were established using the previous 1.6 Ma criterion.



**Fault Map Legend** 

\_

# Table 22010 California Building Code (CBC) and ASCE 7-5 Seismic Parameters

		<b>D</b> 32.6872 N -115.6057 W	CBC Reference Table 1613.5.2	
Maximum C	onsidered	Earthquake (MCH	E) Ground Motion	
Short Period Spectral Response	Ss	1.44 g	Figure 1613.5(3)	
1 second Spectral Response	$S_1$	0.58 g	Figure 1613.5(4)	
Site Coefficient	$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{a}}$	1.00	Table 1613.5.3 (1)	
Site Coefficient	$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{v}}$	1.50	Table 1613.5.3 (2)	
Adjusted Short Period Spectral Response	S <sub>MS</sub>	1.44 g	$= F_a * S_s$	Equation 16-36
Adjusted 1 second Spectral Response	S <sub>M1</sub>	0.86 g	$= F_v * S_1$	Equation 16-37

### **Design Earthquake Ground Motion**

Short Period Spectral Response 1 second Spectral Response	S <sub>DS</sub> S <sub>D1</sub> To Ts	0.96 g 0.58 g 0.12 sec 0.60 sec	$= 2/3*S_{MS}$ = 2/3*S_{M1} =0.2*S_{D1}/S_{DS} =S_{D1}/S_{DS}	Equation 16-38 Equation 16-39
--	--	--	--	----------------------------------

				2010	CBC	
			Period	Sa	MCE Sa	80% S
		Generalized Design Response Spectrum	T (sec)	(g)	(g)	(g)
		(ASCE 7-5 Section 11.4.5)	0.01	0.43	0.65	0.34
	1.6		0.03	0.53	0.79	0.42
			0.10	0.86	1.29	0.69
	1.4		0.12	0.96	1.44	0.77
			0.15	0.96	1.44	0.77
Sa (g)	1.2		0.20	0.96	1.44	0.77
Sa			0.30	0.96	1.44	0.77
'n,	1.0		0.40	0.96	1.44	0.77
atic			0.50	0.96	1.44	0.77
Spectral Acceleration,	0.8		0.60	0.96	1.44	0.77
30		<u> </u>	0.75	0.77	1.15	0.61
al A	0.6		1.00	0.58	0.86	0.46
<u>G</u>	1		1.50	0.38	0.58	0.31
Spe	0.4		2.00	0.29	0.43	0.23
			2.20	0.26	0.39	0.21
	0.2		2.40	0.24	0.36	0.19
			2.60	0.22	0.33	0.18
	0.0		2.80	0.21	0.31	0.16
	0.0	0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 3.5 4.0	3.00	0.19	0.29	0.15
		Period (sec)	3.50	0.16	0.25	0.13
			4.00	0.14	0.22	0.12

\_..\_..

Design Response Spectra MCE Response Spectra

### **Other Hazards**

*Hazardous Materials:* The site is not located in proximity to any known hazardous materials (methane gas, tar seeps, hydrogen sulfide gas), and the risk of hazardous materials is considered very low.

**Radon 222 Gas:** Radon gas is not believed to be a potential hazard at the site. A report titled "California Statewide Radon Survey-Screening Results", dated November 1990 and published by the California State Department of Health Services, notes that Southern California showed a low risk of elevated radon levels, based on 2-day tests conducted from January through April 1990. Some of the reported testing was performed in Imperial County; however, no data was observed as being at or near the project site.

*Naturally occurring asbestos:* The site is not located in proximity to any known naturally occurring asbestos, and the risk of naturally occurring asbestos is considered very low.

*Hydrocollapse:* The site is dominantly underlain by clays that are not expected to collapse with the addition of water to the site. The risk of hydrocollapse is considered very low.

**Regional Subsidence:** Regional subsidence due to geothermal resource activities has not been documented in the area west of the New River; therefore, the risk of regional subsidence is considered low.

### Conclusion

This preliminary report was prepared according to the generally accepted *geotechnical* engineering standards of practice that existed in Imperial County at the time the report was prepared. No express or implied warranties are made in connection with our services.

Our research did not reveal conditions that would preclude implementation of the proposed project provided site specific geotechnical investigations are conducted prior to site development to provide geotechnical criteria for the design and construction of this project.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide our findings and professional opinions regarding geologic and geotechnical hazards at the site. If you have any questions or comments regarding our findings, please call our office at (760) 370-3000.

