Project/Site: Wistaria Ranch	City/County: Imperial	County	Sampling Date: 09/19/12
Applicant/Owner: Tenaska		State: CA	Sampling Point: GW-C
Investigator(s): G. Scheid	Section, Township,	Range: Heber Quad: Sec	tion 14 T17S, R13E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): low flow channel	Local relief (concave	e, convex, none): <u>concave</u>	Slope (%): 0-1%
Subregion (LRR): LRR-C Lat:	32.69 dd	Long: -115.62 dd	Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Imperial-Glenbar silty clay loam		NWI classificati	on: Riverine
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of	of year? Yes X	No(If no, explain ir	n Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologysign	ificantly disturbed? No	Are "Normal Circumstanc	es" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologynatu	rally problematic? No	(If needed, explain any an	swers in Remarks.)

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X No Yes X No Yes X No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes <u>X</u> No
Remarks:			

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test workshee	et:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Specie	29
1. Tamarix chilensis	60	Y	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FA	
2.				Total Number of Dominant	
3.				Species Across All Strata:	2 (B)
4.				Percent of Dominant Specie	
	60	= Total Cove	er	That Are OBL, FACW, or FA	AC: <u>100</u> (A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:	)				
1. Pluchea sericea	20	Y	FACW	Prevalence Index workshe	et:
2.				Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
3				OBL species	x 1 =
4.				FACW species	x 2 =
5		·		FAC species	x 3 =
·	20	= Total Cove	er	FACU species	x 4 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size: )		rotal cort		UPL species	x 5 =
<u> </u>				Column Totals:	(A) (B)
2					_ ` ```
3.				Prevalence Index = E	3/A =
4.		·		Hydrophytic Vegetation In	dicators:
5				X Dominance Test is >5	
6				Prevalence Index is ≤	
7.				·   · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	tions <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
8.					r on a separate sheet)
		= Total Cov	/er		tic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:	)	10101 001	<b>.</b> .		
1.	_ /			<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and	d wotland bydralagy must
2.		·		be present, unless disturbe	
<u></u>		= Total Cove		Line and a star	
			51	Hydrophytic Vegetation	
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum       20       % Cover of Biotic Crust       Present?       Yes       X       No					X No
Remarks: Vegetation growing in Greeson Wash low flow channel.					

Sampling Point: GW-C

Profile Desc	cription: (Describe to	the depth	needed to docum	ent the in	dicator or	confirm t	the absence	of indica	itors.)
Depth	Matrix			edox Featu	4	. 2			_
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type'	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Textur	e	Remarks
0-18	7.5 YR 3/1	95	5 YR 6/8	5	RM	М	clay loam		
				·	· ·		_		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					·			·	
		· ·		·	·				
					·				
	ncentration, D=Depletion					3. <sup>2</sup>			, RC=Root Channel, M=Matrix.
-	I Indicators: (Applica	ble to all L			-				oblematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
Histoso	( )			Redox (S5)					9) (LRR C)
	Epipedon (A2) Histic (A3)			d Matrix (S Mucky Min				uced Vert	10) ( <b>LRR B</b> ) ic (E18)
	en Sulfide (A4)			Gleyed Ma	• •				aterial (TF2)
	ed Layers (A5) (LRR C	;)	x Deplete						n in Remarks)
	luck (A9) ( <b>LRR D</b> )			Dark Surfa	· · ·				
	ed Below Dark Surface	e (A11)		d Dark Su	. ,		3		
	Oark Surface (A12)			Depressior	ıs (F8)			-	ophytic vegetation and
	Mucky Mineral (S1) Gleyed Matrix (S4)		vemai	Pools (F9)				-	ogy must be present, ed or problematic.
							unic		
_	Layer (if present):								
Type:	aboo):						Lludria Cail	Dragont?	Vee X Ne
Depth (inc	ines):						Hydric Soil	Present?	Yes X No
Remarks:									
HYDROLO	GY								
Wetland H	ydrology Indicators:						<u> </u>	Secondar	y Indicators (2 or more required)
Primary Ind	licators (minimum of o	ne required	; check all that app	ly)			=	Water	Marks (B1) ( <b>Riverine</b> )
x Surface	e Water (A1)		Salt Crus	st (B11)			_	Sedim	ent Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
<u>x</u> High W	/ater Table (A2)		Biotic Crust (B12)			Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)			
<u>x</u> Saturat			·	nvertebrate	· · ·		Drainage Patterns (B10)		
	Marks (B1) (Nonriver		<u>x</u> Hydroge		• •		-		ason Water Table (C2)
	ent Deposits (B2) (Noi				eres along	-	ots (C3)		uck Surface (C7)
	eposits (B3) ( <b>Nonrive</b>	ne)			ed Iron (C4		-		sh Burrows (C8)
	e Soil Cracks (B6)	magar : /D7			tion in Tilled	a Solis (C	·o) _		tion Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
	tion Visible on Aerial I	magery (B7		ck Surface	. ,		-		w Aquitard (D3)
vvater-	Stained Leaves (B9)		Outer (E	xplain in R	emarks)			FAU-N	leutral Test (D5)

Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Other (Explain in Remarks	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:		
Surface Water Present? Yes	X No Depth (inches): 12	
Water Table Present? Yes	X No Depth (inches): 0	
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe)	X No Depth (inches): 0	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge	, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous ins	spections), if available:
Remarks: Greeson Drain/Wash low flow	v channel.	

Project/Site: Wistaria Ranch 0	City/County: Imperial County Sampling Date: 09/19/12
Applicant/Owner: Tenaska	State: CA Sampling Point: GW-D
Investigator(s): G. Scheid	Section, Township, Range: Heber Quad: Section 14 T17S, R13E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): IID Drain channel	Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>concave</u> Slope (%): <u>0-1%</u>
Subregion (LRR): LRR-C Lat: 32.63	7 dd Long: -115.61 dd Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Imperial silty clay	NWI classification: Riverine
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of yea	r? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation X, Soil X, or Hydrology significant	ly disturbed? Yes Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally p	roblematic? No (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes         X         No           Yes         X         No           Yes         X         No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	YesX No
Remarks: IID channel vegetation and	d soils disturbed due to maintenan	ce.	

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test workshee	t:		
Tree Stratum         (Plot size:)           1.        )	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Specie That Are OBL, FACW, or FA		1	(A)
2. 3.				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:		2	(B)
4.				Percent of Dominant Species			
		= Total Cove	er	That Are OBL, FACW, or FA	.C:	50	(A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: )							
1. Pluchea sericia	10	Y	FACW	Prevalence Index workshe	et:		
2.				Total % Cover of:	Mu	ltiply by:	
3.				OBL species	x 1 =		_
1				FACW species 10	x 2 =	20	
4 5.				FAC species 5	x 3 =	15	_
	10	= Total Cove	er	FACU species 30	x 4 =	120	_
Herb Stratum (Plot size: )				UPL species	x 5 =		_
1. Sorghum halepense	30	Y	FACU	Column Totals: 45	(A)	155	(B)
2. Reseda alba	5	N	FAC		- ` ´ _		_``
3.				Prevalence Index = B	8/A = <u>3.4</u>		_
4.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Inc	dicators:		
5.				Dominance Test is >5	0%		
6				Prevalence Index is ≤3	$3.0^{1}$		
7.				Morphological Adaptat		vide supp	ortina
8.				data in Remarks or			
	35	= Total Cov	ver	X Problematic Hydrophy	tic Vegeta	ition <sup>1</sup> (Expl	ain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: )						(=,+	
1				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and	wetland	hvdrology	must
2.				be present, unless disturbed			maor
= Total Cover       Hydrophytic         Vegetation       Vegetation         % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum       55       % Cover of Biotic Crust       Present?       Yes       X       No							
Remarks: Vegetation in IID drain is peridocially cleared	d during cha	nnel maintena	ince.				

SOIL
------

Sampling Point: GW-D

Depth Matrix	Re	edox Featu	res				
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks	
0-18 10 YR 3/1					clay loam		
			<u> </u>				
		·					
		·					
		·					
<u></u>				2			
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced				S. <sup>2</sup>		g, RC=Root Channel, M=Matrix.	
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LR	•		,			roblematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :	
Histosol (A1)		Redox (S5)			1 cm Muck (/	, ( )	
Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3)	· · ·	d Matrix (Se Mucky Min	,		Reduced Ver	A10) ( <b>LRR B</b> )	
x Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)		Mucky Min Gleyed Ma					
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)	x Deplete	-			Red Parent Material (TF2) Other (Explain in Remarks)		
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)	·	Dark Surfac	,				
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)		d Dark Sur	( )				
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Redox [	Depression	s (F8)		<sup>3</sup> Indicators of hyd	Irophytic vegetation and	
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Vernal F	Pools (F9)			wetland hydro	plogy must be present,	
Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)					unless disturb	ped or problematic.	
Restrictive Layer (if present):							
Туре:							
Depth (inches):					Hydric Soil Present?	? Yes X No	
Remarks:							
remano.							
IYDROLOGY							
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:					Seconda	ry Indicators (2 or more required	
	check all that ann	(v)				r Marks (B1) ( <b>Riverine</b> )	
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)						. , . ,	
x Surface Water (A1)					Sediment Deposits (B2) ( <b>Riverine</b> )		
	High Water Table (A2)Biotic Crust (B12) Saturation (A3) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)				Drift Deposits (B3) ( <b>Riverine</b> ) Drainage Patterns (B10)		
x Saturation (A3)	<u> </u>		` '				
Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine) <u>x</u> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)					DIY-S	eason Water Table (C2)	
Sodimont Donosite (P2) (Nonriverine)	<u> </u>				oto (C2) Thin M	Augh Surfage (C7)	
Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)		•	Ű	•	. ,	Muck Surface (C7)	
Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine) Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine) Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	Presence	Rhizosphe e of Reduce ron Reduct	ed Iron (C4	-)	Crayf	Muck Surface (C7) ish Burrows (C8) ation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9	

Other (Explain in Remarks)

12

0

0

Yes X No Depth (inches):

Yes X No Depth (inches):

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Yes

Х

No \_\_\_\_ Depth (inches):

Water-Stained Leaves (B9)

Remarks: Greeson Drain/Wash low flow channel.

**Field Observations:** 

Water Table Present?

Saturation Present?

Surface Water Present?

(includes capillary fringe)

Yes X No

FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Wetland Hydrology Present?

Project/Site: Wistaria Ranch	City/County: Imperial County Sampling Date: 09/19/12
Applicant/Owner: Tenaska	State: CA Sampling Point: NR-1
Investigator(s): G. Scheid	Section, Township, Range: Heber Quad: Sectin 27 T16S, R13E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): floodplain terrace	Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 0-1%
Subregion (LRR): LRR-C Lat:	32.73 dd Long: -115.62 dd Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Indio Loam	NWI classification: Riverine
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of	f year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologysignif	icantly disturbed? No Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologynature	ally problematic? No (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present?	Yes X Yes	No NoX	Is the Sampled Area	Yes	No X	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes		within a Wetland?	100		
Remarks: Upper floodplain terrace of the New River; CDFG riparian only.						

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet	:		
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species	6		
1. Tamarix chilensis	5	Y	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC	C: <u>2</u> (A)		
2.				Total Number of Dominant			
3.				Species Across All Strata:	2 (B)		
4.				Percent of Dominant Species			
	5	= Total Cove	er	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC	C: <u>100</u> (A/B)		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: )							
1. Pluchea sericea	90	Y	FACW	Prevalence Index workshee	t:		
2.				Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:		
3.				OBL species			
4.		·		FACW species			
				FAC species			
5					x 3 =		
	90	= Total Cove	er	FACU species	x 4 =		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: )				UPL species	x 5 =		
1				Column Totals:	(A)(B)		
2				Prevalence Index = B/	A =		
3							
4.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Ind	icators:		
5.				X Dominance Test is >50	%		
6.				Prevalence Index is ≤3	.0 <sup>1</sup>		
7.				Morphological Adaptati	ons <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting		
8.					on a separate sheet)		
		= Total Cov	er	Problematic Hydrophyt	ic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: )					o vogotation (Explain)		
1.				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and	watland bydrology must		
2.		·		be present, unless disturbed			
Z					'		
		= Total Cove	er	Hydrophytic			
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 5 % Co	ver of Biotic	: Crust		Vegetation Present? Yes	X No		
Remarks: Vegetation growing in New River floodplain terrace.							

SOIL
------

Depth	Matrix			edox Featur		. 2		_			
inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Ren	narks		
-18	7.5 YR 3/3	·		. <u> </u>			sandy loam				
				·							
				·							
				·							
Type: C=Co	oncentration, D=Depletion	n, RM=Reduc	ed Matrix, CS=Covere	d or Coated	Sand Grains	. 2	Location: PL=Pore Lining, R	C=Root Chanr	nel, M=Matrix.		
lydric So	il Indicators: (Applic	able to all L	RRs, unless othe	rwise noted	i.)		Indicators for Probl	ematic Hydı	ric Soils <sup>3</sup> :		
Histos	ol (A1)		Sandy	Redox (S5)	dox (S5)1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)						
Histic I	Epipedon (A2)		Strippe	d Matrix (S6	i)		2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)				
Black I	Histic (A3)		Loamy	Mucky Mine	eral (F1)		Reduced Vertic (F18)				
Hydrog	gen Sulfide (A4)		Loamy	Gleyed Mat	rix (F2)		Red Parent Material (TF2)				
Stratifi	ed Layers (A5) (LRR (	C)	Deplete	ed Matrix (F3	3)		Other (Explain in Remarks)				
	/luck (A9) (LRR D)	,	Redox	Dark Surfac	é (F6)		、 、	,			
	ed Below Dark Surfac	e (A11)	Deplete	ed Dark Surf	ace (F7)						
	Dark Surface (A12)	· · ·	 Redox	Depressions	s (F8)		<sup>3</sup> Indicators of hydrop	nvtic vegetat	ion and		
Sandv	Mucky Mineral (S1)		Vernal	Pools (F9)	( )		wetland hydrolog	, ,			
	Gleyed Matrix (S4)			(-)			unless disturbed				
estrictive	Layer (if present):										
Type:											
Depth (in	ches):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No X		
emarks: I	No hydric soil indicato	rs observed									
	,										

Wetland Hydrology Indica	tors:					Secondary Indi	cators (2 o	r more r	auirod)
						Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)			
Primary Indicators (minimur	n of one requir	ea; cn	еск	all that apply)			; (B1) ( <b>Rive</b>	rine)	
Surface Water (A1)			_	Salt Crust (B11)		Sediment De	eposits (B2)	) (Riverin	<b>e</b> )
High Water Table (A2)				Biotic Crust (B12)		Drift Deposit	s (B3) ( <b>Riv</b> e	erine)	
Saturation (A3)			_	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)		Drainage Pa	tterns (B10	)	
Water Marks (B1) (Non	riverine)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Dry-Season	Water Tabl	e (C2)	
Sediment Deposits (B2	) (Nonriverine	•)	_	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Livin	g Roots (C3)	Thin Muck S	urface (C7)	)	
Drift Deposits (B3) (Nor	nriverine)		_	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Crayfish Bur	rows (C8)		
Surface Soil Cracks (B6	6)		_	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soi	ils (C6)	Saturation V	isible on Ae	erial Imag	ery (C9)
Inundation Visible on A	erial Imagery (	B7)	_	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)				
Water-Stained Leaves	(B9)			Other (Explain in Remarks)		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)			
Field Observations:									
Surface Water Present?	Yes	No	Х	_Depth (inches):					
Water Table Present?	Yes	No	Х	Depth (inches):					
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes	_ No	Х	_Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydro	logy Present?	Yes	No	<u>X</u>
Describe Recorded Data (stre	eam gauge, me	onitorir	ng w	ell, aerial photos, previous inspection	s), if available:				
	<i></i>								
Remarks: Upper floopdlain te	errace of the N	ew Riv	/er;	above OHWM					

Project/Site: Wistaria Ranch	City/County: Imperial County Sampling Date: 09/18/12
Applicant/Owner: Tenaska	State: CA Sampling Point: NR-2
Investigator(s): G. Scheid	Section, Township, Range: Heber Quad: Section 27 T16S, R13E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): floodplain terrace	Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 0-1%
Subregion (LRR): LRR-C Lat:	32.73 dd Long: -115.62 dd Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Indio-Vint Complex	NWI classification: Riverine
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of	of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologysign	ificantly disturbed? No Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologynatu	rally problematic? No (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X Yes Yes	No No No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes	NoX		
Remarks: Upper floodplain terrace of the New River; CDFG riparian only.							

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet	:		
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species	6		
1. Tamarix chilensis	80	Y	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC	C: <u>2</u> (A)		
2.				Total Number of Dominant			
3.				Species Across All Strata:	2 (B)		
4.				Percent of Dominant Species			
	80	= Total Cove	er	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC	C: <u>100</u> (A/B)		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: )							
1. Pluchea sericea	20	Y	FACW	Prevalence Index workshee	et:		
2.				Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:		
3				OBL species			
4.				FACW species			
				FAC species			
5				FACU species	x 3 = x 4 =		
	20	= Total Cove	er				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: )				UPL species	x 5 =		
1				Column Totals:	(A) <u>(B)</u>		
2				Prevalence Index = B/	A =		
3							
4				Hydrophytic Vegetation Ind	licators:		
5.				X Dominance Test is >50	1%		
6				Prevalence Index is ≤3	.0 <sup>1</sup>		
7.				Morphological Adaptati	ons <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting		
8.					on a separate sheet)		
		= Total Cov	rer	Problematic Hydrophyt	ic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: )							
, 1.				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and	wetland bydrology must		
2.				be present, unless disturbed			
L		= Total Cove					
			÷1	Hydrophytic Vegetation			
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 0 % Co	Present? Yes	X No					
Remarks: Vegetation growing in New River floodplain terrace.							

SOIL
------

Profile Desc Depth	cription: (Describe to Matrix	o the depth n		ent the india dox Feature		confirm t	he absence of indicate	ors.)			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)		Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks			
0-18	7.5 YR 3/3						sandy loam				
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Co	ncentration, D=Depletior	n, RM=Reduced	Matrix, CS=Covered	l or Coated Sa	and Grains	. 2	Location: PL=Pore Lining, F	RC=Root Channel, M=Matrix.			
Hydric Soi	I Indicators: (Application)	able to all LR	Rs, unless other	wise noted.	.)		Indicators for Prob	elematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :			
Histoso	l (A1)		Sandy R					ck (A9) ( <b>LRR C</b> )			
	pipedon (A2)			Matrix (S6)			2 cm Muck (A10) ( <b>LRR B</b> )				
Black H	listic (A3)			/lucky Miner			Reduced Vertic (F18)				
Hydrog	en Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)				Red Parent Material (TF2)				
Stratifie	d Layers (A5) (LRR C	<b>S</b> )	Depleted	d Matrix (F3)	)		Other (Explain i	n Remarks)			
1 cm M	uck (A9) ( <b>LRR D</b> )		Redox D	ark Surface	e (F6)						
Deplete	ed Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Depleted	d Dark Surfa	ace (F7)						
Thick D	ark Surface (A12)		Redox D	epressions	(F8)		<sup>3</sup> Indicators of hydrop	phytic vegetation and			
Sandy	Mucky Mineral (S1)		Vernal P	ools (F9)			wetland hydrolog	gy must be present,			
Sandy	Gleyed Matrix (S4)						unless disturbed	or problematic.			
Restrictive	Layer (if present):										
Type:											
Depth (inc	hes):		_				Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u>No X</u>			
Remarks: N	lo hydric soil indicator	s observed.									

Wetland Hydrology Indica	ators.					Secondary Indi	cators (2 c	or more re	equired)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)						Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)			
Primary indicators (minimu	n or one requi	eu, cri	IECK	an that apply)			. , .	,	
Surface Water (A1)				Salt Crust (B11)		Sediment De	eposits (B2	) (Riverin	<b>e</b> )
High Water Table (A2)				Biotic Crust (B12)		Drift Deposit	.s (B3) ( <b>Riv</b>	erine)	
Saturation (A3)				Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)		Drainage Pa	tterns (B10	))	
Water Marks (B1) (Nor	riverine)		_	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Dry-Season	Water Tab	le (C2)	
Sediment Deposits (B2	) (Nonriverine	<del>!</del> )		Oxidized Rhizospheres along Livin	ig Roots (C3)	Thin Muck S	urface (C7	)	
Drift Deposits (B3) (No	nriverine)			Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Crayfish Bur	rows (C8)		
Surface Soil Cracks (B	6)			Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled So	ils (C6)	Saturation V	isible on A	erial Imag	ery (C9)
Inundation Visible on A	erial Imagery (	B7)		Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)				
Water-Stained Leaves	(B9)		_	Other (Explain in Remarks)		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)			
Field Observations:									
Surface Water Present?	Yes	No	Х	Depth (inches):					
Water Table Present?	Yes	No	Х	Depth (inches):					
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes	_ No	Х	_Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydro	logy Present?	Yes	No	X
Describe Recorded Data (stre	eam gauge, m	onitorir	ng w	ell, aerial photos, previous inspection	s), if available:				
,			0		,-				
Remarks: Upper floopdlain te	errace of the N	ew Riv	/er;	above OHWM					

Project/Site: Wistaria Ranch	City/County: Imperial County Sampling Date: 09/18/12
Applicant/Owner: Tenaska	State: CA Sampling Point: NR-3
Investigator(s): G. Scheid	Section, Township, Range: Heber Quad: Section 27 T16S, R13E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): floodplain terrace	Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 0-1%
Subregion (LRR): LRR-C Lat:	32.73 dd Long: -115.62 dd Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Badland	NWI classification: Riverine
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time o	f year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologysignif	icantly disturbed? No Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologynatur	ally problematic? No (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X Yes Yes	No NoX NoX	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes	NoX
Remarks: Upper floodplain terrace of the New River; CDFG riparian only.					