Sincerely Yours; Landmark Consultants, Inc. CERTIFIED ENGINEERING GEOLOGIST GEG 2261 OFCA Steven K. Williams, PG, CEG Senior Engineering Geologist OFESSIO No. 31921 EXPIRES 12-31-14 Jeffrey O. Lyon, PE President

# **APPENDIX A**







# Soil Survey of IMPERIAL COUNTY CALIFORNIA IMPERIAL VALLEY AREA



United States Department of Agriculture Soil Conservation Service in cooperation with University of California Agricultural Experiment Station and Imperial Irrigation District

### TABLE 11.--ENGINEERING INDEX PROPERTIES

[The symbol > means more than. Absence of an entry indicates that data were not estimated]

Soil name and	Depth	USDA texture	C1	assifi	catio		Frag- ments	Pe		ge passi number		Liquid	Plas-
map symbol	Debcul	ODDA CCAUCIC	Uni	fied	AASH	TO		4	10	40	200	limit	ticity index
	In					Ì	Pct					Pet	
100 Antho		Loamy fine sand Sandy loam, fine sandy loam.			A-2, A-2, A-4		0 0	100 90-100		75-85 50-60			N P N P
101#: Antho	8-60	Loamy fine sand Sandy loam, fine sandy loam.	SM SM		A-2 A-2, A-4		0 0	100 90-100	100 75-95	75-85 50-60	10-30 15-40		N P N P
Superstition	0-6 6-60	Fine sand Loamy fine sand, fine sand, sand.	SM SM		A-2 A-2		0 0			70-85 70-85			N P N P
102*. Badland	10										0.10		ND
103 Carsitas	0-10 10-60	Gravelly sand Gravelly sand, gravelly coarse sand, sand.	SP.	SP-SM SP-SM	A-1, A-1	A-2	0-5 0-5	60-90 60-90	50-85 50-85	30-55 25-50	0-10 0-10	=	N P N P
104 <b>*</b> Fluvaquents		           									70.05	25 45	15-30
105 Glenbar	13-60	Clay loam Clay loam, silty clay loam.	CL CL		A-6 A-6		0	100 100	100 100	90-100 90-100		35-45 35-45	15-30
106 Glenbar	13-60	¦  Clay loam  Clay loam, silty   clay loam.	CL		A-6, A-6,	A-7 A-7	0	100 100	100 100	90-100 90-100		35-45 35-45	15-25 15-25
107 <b>*</b> Glenbar	0-13	Loam	ML, CL-	-ML,	A-4		0	100	100	100	70-80	20-30	NP-10
		Clay loam, silty clay loam.			A−6,	A-7	0	100	100	95-100	75-95	35-45	15-30
108 Holtville	14-22	Loam Clay, silty clay Silt loam, very fine sandy loam.	CL,	СН	A-4 A-7 A-4			100 100 100	100 100 100	85-100  95-100  95-100	85-95	25-35 40-65 25-35	NP-10 20-35 NP-10
109 Holtville	17-24	Clay, silty clay  Silt loam, very   fine sandy	riCL,	СН СН	A-7   A-7   A-4		0	100 100 100	100 100 100	95-100 95-100 95-100	185-95	40-65 40-65 25-35	20-35 20-35 NP-10
	35-60	loam. Loamy very fine sand, loamy fine sand.	SM,	ML	A-2,	A-4	0	100	100	75-100	20-55		NP
110 Holtville	117-24	  Silty clay  Clay, silty clay  Silt loam, very   fine sandy	γICH,	CL CL	A-7 A-7 A-4		0 0	100 100 100	100 100 100	95-100 95-100 95-100	85-95	40→65	20-35 20-35 NP-10
	35-60	loam. Loamy very fine sand, loamy fine sand.	SM,	MĽ	A-2,	A – 4	0	100	100	75-100	20-55		NP

See footnote at end of table.