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet	:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species	5
1. Tamarix chilensis	90	Y	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC	C: <u>2</u> (A)
2.				Total Number of Dominant	
3.				Species Across All Strata:	2 (B)
4.				Percent of Dominant Species	
	90	= Total Cove	er	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC	C: <u>100</u> (A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: )					
1. Pluchea sericea	10	Y	FACW	Prevalence Index workshee	t:
2.				Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
3				OBL species	
4.				FACW species	
				FAC species	
5				FACU species	x 3 = x 4 =
	10	= Total Cove	er		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: )				UPL species	x 5 =
1				Column Totals:	(A)(B)
2				Prevalence Index = B/	A =
3					
4				Hydrophytic Vegetation Ind	icators:
5.				X Dominance Test is >50	%
6				Prevalence Index is ≤3	.0 <sup>1</sup>
7.				Morphological Adaptati	ons <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
8.				data in Remarks or	on a separate sheet)
		= Total Cov	rer	Problematic Hydrophyt	ic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: )					
1.				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and	wetland hydrology must
2.				be present, unless disturbed	
<u></u>		= Total Cove	)r		
			51	Hydrophytic Vegetation	
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 0 % Co	Present? Yes	X No			
Remarks: Vegetation growing in New River floodplain terrace.					

SOIL
------

Profile Desc Depth	cription: (Describe to Matrix	o the depth n		ent the india dox Feature		confirm t	he absence of indicate	ors.)	
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)		Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks	
0-18	7.5 YR 3/3						sandy loam		
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Co	ncentration, D=Depletior	n, RM=Reduced	Matrix, CS=Covered	l or Coated Sa	and Grains	. 2	Location: PL=Pore Lining, F	RC=Root Channel, M=Matrix.	
Hydric Soi	I Indicators: (Applica	able to all LR	Rs, unless other	wise noted.	.)		Indicators for Prob	elematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :	
Histoso	l (A1)		Sandy R	edox (S5)			1 cm Muck (A9)	(LRR C)	
	pipedon (A2)			Matrix (S6)			2 cm Muck (A10		
Black H	listic (A3)			/lucky Miner			Reduced Vertic	(F18)	
Hydrog	en Sulfide (A4)		Loamy C	Sleyed Matri	x (F2)		Red Parent Mat	erial (TF2)	
Stratifie	d Layers (A5) (LRR C	<b>S</b> )	Depleted	d Matrix (F3)	)		Other (Explain i	n Remarks)	
1 cm M	uck (A9) ( <b>LRR D</b> )		Redox D	ark Surface	e (F6)				
Deplete	ed Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Depleted	d Dark Surfa	ace (F7)				
Thick D	ark Surface (A12)		Redox D	epressions	(F8)		<sup>3</sup> Indicators of hydrop	phytic vegetation and	
Sandy	Mucky Mineral (S1)		Vernal Pools (F9)				wetland hydrology must be present,		
Sandy	Gleyed Matrix (S4)						unless disturbed	or problematic.	
Restrictive	Layer (if present):								
Type:									
Depth (inc	hes):		_				Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u>No X</u>	
Remarks: N	lo hydric soil indicator	s observed.							

Wetland Hydrology Indica	ators.					Secondary Indi	cators (2 c	or more re	equired)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)						Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)			<u>,quircu</u>
Primary indicators (minimu	n or one requi	eu, cri	IECK	an that apply)			. , .	,	
Surface Water (A1)				Salt Crust (B11)		Sediment De	eposits (B2	) (Riverin	<b>e</b> )
High Water Table (A2)				Biotic Crust (B12)		Drift Deposit	.s (B3) ( <b>Riv</b>	erine)	
Saturation (A3)				Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)		Drainage Pa	tterns (B10	))	
Water Marks (B1) (Nor	riverine)		_	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Dry-Season	Water Tab	le (C2)	
Sediment Deposits (B2	) (Nonriverine	<del>!</del> )		Oxidized Rhizospheres along Livin	ig Roots (C3)	Thin Muck S	urface (C7	)	
Drift Deposits (B3) (No	nriverine)			Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Crayfish Bur	rows (C8)		
Surface Soil Cracks (B	6)			Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled So	ils (C6)	Saturation V	isible on A	erial Imag	ery (C9)
Inundation Visible on A	erial Imagery (	B7)		Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aqu	itard (D3)			
Water-Stained Leaves	(B9)		_	Other (Explain in Remarks)		FAC-Neutral	Test (D5)		
Field Observations:									
Surface Water Present?	Yes	No	Х	Depth (inches):					
Water Table Present?	Yes	No	Х	Depth (inches):					
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes	_ No	Х	_Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydro	logy Present?	Yes	No	X
Describe Recorded Data (stre	eam gauge, m	onitorir	ng w	ell, aerial photos, previous inspection	s), if available:				
,			0		,-				
Remarks: Upper floopdlain te	errace of the N	ew Riv	/er;	above OHWM					

Project/Site: Wistaria Ranch	City/County: Imperial County Sampling Date: 09/18/12
Applicant/Owner: Tenaska	State: CA Sampling Point: NR-4
Investigator(s): G. Scheid	Section, Township, Range: Heber Quad: Section 27 T16S, R13E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): floodplain terrace	Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 0-1%
Subregion (LRR):         LRR-C         Lat:         32.7	3 dd Long: <u>-115.62 dd</u> Datum: <u>NAD83</u>
Soil Map Unit Name: Fluvaquents, saline	NWI classification: Riverine
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year	r? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologysignificant	tly disturbed? No Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologynaturally p	problematic? No (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X No Yes X No Yes X No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes X No
Remarks: Lower floodplain terrace of	f the New River.		

Trac Stratum (Diataira)	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:	
Tree Stratum         (Plot size:)           1. Tamarix chilensis	<u>% Cover</u> 5	Species? Y	Status FAC	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC	: 3 (A)
2.				Total Number of Dominant	(')
3.				Species Across All Strata:	3 (B)
4.				Percent of Dominant Species	( )
	5	= Total Cove	er	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC	: <u>100</u> (A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: )					
1. Pluchea sericea	5	Y	FACW	Prevalence Index worksheet	
2.				Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
3.				OBL species	x 1 =
4.				FACW species	x 2 =
5.				FAC species	x 3 =
	5	= Total Cove	er	FACU species	x 4 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size: )				UPL species	x 5 =
1. Phragmites australis	90	Y	FACW	Column Totals:	(A)(B)
2				Prevalence Index = B/A	4 =
3					
4				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indi	cators:
5				X Dominance Test is >509	%
6				Prevalence Index is ≤3.0	0 <sup>1</sup>
7.				Morphological Adaptatic	ons <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
8				data in Remarks or c	n a separate sheet)
		= Total Cov	/er	Problematic Hydrophytic	v Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: )					
1				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and	
2.				be present, unless disturbed	or problematic.
		= Total Cove	er	Hydrophytic	
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 0 % Co	Vegetation Present? Yes	XNo			
Remarks: Vegetation growing in New River lower floodplain terrace.					

Profile Des	cription: (Describe te	o the depth nee	eded to docum	ent the in	dicator or	confirm t	he absence of indic	cators.)	
Depth	Matrix		Re	edox Featu			_		
(inches)	Color (moist)	% (	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks	
0-18	7.5 YR 3/1						sandy loam		
	-	·			· ·				
		<u> </u>		·	· ·				
		·		·	· ·				
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Cc	oncentration, D=Depletion	n, RM=Reduced M	latrix, CS=Covere	d or Coated	Sand Grains	s. <sup>2</sup>	Location: PL=Pore Linir	ng, RC=Root Channel, M=Matrix.	
Hydric Soi	il Indicators: (Applic	able to all LRR	s, unless other	rwise note	ed.)			roblematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :	
Histoso	ol (A1)		Sandy I	Redox (S5)	)		1 cm Muck (	(A9) ( <b>LRR C</b> )	
Histic E	Epipedon (A2)		Stripped	d Matrix (S	6)		2 cm Muck (	(A10) ( <b>LRR B</b> )	
Black H	Histic (A3)		Loamy	Mucky Min	eral (F1)		Reduced Vertic (F18)		
	jen Sulfide (A4)		Loamy	Gleyed Ma	trix (F2)		Red Parent	Material (TF2)	
Stratifie	ed Layers (A5) (LRR (	C)	x Deplete	ed Matrix (F	-3)		Other (Expla	ain in Remarks)	
	luck (A9) ( <b>LRR D</b> )			Dark Surfa	( )				
·	ed Below Dark Surfac	e (A11)	·	d Dark Su	. ,		0		
	Dark Surface (A12)			Depressior	ns (F8)			drophytic vegetation and	
	Mucky Mineral (S1)		Vernal I	Pools (F9)			•	ology must be present,	
Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)					unless distur	bed or problematic.			
Restrictive	Layer (if present):								
Type:									
Depth (ind	ches):						Hydric Soil Present	? Yes X No	
Remarks:									
r tornanto.									
HYDROLO	GY								
Wetland H	ydrology Indicators						<u>Seconda</u>	ary Indicators (2 or more required)	
Primary Inc	dicators (minimum of	one required; ch	eck all that app	ly)			Wate	er Marks (B1) ( <b>Riverine</b> )	
x Surface	e Water (A1)		Salt Crus	st (B11)			Sedir	ment Deposits (B2) ( <b>Riverine</b> )	
x High W	/ater Table (A2)		Biotic Crust (B12)				Drift Deposits (B3) ( <b>Riverine</b> )		

x Surface Water (A1)	Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)		
_x_High Water Table (A2)	Biotic Crust (B12)	Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)	
x Saturation (A3)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Drainage Patterns (B10)	
Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along I	Living Roots (C3)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)
Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4	-)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tillec	d Soils (C6)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:         Surface Water Present?       Yes       X       No       Depth (inches):       6         Water Table Present?       Yes       X       No       Depth (inches):       0         Saturation Present?       Yes       X       No       Depth (inches):       0         Saturation Present?       Yes       X       No       Depth (inches):       0       Wetland Hydrology Present?       Yes       X       No         (includes capillary fringe)       Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:       Remarks:       Lower floopdlain terrace of the New River; ACOE adjacent wetland.			

Project/Site: Wistaria Ranch	City/County: Imperial County Sampling Date: 09/19/12
Applicant/Owner: Tenaska	State: CA Sampling Point: NR-5
Investigator(s): G. Scheid	Section, Township, Range: Heber Quad: Section 35 T16S, R13E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): floodplain terrace	Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 0-1%
Subregion (LRR):         LRR-C         Lat:         32.7	1 ddLong: -115.60 ddDatum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Indio-Vint Complex	NWI classification: Riverine
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year	ar? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologysignifican	tly disturbed? No Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologynaturally	problematic? No (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X Yes Yes	No X No X No X	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes	NoX
Remarks: Upper floodplain terrace of the New River; CDFG riparian only.					

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:	
Tree Stratum         (Plot size:)           1.        )	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC	: 1 (A)
2. 3.				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	 1 (B)
4.				Percent of Dominant Species	( )
		= Total Cove	r	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC	: <u>100</u> (A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: )					
1. Pluchea sericea	90	Y	FACW	Prevalence Index worksheet	
2.		<u> </u>		Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
3.				OBL species	x 1 =
4.				FACW species	x 2 =
5.				FAC species	x 3 =
	90	= Total Cove	r	FACU species	x 4 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)				UPL species	x 5 =
1. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Column Totals:	(A) (B)
2.				Dervelance Index - D//	
3.				Prevalence Index = B/A	\ =
4.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indi	cators:
5.				X Dominance Test is >50°	%
6				Prevalence Index is ≤3.0	) <sup>1</sup>
7.				Morphological Adaptatio	ons <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
8.				data in Remarks or c	
		= Total Cov	er	Problematic Hydrophytic	: Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: )					
1				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and	wetland hydrology must
2.				be present, unless disturbed	or problematic.
		= Total Cove	r	Hydrophytic Vegetation	
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 10 % Cover of Biotic Crust				Present? Yes	X No
Remarks: Vegetation growing in New River upper flood	lplain terrac	e.			

Depth	Matrix		R	edox Feature	S		_		
inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Ren	narks
)-18	7.5 YR 3/3						sandy loam		
		· ·							
		· ·		- <u></u> -					
		· ·							
	oncentration, D=Depletio					2	Location: PL=Pore Lining, R		
lydric So	il Indicators: (Applic	able to all L	RRs, unless othe	rwise noted.	)		Indicators for Probl	ematic Hydi	ric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
Histos	ol (A1)		Sandy	Redox (S5)			1 cm Muck (A9)	· /	
Histic I	Epipedon (A2)		Strippe	d Matrix (S6)			2 cm Muck (A10	) (LRR B)	
Black I	Histic (A3)		Loamy	Mucky Miner	al (F1)		Reduced Vertic (	F18)	
Hydrog	gen Sulfide (A4)		Loamy	Gleyed Matri	x (F2)		Red Parent Mate	erial (TF2)	
Stratifi	ed Layers (A5) (LRR	<b>C</b> )	Deplete	ed Matrix (F3)			Other (Explain in	Remarks)	
1 cm N	/luck (A9) (LRR D)		Redox	Dark Surface	(F6)				
Deplet	ed Below Dark Surfac	e (A11)	Deplete	ed Dark Surfa	ce (F7)				
Thick [	Dark Surface (A12)		Redox	Depressions	(F8)		<sup>3</sup> Indicators of hydrop	nytic vegetat	ion and
Sandy	Mucky Mineral (S1)		Vernal	Pools (F9)	. ,		wetland hydrolog	v must be pr	esent,
Sandy	Gleyed Matrix (S4)			· · ·			unless disturbed		
estrictive	Layer (if present):								
Type:									
Depth (in	ches):		_				Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No
emarks:	No hydric soil indicato	rs observed.							

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; ch	Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)			
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)	Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)		
High Water Table (A2)	Biotic Crust (B12)	Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)		
Saturation (A3)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Drainage Patterns (B10)		
Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		
Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present? Yes No	X Depth (inches):			
Water Table Present? Yes No	X Depth (inches):			
Saturation Present? Yes <u>No</u> (includes capillary fringe)	X Depth (inches): Wetland Hydro	blogy Present? Yes No X		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitori	g well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:			
Remarks: Upper floopdlain terrace of the New Ri	er; Above OHWM			

Project/Site: Wistaria Ranch	City/County: Imperial County Sampling Date: 09/19/12
Applicant/Owner: Tenaska	State: CA Sampling Point: NR-6
Investigator(s): G. Scheid	Section, Township, Range: Heber Quad: Section 35 T16S, R13E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): floodplain terrace	Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 0-1%
Subregion (LRR): LRR-C Lat: 32.7	1 dd Long: -115.60 dd Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Vint loamy very fine sand	NWI classification: Riverine
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year	ar? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologysignifican	tly disturbed? No Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologynaturally	problematic? No (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X Yes Yes	No No	X X	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes	No	<u>x</u>
Remarks: Upper floodplain terrace of the New River; CDFG riparian only.							

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species	
1. Tamarix chilensis	90	Y	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC	: <u>2</u> (A)
2				Total Number of Dominant	
3.				Species Across All Strata:	2 (B)
4.				Percent of Dominant Species	
	90	= Total Cove	er	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC	:: <u>100</u> (A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: )					
1. Pluchea sericea	10	Y	FACW	Prevalence Index workshee	:
2.				Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
3				OBL species	x 1 =
4				FACW species	
5.				FAC species	x 3 =
·	10	= Total Cove	or	FACU species	x 4 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size: )	10		71	UPL species	x 5 =
1.				Column Totals:	(A) (B)
2					
3.				Prevalence Index = B/	A =
4.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indi	cators:
5.				X Dominance Test is >50	%
6.				Prevalence Index is ≤3.	0 <sup>1</sup>
7.				Morphological Adaptatio	ons <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
8.				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)	
		= Total Cov	er	Problematic Hydrophyti	c Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: )					- · · /
1.				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and	wetland hydrology must
2.				be present, unless disturbed	
		= Total Cove	er	Hydrophytic	
		Ormat		Vegetation	X N-
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 0 % Cover of Biotic Crust				Present? Yes	XNo
Remarks: Vegetation growing in New River upper floor	lplain terrac	e.		•	

SOIL
------

Profile Desc Depth	cription: (Describe to Matrix	o the depth n		ent the indi dox Feature		confirm t	he absence of indicate	ors.)
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-18	7.5 YR 3/3						sandy loam	
					· ·			
				·				
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Co	ncentration, D=Depletior	n, RM=Reduced	Matrix, CS=Covered	or Coated S	and Grains	. 2	Location: PL=Pore Lining, F	RC=Root Channel, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soi	I Indicators: (Application)	able to all LR	Rs, unless other	wise noted	.)		Indicators for Prob	plematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
Histoso	l (A1)		Sandy R	edox (S5)			1 cm Muck (A9)	) (LRR C)
	pipedon (A2)			Matrix (S6)			2 cm Muck (A10	
Black ⊢	listic (A3)			lucky Mine			Reduced Vertic	(F18)
Hydrog	en Sulfide (A4)		Loamy G	Bleyed Matr	ix (F2)		Red Parent Mat	terial (TF2)
Stratifie	d Layers (A5) (LRR C	<b>S</b> )	Depleted	l Matrix (F3	)		Other (Explain i	n Remarks)
1 cm M	uck (A9) ( <b>LRR D</b> )		Redox D	ark Surface	e (F6)			
Deplete	ed Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Depleted	Dark Surfa	ace (F7)			
Thick D	ark Surface (A12)		Redox D	epressions	(F8)		<sup>3</sup> Indicators of hydrop	phytic vegetation and
Sandy I	Mucky Mineral (S1)		Vernal P	ools (F9)			wetland hydrolog	gy must be present,
Sandy	Gleyed Matrix (S4)						unless disturbed	l or problematic.
Restrictive	Layer (if present):							
Type:								
Depth (inc	hes):		_				Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u>No X</u>
Remarks: N	lo hydric soil indicator	s observed.						

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; ch	Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)			
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)	Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)		
High Water Table (A2)	Biotic Crust (B12)	Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)		
Saturation (A3)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Drainage Patterns (B10)		
Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		
Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present? Yes No	X Depth (inches):			
Water Table Present? Yes No	X Depth (inches):			
Saturation Present? Yes <u>No</u> (includes capillary fringe)	X Depth (inches): Wetland Hydro	blogy Present? Yes No X		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitori	g well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:			
Remarks: Upper floopdlain terrace of the New Ri	er; Above OHWM			

Project/Site: Wistaria Ranch	City/County: Imperial County Sampling Date: 09/19/12
Applicant/Owner: Tenaska	State: CA Sampling Point: NR-7
Investigator(s): G. Scheid	Section, Township, Range: Heber Quad: Section 35 T16S, R13E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): floodplain terrace	Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 0-1%
Subregion (LRR):         LRR-C         Lat:         32.7	2 ddLong: <u>-115.61 dd</u> Datum: <u>NAD*#</u>
Soil Map Unit Name: Vint Loamy very fine sand	NWI classification: Riverine
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year	r? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologysignificant	tly disturbed? No Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologynaturally p	problematic? No (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X Yes Yes	No NoX NoX	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes	NoX
Remarks: Upper floodplain terrace of the New River; CDFG riparian only.					

Tara Chatum (Distaire)	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:				
Tree Stratum         (Plot size:)           1. Tamarix chilensis	% Cover 20	Species? Y	Status FAC	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC		(A)		
2.				Total Number of Dominant				
3.				Species Across All Strata:	2	(B)		
4.				Percent of Dominant Species				
	20	= Total Cove	er	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC	: <u>100</u>	(A/B)		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)								
1. Pluchea sericea	60	Y	FACW	Prevalence Index worksheet	t:			
2.				Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:			
3				OBL species	x 1 =			
4.				FACW species	x 2 =			
5.				FAC species	x 3 =			
	60	= Total Cove	er	FACU species	x 4 =			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: )				UPL species	x 5 =			
1				Column Totals:	(A)(	B)		
2.				Prevalence Index = B//	Δ =			
3.					·			
4.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indi	icators:			
5.				X Dominance Test is >50	%			
6.				Prevalence Index is ≤3.	0 <sup>1</sup>			
7.				Morphological Adaptatio	ons <sup>1</sup> (Provide support	ing		
8.				data in Remarks or o	on a separate sheet)			
		= Total Cov	ver	Problematic Hydrophytic	c Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explair	n)		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: )								
1				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and	wetland hydrology mi	ust		
2.				be present, unless disturbed	or problematic.			
		= Total Cove	er	Hydrophytic				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 20 % Co	Vegetation Present? Yes	X No						
	over of Biotic			1030iii: 105				
Remarks: Vegetation growing in New River upper floor	Remarks: Vegetation growing in New River upper floodplain terrace.							

Depth	Matrix			edox Featur	4	0	_			
inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Ren	narks	
)-18	7.5 YR 3/3	·		·			sandy loam			
				·						
		·								
	- <u></u>			·						
				·						
Type: C=Co	oncentration, D=Depletior	, RM=Reduc	ed Matrix, CS=Covere	d or Coated	Sand Grains	. 2	Location: PL=Pore Lining, R	C=Root Chan	nel, M=Matrix.	
Hydric So	il Indicators: (Applica	able to all L	RRs, unless othe	rwise noted	d.)		Indicators for Prob	lematic Hyd	ric Soils <sup>3</sup> :	
Histoso	ol (A1)		Sandy	Redox (S5)			1 cm Muck (A9)	(LRR C)		
Histic E	Epipedon (A2)		Strippe	Stripped Matrix (S6)			2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)			
	Histic (A3)			Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)			Reduced Vertic (F18)			
	gen Sulfide (A4)			Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)			Red Parent Material (TF2)			
	ed Layers (A5) (LRR C	<b>C</b> )		Depleted Matrix (F3)			Other (Explain in Remarks)			
	/luck (A9) (LRR D)	- /		Dark Surfac	,			,		
	ed Below Dark Surface	e (A11)		d Dark Sur	( )					
	Dark Surface (A12)	• (, )		Depression	• •		<sup>3</sup> Indicators of hydrop	hytic vegetat	tion and	
	Mucky Mineral (S1)			Pools (F9)	0 (1 0)		wetland hydrolog	, ,		
	Gleyed Matrix (S4)						unless disturbed			
	Layer (if present):									
Type:										
Depth (in	ches):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No X	
emarks: I	No hydric soil indicator	s observed.								
		0 00001104								

Wetland Hydrology Indica		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)			equired)				
, .,	Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)								<u>yquirou</u> j
								erine)	(a)
Surface Water (A1)				Salt Crust (B11)		Sediment De	• •	, ,	ie)
High Water Table (A2)				Biotic Crust (B12)		Drift Deposit	.s (B3) ( <b>Riv</b>	<i>r</i> erine)	
Saturation (A3)				Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)		Drainage Pa	tterns (B10	J)	
Water Marks (B1) (Nor	riverine)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Dry-Season	Water Tab	ole (C2)	
Sediment Deposits (B2	) (Nonriverine	e)		Oxidized Rhizospheres along Livin	ig Roots (C3)	Thin Muck S	Surface (C7	<u>(</u> )	
Drift Deposits (B3) (No	nriverine)			Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Crayfish Bur	rows (C8)		
Surface Soil Cracks (B	6)			Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Sol	ils (C6)	Saturation V	isible on A	erial Imag	ery (C9)
Inundation Visible on A	, erial Imagery (	(B7)		Thin Muck Surface (C7)	( )	Shallow Aqu		0	, ,
Water-Stained Leaves	0,	( )	_	Other (Explain in Remarks)		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)			
Field Observations:									
Surface Water Present?	Yes	No	Х	Depth (inches):					
Water Table Present?	Yes	No	Х	Depth (inches):					
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes	_ No	Х	_Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydro	logy Present?	Yes	No	<u> </u>
Describe Recorded Data (stre	eam gauge, m	onitorir	ng w	vell, aerial photos, previous inspection	s), if available:				
			-						
Remarks: Upper floopdlain te	errace of the N	lew Riv	/er;	Above OHWM					

Project/Site: Wistaria Ranch 0	City/County: Imperial County Sampling Date: 09/19/12
Applicant/Owner: Tenaska	State: CA Sampling Point: NR-8
Investigator(s): G. Scheid	Section, Township, Range: Heber Quad: Section 35 T16S, R13E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): floodplain terrace	Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 0-1%
Subregion (LRR): LRR-C Lat: 32.72	2 dd Long: -115.61 dd Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Fluvaquents, saline	NWI classification: Riverine
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of yea	r? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologysignificant	ly disturbed? No Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologynaturally p	oroblematic? No (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X Yes X Yes X	No No No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes <u>X</u>	No
Remarks: Lower floodplain terrace of	f the New River w	ith secondary ch	annels.		

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:			
Tree Stratum     (Plot size:)       1.	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC	: 2 (A)		
2. 3.				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	2 (B)		
4.				Percent of Dominant Species			
		= Total Cove	er	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC	:: <u>100</u> (A/B)		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: )							
1. Pluchea sericea	20	Y	FACW	Prevalence Index worksheet			
2.				Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:		
3.				OBL species	x 1 =		
4.				FACW species			
5.				FAC species	x 3 =		
	20	= Total Cove	er	FACU species	x 4 =		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: )				UPL species	x 5 =		
1. Phragmites australis	80	Y	FACW	Column Totals:	(A) (B)		
2.				Dravalance Index - D//	\		
3.				Prevalence Index = B/A	<i>\</i> =		
4.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indi	cators:		
5				X Dominance Test is >50 <sup>0</sup>	%		
6.				Prevalence Index is ≤3.	$\Omega^1$		
7.				Morphological Adaptatio	ons <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting		
8.				data in Remarks or c	( II 0		
	80	= Total Cov	/er	Problematic Hydrophytic	Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: )							
1				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and	wetland hydrology must		
2.				be present, unless disturbed			
= Total Cover       Hydrophytic         Vegetation       Vegetation         Present?       Yes       X							
Remarks: Vegetation growing in New River lower floodplain terrace.							

SOIL
------

Profile Des Depth	cription: (Describe to th Matrix	e depth need		ent the in edox Featu		confirm t	he absence	of indicators.)	
(inches)	Color (moist)	% Co	lor (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	e Remarks	
0-18	7.5 YR 3/2	98 5 YR	6/8	2	RM	М	clay loam		
					·				
					·				
	oncentration, D=Depletion, R					s. <sup>2</sup>		Pore Lining, RC=Root Channel, M=Matrix.	
•	il Indicators: (Applicable	e to all LRRs,						ors for Problematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :	
Histos	( )			Redox (S5	,			n Muck (A9) (LRR C)	
	Epipedon (A2)			d Matrix (S	,			n Muck (A10) (LRR B)	
	Histic (A3) gen Sulfide (A4)			Mucky Mir Gleyed Ma	. ,			uced Vertic (F18) Parent Material (TF2)	
, .	ed Layers (A5) (LRR C)		x Deplete		, ,			er (Explain in Remarks)	
	/luck (A9) (LRR D)			Dark Surfa	,		Out		
	ed Below Dark Surface (A	A11)		d Dark Su	• •				
	Dark Surface (A12)	,		Depressior			<sup>3</sup> Indicato	ors of hydrophytic vegetation and	
Sandy	Mucky Mineral (S1)		Vernal	Pools (F9)	. ,		wetland hydrology must be present,		
Sandy	Gleyed Matrix (S4)						unles	ss disturbed or problematic.	
Restrictive	Layer (if present):								
Type:									
Depth (in	ches):						Hydric Soil	Present? Yes X No	
Remarks:							, <b>, .</b>	···	
YDROLO									
Wetland H	lydrology Indicators:						5	Secondary Indicators (2 or more rec	auire
Primary In	dicators (minimum of one	required; che	ck all that app	ly)				Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)	
Surfac	urface Water (A1) Salt Crust (B11)					_	x Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)	)	
High V	Vater Table (A2)	2) Biotic Crust (B12)				—	Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)		
x Satura	ration (A3)Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)					_	x_Drainage Patterns (B10)		
Water	Marks (B1) (Nonriverine	)	Hydroge	n Sulfide C	Odor (C1)		—	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
Sedim	ent Deposits (B2) (Nonriv	verine)	<u>x</u> Oxidized	l Rhizosph	eres along	Living Ro	ots (C3)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	
Drift D	eposits (B3) (Nonriverine	e)	Presenc	e of Reduc	ed Iron (C4	4)	_	Crayfish Burrows (C8)	
Surfac	e Soil Cracks (B6)		Recent I	ron Reduc	tion in Tille	d Soils (C	6)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imager	ry (C
Inunda	ation Visible on Aerial Ima	gery (B7)	Thin Mu			Shallow Aquitard (D3)			

Field	Ohaamiatianaa	
Field	Observations:	

Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Other (Explain in Remarks) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) Surface Water Present? Yes <u>No X</u> Depth (inches): Yes <u>No X</u> Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes X No Saturation Present? Yes X No Depth (inches): 12 Wetland Hydrology Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks: Lower floopdlain terrace of the New River; secondary channels.

Project/Site: Wistaria Ranch	City/County: Imperial County Sampling Date: 09/19/12
Applicant/Owner: Tenaska	State: CA Sampling Point: NR-9
Investigator(s): G. Scheid	Section, Township, Range: Heber Quad: Section 35 T16S, R13E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): floodplain terrace	Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 0-1%
Subregion (LRR): LRR-C Lat: 3	2.72 dd Long: <u>-115.61 dd</u> Datum: <u>NAD83</u>
Soil Map Unit Name: Vint Loamy very fine sand	NWI classification: Riverine
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of	year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologysignific	antly disturbed? No Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologynatura	lly problematic? No (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X Yes Yes	No NoX NoX	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes	NoX
Remarks: Upper floodplain terrace of	the New River;	CDFG riparian onl	y.		

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species	
1. Tamarix chilensis	20	Υ	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC	
2				Total Number of Dominant	
3				Species Across All Strata:	3 (B)
4.				Percent of Dominant Species	
	20	= Total Cove	er	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC	: <u>100</u> (A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: )					
1. Pluchea sericea	40	Y	FACW	Prevalence Index workshee	t:
2. Atriplex lentiformis	40	Y	FAC	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
3.				OBL species	x 1 =
4				FACW species	
5.				FAC species	x 3 =
	80	= Total Cove	er	FACU species	x 4 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size: )				UPL species	x 5 =
1				Column Totals:	(A) (B)
2.				Prevalence Index = B/	۸ –
3.				Flevalence index - Bil	~ - <u> </u>
4.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Ind	icators:
5.				X Dominance Test is >50	%
6.				Prevalence Index is ≤3.	0 <sup>1</sup>
7.				Morphological Adaptation	ons <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
8.				data in Remarks or o	on a separate sheet)
		= Total Cov	/er	Problematic Hydrophyti	c Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: )					
1				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and	
2.				be present, unless disturbed	or problematic.
		= Total Cove	er	Hydrophytic	
% Dara Cround in Llark Stratum 0 % Co		Cruct		Vegetation Present? Yes	X No
	ver of Biotic			Present? Yes	XNo
Remarks: Vegetation growing in New River upper floor	lplain terrac	e.			