102

### IMPERIAL COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, IMPERIAL VALLEY AREA

103

TABLE 11.--ENGINEERING INDEX PROPERTIES--Continued

	Darth	USDA texture	Classif		Frag- ments			e passi umber			Plas-
Soil name and map symbol	Depth	USDA CEXCUTE	Unified	AASHTO	> 3 inches	4	10	40	200	1	ticity index
	In				Pet		Ì	-		Pet	
111*: Holtville	10-22 22-60	Silty clay loam Clay, silty clay Silt loam, very fine sandy loam.	ICL, CH	A-7 A-7 A-4	0 0 0	100 100 100	100	95-100 95-100 95-100	85-95	40-65 40-65 25-35	20-35 20-35 NP-10
Imperial	0-12 12-60	Silty clay loam Silty clay loam, silty clay, clay.	CL CH	A-7 A-7	0 0	100 100	100 100		85-95 85-95	40-50 50-70	10-20 25-45
112 Imperial	112-60	Silty clay Silty clay loam, silty clay, clay.	СН	A-7 A-7	0 0	100 100	100 100		85-95 85-95	50-70 50-70	25-45 25-45
113 Imperial	0-12	Silty clay Silty clay, clay, silty clay loam.	сн	A-7 A-7	0	100 100	100 100		85-95 85-95	50-70 50-70	25-45 25-45
114 Imperial	0-12 12-60	Silty clay Silty clay loam, silty clay, clay.	сн сн	A-7 A-7	0 0	100 100	100 100		85-95 85-95	50-70 50-70	25-45 25-45
115 <b>*:</b> Imperial	0-12	Silty clay loam Silty clay loam silty clay, clay.	CL CH	A-7 A-7	0 0	100 100	100 100		85-95 85-95	40-50 50-70	10-20 25-45
Glenbar	- 0-13 13-60	Silty clay loam Clay loam, silt clay loam.	CL / CL	A-6, A-7 A-6, A-7		100 100		90-100 90-100		35-45 35-45	15-25 15-25
116*: Imperial	- 0-13	3 Silty clay loam 5 Silty clay loam silty clay, clay.	ICL , CH	A -7 A -7	0 0	100 100	100 100	100 100	85-95 85-95	40-50 50-70	10-20 25-45
Glenbar	- 0-1; 13-6	3 Silty clay loam 0 Clay loam, silt   clay loam.	CL y CL	A-6, A- A-5	7 0 0	100 100	100 100	90-100	70-95 70-95	35-45	15-25 15-30
117, 118 Indio	- 0-1 12-7	2 Loam 2 Stratified loam very fine sand to silt loam.	A LUC	A - 4 A - 4	0 0	95-100 95-100	95-100 95-100	85-100 85-100	75-90	20-30 20-30	NP-5 NP-5
119*: Indio	0-1	2 Loam 2 Stratified loam very fine sand to silt loam.	iyiML	A - 4 A - 4	0 0		95-10	0 85-100	0 75-90	20-30	NP-5 NP-5
Vint	- 0-1 10-6	O Loamy fine sand O Loamy sand, loamy fine sand.	SM SM	A-2 A-2	0 0	95-100	95-10	0 70-80 0 70-80	20-30		NP NP
120* Laveen	0-1	2 Loam 0 Loam, very find sandy loam.	ML, CL- ML, CL-	ML   A-4 ML   A-4		100 95-100	95-10 85-95	0 75-85  70-80	55-65  55-65 	20-30 15-25	NP-1 NP-1

See footnote at end of table.

### TABLE 11.--ENGINEERING INDEX PROPERTIES--Continued

	Death USDA taxtur		C1	assifi	cation	Frag-	Pe	rcentag sieve n	umber	uB -	Liquid	Plas-
Soil name and map symbol	Depth	USDA texture	Uni	fied	AASHTO	<pre>inches</pre>	4	10	40	200	limit	ticity
	<u>In</u> 0-12	Fine sand Stratified loamy	SM, ML	SP-SM	A-2, A-3 A-4	Pet 0	95-100 100	90-100 100	75-100 90-100	5-30 50-65	25-35	N P N P - 1 0
Meloland		fine sand to	CL,	СН	A-7	0	100	100	95-100	85-95	40-65	20-40
122	0-12		ML		A-4	0	95-100	95-100	95-100	55-85	25-35	N P - 1 C
Meloland	12-26	loam. Stratified loamy fine sand to	1		A-4	0	100	100	90-100	50-70	25-35	NP-10
		silt loam.	СН.	CL	A-7	0	100	100	95-100	85-95	40-65	20-40
123 <b>*:</b> Meloland	0-12 12-26	Loam Stratified loamy fine sand to	ML		A-4 A-4	0 0	95-100 100	95 <b>-</b> 100 100	95-100 90-100	55-85 50-70	25-35 25-35	NP = 10 NP = 10
	26-38	silt loam. Clay, silty	сн,	CL	A-7	0	100	100	95-100	85-95	40-65	20-40
		clay, silty clay loam. Stratified silt loam to loamy fine sand.	SM,	ML	A - 4	0	100	100	75-100	35-55	25 <b>-</b> 35	N P - 1
Holtville	112-24		гсн,	CL	A-4 A-7 A-4	0 0 0	100 100 100	100 100 100	85-100 95-100 95-100	185-95	25-35 40-65 25-35	NP-1 20-3 NP-1
	36-60	loam. Loamy very fine sand, loamy fine sand.	SM,	ML	A-2, A-	4 0	100	100	75-100	20-55		NР
124, 125 Niland	- 0-23 23-60	Gravelly sand Silty clay, clay, clay loam.	- SM, CL,	SP-SM CH	1 A-2, A- A-7	3 0		70-95 100		5-25 80-95	40-65	NP 20-4
126 Niland	- 0-2	 3 Fine sand 0 Silty clay	- SM	SP-SI CH	1 A-2, A- A-7	3 0		0 90-100 100		5-25 80-95	40-65	NP 20-4
	1 0-2	3 Loamy fine sand 0 Silty clay	SM		A-2 A-7	0	90-10 100	0 90-100 100	50-65 85-100	15-30 80-95	40-65	NP 20-4
128*: Niland	- 0-2 23-6	3 Gravelly sand 0 Silty clay, clay, clay loam.	- SM	, SP-S , CH	M A-2, A A-7	-3 0	90 <b>-</b> 10 100	0 70-95 100	50-65 85-10	5-25 0 80-10	0 40-65	NP 20-4
Imperial	0-1	2 Silty clay 0 Silty clay loam silty clay, clay.	- СН , СН		A-7 A-7	0	100 100	100 100	100 100	85-95 85-95		25-1 25-4
129*: Pits												NP
130, 131 Rositas	0-2	27  Sand	SP	-SM	A-3, A-1, A-2	0	100		0 40-70	1		1
	27-6	0 Sand, fine sand loamy sand.	H, SM	, SP-3		0	100	80-10	10 40-85	5-30		NP