SOIL
------

Profile Desc Depth	cription: (Describe to Matrix	o the depth n		ent the indi dox Feature		confirm t	he absence of indicate	ors.)
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-18	7.5 YR 3/3						sandy loam	
					· ·			
				·				
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Co	ncentration, D=Depletior	n, RM=Reduced	Matrix, CS=Covered	or Coated S	and Grains	. 2	Location: PL=Pore Lining, F	RC=Root Channel, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soi	I Indicators: (Application)	able to all LR	Rs, unless other	wise noted	.)		Indicators for Prob	plematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
Histoso	l (A1)		Sandy R	edox (S5)			1 cm Muck (A9)	) (LRR C)
	pipedon (A2)			Matrix (S6)			2 cm Muck (A10	
Black ⊢	listic (A3)			lucky Mine			Reduced Vertic	(F18)
Hydrog	en Sulfide (A4)		Loamy G	Bleyed Matr	ix (F2)		Red Parent Mat	terial (TF2)
Stratifie	d Layers (A5) (LRR C	<b>S</b> )	Depleted	l Matrix (F3	)		Other (Explain i	n Remarks)
1 cm M	uck (A9) ( <b>LRR D</b> )		Redox D	ark Surface	e (F6)			
Deplete	ed Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Depleted	Dark Surfa	ace (F7)			
Thick D	ark Surface (A12)		Redox D	epressions	(F8)		<sup>3</sup> Indicators of hydrop	phytic vegetation and
Sandy I	Mucky Mineral (S1)		Vernal P	ools (F9)			wetland hydrolog	gy must be present,
Sandy	Gleyed Matrix (S4)						unless disturbed	l or problematic.
Restrictive	Layer (if present):							
Type:								
Depth (inc	hes):		_				Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u>No X</u>
Remarks: N	lo hydric soil indicator	s observed.						

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)		
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; ch	eck all that apply)	Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)		
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)	Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)		
High Water Table (A2)	Biotic Crust (B12)	Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)		
Saturation (A3)	Drainage Patterns (B10)			
Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)			
Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)			
Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)			
Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)			
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)			
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present? Yes No	X Depth (inches):			
Water Table Present? Yes No	X Depth (inches):			
Saturation Present? Yes <u>No</u> No (includes capillary fringe)	X Depth (inches): Wetland Hydro	ology Present? Yes No X		
	ng well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:			
Remarks: Upper floopdlain terrace of the New Ri	ver: Above OHWM			
Tremarks. Opper noopulain terrace of the New Ki				

Project/Site: Wistaria Ranch	City/County: Imperial County Sampling Date: 09/19/12
Applicant/Owner: Tenaska	State: CA Sampling Point: NR-10
Investigator(s): G. Scheid	Section, Township, Range: Heber Quad: Section 35 T16S, R13E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): floodplain terrace	Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>none</u> Slope (%): <u>0-1%</u>
Subregion (LRR):         LRR-C         Lat:         32	.72 dd Long: -115.61 dd Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Indio-Vint Complex	NWI classification: Riverine
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye	ear? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologysignifica	ntly disturbed? No Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologynaturally	/ problematic? No (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X No Yes X No Yes X No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	YesXNo
Remarks: Lower floodplain terrace of	the New River adjacnet to Lyon	ns Road.	

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:		
Tree Stratum       (Plot size:)         1. Tamarix chilensis	<u>% Cover</u> 90	Species? Y	Status FAC	Number of Dominant Species		(4)
2.			170	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC	C: <u>2</u>	_(A)
3.				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	2	
4.				Percent of Dominant Species	2	_(B)
4	90	= Total Cove		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC		(A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: )	90		1			
1. Pluchea sericea	10	Y	FACW	Prevalence Index workshee	t-	
2				Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
3.				OBL species	x 1 =	-
4.				FACW species		-
5.				FAC species	x 3 =	-
J	10	= Total Cove		FACU species	x 4 =	-
Herb Stratum (Plot size: )	10		1	UPL species	x 5 =	-
1 /				Column Totals:		(B)
2						
3				Prevalence Index = B/	A =	-
4.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Ind	icators:	
5.				X Dominance Test is >50	%	
6.				Prevalence Index is ≤3.	.0 <sup>1</sup>	
7.				Morphological Adaptatio	ons <sup>1</sup> (Provide suppo	rting
8.				data in Remarks or o	on a separate sheet)	)
		= Total Cov	er	Problematic Hydrophyti	c Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Expla	in)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: )						
1				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and		nust
2.				be present, unless disturbed	or problematic.	
		= Total Cove	r	Hydrophytic		
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 0 % Co	ver of Biotic	crust		Vegetation Present? Yes	X No	
Remarks: Vegetation growing in New River lower flood	plain terrea	ce.		1		

SOIL
------

Profile Desc	Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth	Matrix		Re	dox Featur	es		_		
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks	
0-18	7.5 YR 3/1	95	5 YR 6/8	5	RM	М	clay loam		
		·							
		·		·	·				
		·		·	·				
		·		·					
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Cor	ncentration, D=Depletion	, RM=Reduc	ed Matrix, CS=Covered	d or Coated S	Sand Grains	. 2	Location: PL=Pore	Lining, RC=Root Channel, M=Matrix.	
	Indicators: (Applica							or Problematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :	
Histosol				edox (S5)	•		1 cm Mi	uck (A9) ( <b>LRR C</b> )	
	pipedon (A2)			Matrix (S6	)			uck (A10) ( <b>LRR B</b> )	
	istic (A3)			Jucky Mine				d Vertic (F18)	
Hydroge	en Sulfide (A4)			Gleyed Mat			Red Pa	rent Material (TF2)	
Stratifie	d Layers (A5) ( <b>LRR C</b>	;)	x Depleted	d Matrix (F3	3)		Other (E	Explain in Remarks)	
1 cm Mi	uck (A9) ( <b>LRR D</b> )			ark Surfac	. ,				
	d Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	·	d Dark Surf	. ,		<u>_</u>		
	ark Surface (A12)			epressions	s (F8)			f hydrophytic vegetation and	
	Mucky Mineral (S1)		Vernal F	ools (F9)				hydrology must be present,	
Sandy C	Gleyed Matrix (S4)						unless disturbed or problematic.		
Restrictive	Layer (if present):								
Type:									
Depth (inc	hes):						Hydric Soil Pre	sent? Yes X No	
	·								
Remarks:									
HYDROLOG	GY								
Wetland Hy	drology Indicators:						Seco	ondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
-	icators (minimum of o	ne require	d; check all that apply	V)			1	Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)	
-	Water (A1)	•	Salt Crus					Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)	
	ater Table (A2)		Biotic Cru	. ,				Drift Deposits (B3) ( <b>Riverine</b> )	
x Saturati	( )			vertebrate	s (B13)			Drainage Patterns (B10)	
	/larks (B1) ( <b>Nonriver</b> i	ne)		Sulfide Oc				Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
			, ,		• •	iving Ro		Thin Muck Surface (C7)	
Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3 Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)Oridized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3						( )	Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
	, .				•	,		Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
Surface Soil Cracks (B6)Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Thin Muck Surface (C7)									
		magery (B	·					Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
vvater-S	Stained Leaves (B9)			plain in Re	marks)		ł	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
Field Obser	vations:								
Surface Wat	er Present? Y	es	No X Depth (incl	nes):		_			
Water Table	Present? Y	es	No X Depth (incl	nes):		_			

12

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Yes X No Depth (inches):

Remarks: Lower floopdlain terrace of the New River receives runoff from Lyons Road,

Saturation Present?

(includes capillary fringe)

Yes X No

Wetland Hydrology Present?

Project/Site: Wistaria Ranch	City/County: Imperial County Sampling Date: 09/19/12
Applicant/Owner: Tenaska	State: CA Sampling Point: NR-11
Investigator(s): G. Scheid	Section, Township, Range: Heber Quad: Section 35 T16S, R13E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): floodplain terrace	Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 0-1%
Subregion (LRR): LRR-C Lat: 32.7	2 dd Long: -115.61 dd Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Indio-Vint Complex	NWI classification: Riverine
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year	ar? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologysignifican	tly disturbed? No Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologynaturally	problematic? No (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X Yes Yes	No NoX NoX	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes	NoX
Remarks: Upper floodplain terrace of	the New River;	CDFG riparian only	y.		

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:			
Tree Stratum     (Plot size:)       1.	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC			
2				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	2 (B)		
4.				Percent of Dominant Species			
		= Total Cove	r	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC	:: <u>100</u> (A/B)		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: )							
1. Pluchea sericea	60	Y	FACW	Prevalence Index workshee	t:		
2. Atriplex lentiformis	30	Y	FAC	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:		
3.				OBL species	x 1 =		
4.				FACW species	x 2 =		
5.				FAC species	x 3 =		
	90	= Total Cove	r	FACU species	x 4 =		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: )				UPL species	x 5 =		
1.				Column Totals:	(A) (B)		
2.				Dervelance in dev – D(			
3.				Prevalence Index = B/A =			
4.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indi	cators:		
5.				X Dominance Test is >50%			
6				Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>			
7.				Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting			
0				data in Remarks or o			
0		= Total Cov	er	Problematic Hydrophyti	c Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: )							
1.				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and	wetland hydrology must		
2.				be present, unless disturbed	or problematic.		
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum       0       % Cover of Biotic Crust				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes	XNo		
Remarks: Vegetation growing in New River upper flood	1						

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth n Depth Matrix				edox Featur			ne absence of mulcators.				
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture Remarks				
0-18	7.5 YR 3/3						sandy loam				
				·							
				·							
				·							
	<u> </u>			·							
						-					
	oncentration, D=Depletion					s. <sup>2</sup> l	Location: PL=Pore Lining, RC=Root Channel, M=Matrix.				
•	il Indicators: (Applica	able to all LI	•		l.)		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :				
Histosol (A1)			Sandy Redox (S5)				1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)				
Histic Epipedon (A2)		Stripped Matrix (S6)				2 cm Muck (A10) ( <b>LRR B</b> )					
Black Histic (A3)		Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)				Reduced Vertic (F18)					
	jen Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)				Red Parent Material (TF2)				
Stratifie	ed Layers (A5) (LRR C	<b>;</b> )	Deplete	d Matrix (F3	3) Other (Explain in Remarks)						
1 cm N	luck (A9) ( <b>LRR D</b> )		Redox I	Dark Surfac	e (F6)						
Deplete	ed Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Deplete	d Dark Surf	ace (F7)						
Thick Dark Surface (A12)			Redox Depressions (F8)				<sup>3</sup> Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and				
Sandy	Mucky Mineral (S1)		Vernal Pools (F9)				wetland hydrology must be present,				
Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)							unless disturbed or problematic.				
Restrictive	Layer (if present):										
Type:											
Depth (ind	ches):						Hydric Soil Present? Yes No	X			
Remarks: N	No hydric soil indicator	s observed.									
	,										

Wetland Hydrology Indica	tors:					Secondary Indi	cators (2 o	r more re	equired)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)						Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)			
Surface Water (A1)		Sediment Deposits (B2) ( <b>Riverine</b> )							
High Water Table (A2)				Salt Crust (B11) Biotic Crust (B12)	Drift Deposits (B3) ( <b>Riverine</b> )				
Saturation (A3)			_	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)					
						Drainage Patterns (B10)			
Water Marks (B1) (Non	,			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)			
Sediment Deposits (B2	) (Nonriverine	<del>;</del> )	_	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Livi	ng Roots (C3)	Thin Muck S	Surface (C7)	)	
Drift Deposits (B3) (No	n <b>riverine</b> )		_	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)			
Surface Soil Cracks (B6	3)			Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled So	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)				
Inundation Visible on A	erial Imagery (	B7)		Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Shallow Aqu	itard (D3)		
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)			_	Other (Explain in Remarks)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)				
Field Observations:									
Surface Water Present?	Yes	No	Х	Depth (inches):					
Water Table Present?	Yes	No	Х	Depth (inches):					
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes	_ No	Х	_Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydro	logy Present?	Yes	No	<u>X</u>
Describe Recorded Data (stre	eam gauge, m	onitorir	ng w	ell, aerial photos, previous inspection	ns), if available:				
Remarks: Upper floopdlain te	errace of the N	lew Riv	/er; /	Above OHWM					

# **ATTACHMENT 2**

Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination Form

# ATTACHMENT 2

# PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM: Imperial Solar Energy Center South Project

# **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

# A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):

# B. NAME AND ADDRESS OF PERSON REQUESTING PRELIMINARY

JD:Wistaria Ranch Solar, LLC; 1044 N. 115<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 400; Omaha, NE,

# C. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:

# D. **PROJECT LOCATION(S) AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:** The

review area contains the proposed Wistaria Ranch Solar Energy Center project which consists of photovoltaic (solar power) facilities and an electrical transmission line corridor. The site of the proposed photovoltaic facilities is located on 3,177 acres of privately owned, agricultural lands, in the unincorporated Mt. Signal area of the County of Imperial, approximately 4.5 miles southwest of the city of El Centro (Figures 1 and 2). The photovoltaic facilities would interconnect to the utility grid at the 230 kilovolt (kV) side of the Imperial Valley Substation via a transmission line that will connect to an existing transmission line to the west that leads to the substation.

# (USE THE ATTACHED TABLE TO DOCUMENT MULTIPLE WATERBODIES AT DIFFERENT SITES)

State: California County/parish/borough: Imperial County City: N/A Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 32.70 N, Long. -115.62 W.

Universal Transverse Mercator:

Name of nearest waterbody: New River, Greeson Drain

Identify (estimate) amount of waters in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: 58,265 width (ft) and/or 12.7 acres. Cowardin Class: Riverine:Streambed Stream Flow: Ephemeral/Perennial Wetlands: Linear feet: 68,762; 67.5 acres. Cowardin Class: Riverine



M:\JOBS4\6729\common\_gis\Att2\_fig1\_wet.mxd 11/4/2013 sab

**Regional Location** 







Wistaria Ranch Project Boundary

# ATTACHMENT 2 FIGURE 2

Wistaria Ranch Project Location on Aerial Photograph



Name of any water bodies on the site that have been identified as Section 10 waters:

Tidal: N/A Non-Tidal: New River, Greeson Drain

# E. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date:

Field Determination. Date(s):

1. The Corps of Engineers believes that there may be jurisdictional waters of the United States on the subject site, and the permit applicant or other affected party who requested this preliminary JD is hereby advised of his or her option to request and obtain an approved jurisdictional determination (JD) for that site. Nevertheless, the permit applicant or other person who requested this preliminary JD has declined to exercise the option to obtain an approved JD in this instance and at this time.

2. In any circumstance where a permit applicant obtains an individual permit, or a Nationwide General Permit (NWP) or other general permit verification requiring "preconstruction notification" (PCN), or requests verification for a non-reporting NWP or other general permit, and the permit applicant has not requested an approved JD for the activity, the permit applicant is hereby made aware of the following: (1) the permit applicant has elected to seek a permit authorization based on a preliminary JD, which does not make an official determination of jurisdictional waters; (2) that the applicant has the option to request an approved JD before accepting the terms and conditions of the permit authorization, and that basing a permit authorization on an approved JD could possibly result in less compensatory mitigation being required or different special conditions; (3) that the applicant has the right to request an individual permit rather than accepting the terms and conditions of the NWP or other general permit authorization; (4) that the applicant can accept a permit authorization and thereby agree to comply with all the terms and conditions of that permit, including whatever mitigation requirements the Corps has determined to be necessary; (5) that undertaking any activity in reliance upon the subject permit authorization without requesting an approved JD constitutes the applicant's acceptance of the use of the preliminary JD, but that either form of JD will be processed as soon as is practicable; (6) accepting a permit authorization (e.g., signing a proffered individual permit) or undertaking any activity in reliance on any form of Corps permit authorization based on a preliminary JD constitutes agreement that all wetlands and other water bodies on the site affected in any way by that activity are jurisdictional waters of the United States, and precludes any challenge to such jurisdiction in any administrative or judicial compliance or enforcement action, or in any administrative appeal or in any Federal court; and (7) whether the applicant elects to use either an approved JD or a preliminary JD, that JD will be processed as soon as is practicable. Further, an approved JD, a proffered individual permit (and all terms and conditions contained therein), or individual permit denial can be administratively appealed pursuant to 33 C.F.R. Part 331, and that in any administrative appeal, jurisdictional issues can be raised (see 33 C.F.R. 331.5(a)(2)). If, during that administrative appeal, it becomes necessary to make an official determination whether CWA jurisdiction exists over a site,

or to provide an official delineation of jurisdictional waters on the site, the Corps will provide an approved JD to accomplish that result, as soon as is practicable. This preliminary JD finds that there "*may be*" waters of the United States on the subject project site, and identifies all aquatic features on the site that could be affected by the proposed activity, based on the following information:

SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for preliminary JD (check all that apply) -
checked items should be included in case file and, where checked and requested,
appropriately reference sources below):
$\boxtimes$ Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:
see "Jurisdictional Waters Delineation Report for the Wistaria Ranch Solar Project"
prepared by RECON Environmental, Inc.; August 2010.
$\boxtimes$ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
Corps navigable waters' study:
U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: .
USGS NHD data.
USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Mt. Signal 7.5-
minute,1976, 1:24000; Heber 7.5 minute, 1957, 1:24000.
USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:
National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:
State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
FEMA/FIRM maps:100-year Floodplain Elevation is:(National)
Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):2010.
or Other (Name & Date):
Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
U Other information (please specify):

# **IMPORTANT NOTE:** The information recorded on this form has not necessarily been verified by the Corps and should not be relied upon for later jurisdictional <u>determinations.</u>

Signature and date of Regulatory Project Manager (REQUIRED) Signature and date of person requesting preliminary JD (REQUIRED, unless obtaining the signature is impracticable)

# Wistaria Ranch

				Estimated	
Site number*	Latitude	Longitude	Cowardin Code**/HGM Class	amount of aquatic resource in review area	Class of aquatic resource
(1) A.A. Drain No. 12	32.66	-115.58	R4SB/Riverine	1.3 acres (3,944 linear ft.)	Non-section 10 – wetland
(2) A.A. Drain No. 13	32.66	-115.61	R4SB/Riverine	1.8 acres (3,844 linear ft.)	Non-section 10 – wetland
(3) Carpenter Drain No. 1	32.67	-115.65	R4SB/Riverine	0.66 acres (2,846 linear ft.)	Non-section 10 – wetland
(4) Greeson Drain (segment A)	32.70	-115.64	R4SB/Riverine	0.48 acres (1,530 linear ft.)	Non-section 10 – wetland
(5) Greeson Drain (segment B)	32.68	-115.62	R4SB/Riverine	1.2 acres (3,855 linear ft.)	Non-section 10 – wetland
(6) Greeson Drain (segment C)	32.67	-115.61	R4SB/Riverine	0.68 acres (1,563 linear ft.)	Non-section 10 – wetland
(7) Greeson Wash (segment A)	32.70	-115.64	R5/Riverine	2.2 acres (1,385 linear ft.)	Non-section 10 – wetland
(8) Greeson Wash: Drainages A, B, C, D, E, F	A, B, C = 32.69 D, E, F = 32.68	A – F = -115.62	R4SB/Riverine	A = 0.01 acre (238 linear ft.) B = 0.01 acre (290 linear ft.) C = 0.02 acre (433 linear ft.) D = 0.01 acre (195 linear ft.) E = 0.01 acre (176 linear ft.) F = 0.06 acre (865 linear ft.)	Non-section 10 – non-wetland
(9) New River (segment A)	32.73	-115.62	R5/Riverine	31.2 acres (6,570 linear ft.)	Non-section 10 – wetland
(10) New River (segment B)	32.72	-115.60	R5/Riverine	5.1 acres (2,902 linear ft.)	Non-section 10 – wetland
(11) New River (segment C)	32.71	-115.60	R5/Riverine	7.8 acres (4,616 linear ft.)	Non-section 10 – wetland
(12) Wells Drain	32.67	-115.64	R4SB/Riverine	0.02 acre (67 linear ft.)	Non-section 10 – wetland
(13) Wistaria Lateral 5	32.70	-115.61	R4SB/Riverine	2.4 acres (9,271 linear ft.)	Non-section 10 – wetland
(14) Wistaria Canal (segment A)	32.72	-115.62	R4SB/Riverine	3.28 acres (10,632 linear ft.)	Non-section 10 – non-wetland

				Estimated	
Oite much ent	Ladiuda	L en eltrade	Cowardin Code**/HGM	amount of aquatic resource	Class of aquatic
Site number*	Latitude	Longitude	Class	in review area	resource
(15) Wistaria	32.70	-115.60	R4SB/Riverine	1.3 acres	Non-section 10
Canal				(5,245 linear ft.)	<ul> <li>non-wetland</li> </ul>
(segment B)	00.70	445.00		1.0	New section 40
(16) Wistaria	32.70	-115.60	R4SB/Riverine	1.6 acres	Non-section 10
Canal				(4,176 linear ft.)	<ul> <li>non-wetland</li> </ul>
(segment C)	00.00	445.00		0.0	New section 40
(17) Wistaria	32.69	-115.62	R4SB/Riverine	2.3 acres	Non-section 10
Drain				(6,321 linear ft.)	- wetland
(segment A)	00.07	445.00		1.0	New section 40
(18) Wistaria	32.67	-115.62	R4SB/Riverine	1.3 acres	Non-section 10
Drain				(3,763 linear ft.)	- wetland
(segment B)	00.70	115.00		1.00	Non-section 40
(19) Wistaria	32.70	-115.62	R4SB/Riverine	1.93 acres	Non-section 10
Drain 5	00.70	445.00		(7,973 linear ft.)	– wetland
(20) Wistaria Drain 7	32.72	-115.63	R4SB/Riverine	1.16 acres (3,816 linear ft.)	Non-section 10 – wetland
(21) Wistaria	32.68	-115.61	R4SB/Riverine	0.4 acres	Non-section 10
Lateral 2	52.00	-115.01	R43D/Rivenine	(1,509 linear ft.)	– wetland
(22) Wistaria	32.68	-115.61	R4SB/Riverine	0.35 acre	Non-section 10
Lateral 2	52.00	-115.01	R43D/Rivenine	(1,239 linear ft.)	– wetland
Drain				(1,239 intear it.)	
(23) Wistaria	32.69	-115.61	R4SB/Riverine	4.1 acres	Non-section 10
Lateral 4	02.00	-110.01	T(+OD/T(IVCIIIIC	(8,042 linear ft.)	– non-wetland
Spill					non wedana
(24) Wistaria	32.71	-115.62	R4SB/Riverine	1.5 acres	Non-section 10
Lateral 6				(5,209 linear ft.)	- wetland
(25) Wistaria	32.66	-115.59	R4SB/Riverine	1.9 acres	Non-section 10
Lateral 1				(6,586 linear ft.)	- non-wetland
Spill					
(26)	32.67	-115.62	R4SB/Riverine	1.85 acres	Non-section 10
Woodbine				(6,484 linear ft.)	- wetland
Lateral 2					
(27)	32.67	-115.64	R4SB/Riverine	0.01 acre	Non-section 10
Woodbine				(62 linear ft.)	<ul> <li>wetland</li> </ul>
Lateral 4					
(28)	32.68	-115.61	R4SB/Riverine	0.84 acre	Non-section 10
Woodbine				(2,776 linear ft.)	<ul> <li>non-wetland</li> </ul>
Spill Canal					
(29)	32.68	-115.62	R4SB/Riverine	1.45 acres	Non-section 10
Woodbine				(4,772 linear ft.)	<ul> <li>non-wetland</li> </ul>
Spill Lateral					
2	00.70	445.04			
(30)	32.70	-115.64	R5/Riverine	4.1 acres	Non-section 10
Greeson				(1,600 linear ft.)	<ul> <li>non-wetland</li> </ul>
Wash					
(segment B)	00.70	445.04		0.0.0.000	Non-oostiers 40
(31) Greeson	32.70	-115.64	R4SB/Riverine	2.3 acres	Non-section 10
Drain				(2,346 linear ft.)	<ul> <li>wetland</li> </ul>
(segment D)					

\* - Refer to Figures 3a-d showing locations of sites.
 \*\* - Cowardin Code: R4SB – Riverine Intermittent; R5 – Riverine Perennial







	Wistaria Ranch Project Boundary	Greeson Wash		0	Feet	1,000	
Pre-J	D Form Site Location	IID Canal					
	New River	IID Drain					
			ATTACHMEN	T 2 F	IGUF	RE 3-	A

Wistaria Ranch Location of Pre-JD Form Features







**Pre-JD Form Site Location** 

New River

IID Canal IID Drain



# ATTACHMENT 2 FIGURE 3-B

Wistaria Ranch Location of Pre-JD Form Features
Image source: NAIP (flown April 2010)









Wistaria Ranch Location of Pre-JD Form Features

Image source: NAIP (flown April 2010)





Г





ATTACHMENT 2 FIGURE 3-D

Wistaria Ranch Location of Pre-JD Form Features

## Appendix B – Burrowing Owl Survey Dates, Personnel, and Weather Conditions

Appendix B Burrowing Owl Survey Dates, Personnel, and Weather Conditions

Survey Number	Date	Survey Personnel	Time	Weather Conditions
Habitat Assessment	4/4/2012	Rocky Brown, Shelly Dayman	0812–1406	Start: 65°F, wind 1 mph, 20% cover End: 85°F, wind 3 mph, 5% cover
1*	4/10/2012	Rob Conohan	1519–1705	Start: 89°F, wind 7 mph, 0% cover End: 89°F, wind 8-5 mph, 0% cover
1*	4/10/2012	Brennan Mulrooney, Shelly Dayman	1614–1702	Start: 89°F, wind 7 mph, 0% cover End: 89°F, wind 8 mph, 0% cover
1*	4/11/2012	Shelly Dayman	1521–1935	Start: 76°F, wind 11 mph, 30% cover End: 64°F, wind 13 mph, 25% cover
1*	4/11/2012	Brennan Mulrooney	1545–1933	Start: 76°F, wind 11 mph, 30% cover End: 64°F, wind 13 mph, 5% cover
1*	4/11/2012	Rob Conohan	1552–1934	Start: 75°F, wind 11 mph, 30% cover End: 64°F, wind 13 mph, 25% cover
1*	4/12/2012	Brennan Mulrooney	0749–1011	Start: 52°F, wind 14 mph, 5% cover End: 70°F, wind 11 mph, 0% cover
1*	4/12/2012	Shelly Dayman	0750–1012	Start: 52°F, wind 14 mph, 8% cover End: 70°F, wind 11 mph, 0% cover
1*	4/12/2012	Rob Conohan	0753–1012	Start: 58°F, wind 14 mph, 10% cover End: 70°F, wind 11 mph, 0% cover
1*	4/12/2012	Shelly Dayman	1556–1716	Start: 68°F, wind 5 mph, 40% cover End: 73°F, wind 12 mph, 25% cover
1*	4/12/2012	Rob Conohan	1603–1716	Start: 80°F, wind 10 mph, 25% cover End: 73°F, wind 12 mph, 50% cover
1*	4/12/2012	Brennan Mulrooney	1827–1915	Start: 70°F, wind 14-5 mph, 80% cover End: 66°F, wind 13 mph, 80% cover
1*	4/12/2012	Shelly Dayman	1828–1934	Start: 70°F, wind 14-5 mph, 40% cover End: 66°F, wind 13 mph, 70% cover
1*	4/12/2012	Rob Conohan	1829–1927	Start: 70°F, wind 14 mph, 80% cover End: 66°F, wind 13 mph, 90% cover
1*	4/13/2012	Shelly Dayman	0621–0709	Start: 59°F, wind 13-2 mph, 65% cover End: 57°F, wind 7 mph, 10% cover
1*	4/13/2012	Brennan Mulrooney	0637–0712	Start: 57°F, wind 13-2 mph, 60% cover End: 57°F, wind 7 mph, 30% cover
1	4/10/2012	Brennan Mulrooney	0628–1000	Start: 58°F, wind 1 mph, 5% cover End: 78°F, wind 2 mph, 10% cover
1	4/10/2012	Shelly Dayman	0630–1002	Start: 58°F, wind 1 mph, 5% cover End: 78°F, wind 2 mph, 10% cover
1	4/10/2012	Rob Conohan	0630–1002	Start: 58°F, wind 1 mph, 5% cover End: 78°F, wind 2 mph, 10% cover
1	4/10/2012	Brennan Mulrooney, Shelly Dayman	1705–1920	Start: 83°F, wind 8-5 mph, 0% cover End: 73°F, wind 5 mph, 0% cover
1	4/10/2012	Rob Conohan	1707–1921	Start: 89°F, wind 8-5 mph, 0% cover End: 73°F, wind 5 mph, 0% cover
1	4/11/2012	Brennan Mulrooney	0635–0947	Start: 58°F, wind 8 mph, 30% cover End: 62°F, wind 8-5 mph, 80% cover
1	4/11/2012	Shelly Dayman	0638–0946	Start: 58°F, wind 8 mph, 30% cover End: 62°F, wind 8-5 mph, 80% cover

Survey Number	Date	Survey Personnel	Time	Weather Conditions
1	4/11/2012	Rob Conohan	0638–0946	Start: 58°F, wind 8 mph, 30% cover End: 62°F, wind 8-5 mph, 80% cover
1	4/12/2012	Brennan Mulrooney	0620-0748	Start: 58°F, wind 10 mph, 25% cover End: 52°F, wind 14 mph, 5% cover
1	4/12/2012	Shelly Dayman	0623–0749	Start: 58°F, wind 10 mph, 15% cover End: 52°F, wind 14 mph, 8% cover
1	4/12/2012	Rob Conohan	0623–0751	Start: 58°F, wind 10 mph, 20% cover End: 52°F, wind 14 mph, 10% cover
1	4/12/2012	Brennan Mulrooney	1714–1826	Start: 73°F, wind 12 mph, 50% cover End: 70°F, wind 14-5 mph, 80% cover
1	4/12/2012	Rob Conohan	1717–1829	Start: 73°F, wind 12 mph, 50% cover End: 70°F, wind 14 mph, 80% cover
1	4/12/2012	Shelly Dayman	1717–1827	Start: 73°F, wind 12 mph, 25% cover End: 70°F, wind 14-5 mph, 40% cover
1	4/13/2012	Shelly Dayman	0710–1016	Start: 57°F, wind 7 mph, 5% cover End: 68°F, wind 6 mph, 25% cover
1	4/13/2012	Brennan Mulrooney	0712–1000	Start: 57°F, wind 7 mph, 30% cover End: 68°F, wind 6 mph, 75% cover
1	4/13/2012	Rob Conohan	0810-0946	Start: 58°F, wind 14 mph, 75% cover End: 62°F, wind 5 mph, 74% cover
1	4/17/2012	Andrew Fisher	0640–1000	Start: 59.5°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover End: 82°F, wind 0 mph, 0% cover
1	4/17/2012	Shelly Dayman	0643–1010	Start: 59.2°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover End: 82°F, wind 1 mph, 0% cover
1	4/17/2012	Rob Conohan	0655–1024	Start: 59.5°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover End: 82°F, wind 0 mph, 0% cover
1	4/17/2012	Andrew Fisher	1704–1932	Start: 91°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover End: 83°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover
1	4/17/2012	Rob Conohan	1717–1941	Start: 91°F, wind 0 mph, 0% cover End: 83°F, wind 0 mph, 0% cover
1	4/17/2012	Shelly Dayman	1719–1938	Start: 91°F, wind 0 mph, 0% cover End: 83°F, wind 2 mph, 2% cover
1	4/18/2012	Shelly Dayman	0612–1041	Start: 53°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover End: 80°F, wind 1 mph, 0% cover
1	4/18/2012	