See footnote at end of table.

ч.

### IMPERIAL COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, IMPERIAL VALLEY AREA

\* v

Soil name and	Depth	USDA texture	Classif	ication	Frag-	P	ercenta sieve	ge pass number-		  Liguid	   Plas-	
map symbol			Unified		> 3 linches	4	10	40	200	limit	ticity index	
	In	1			Pet	1			1	Pet	LINGER	
132, 133, 134, 135-	0-9	Fine sand	SM	A-3,	0	100	80-100	50-80	10-25		NP	
Rositas	9-60	Sand, fine sand, loamy sand.	SM, SP-SM	A-2 A-3, A-2, A-1	0	100	80-100	40-85	5-30		NP	
136 Rositas	0-4 4-60	Loamy fine sand Sand, fine sand, loamy sand.	SM SM, SP-SM	A-1, A-2 A-3, A-2, A-1	0 0	100 100	80-100 80-100				N P N P	
137 Rositas		Silt loam  Sand, fine sand,   loamy sand.		A-4 A-3, A-2, A-1	0 0	100 100		90-100 40-85		20-30	NP⊸5 NP	
138*: Rositas	20 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Loamy fine sand Sand, fine sand, loamy sand.		A-1, A-2 A-3, A-2, A-1	0 0	100 100	80-100 80-100		10-35 5-30	=	N P N P	
Superstition		Loamy fine sand Loamy fine sand, fine sand, sand.		A-2 A-2	0 0		95-100 95-100		15-25 15-25	=	N P N P	
139 Superstition	6-60	Loamy fine sand Loamy fine sand, fine sand, sand.		A-2 A-2	0 0		95-100 95-100		15-25 15-25		N P N P	
140*: Torriorthents		4 5 7 7 8 4				1 5 7 8 8 8 8		2 7 8 8 8	4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5			
Rock outerop		ŭ L J	i.									
141 <b>*:</b> Torriorthents												
Orthids		    							t 			
142 Vint		Loamy very fine	SM, ML	A-4	0	100	100	85-95	40-65	15-25	NP-5	
			SM	A-2	0	95-100	95-100	70-80	20-30		ΝP	
143 Vint			ML, CL-ML, SM,	A-4	0	100	100	75-85	45-55	15-25	NP-5	
	12-60	Loamy sand, loamy fine sand.	SM-SC SM	A-2	0	95-100	95-100	70-80	20-30		NP	
144*: Vint	0-10	Very fine sandy loam.	SM, ML	A-4	0	100	100	85-95	40-65	15 <b>-</b> 25	NP-5	
		Loamy fine sand Silty clay		A-2 A-7		95-100 100		70-80 95-100		40-65	NP 20-35	
Indio	0-12	Very fine sandy	ML	A-4	0	95-100	95-100	85-100	75-90	20-30	NP-5	
		loam. Stratified loamy very fine sand	ML.	A-4	0	95-100	95-100	85-100	75-90	20-30	NP-5	
		to silt loam. Silty clay	с∟, сн	A-7	0	100	100	95 <b>-</b> 100	85-95	40 <b>-</b> 65	20-35	

TABLE 11.--ENGINEERING INDEX PROPERTIES--Continued

\* See description of the map unit for composition and behavior characteristics of the map unit.

105



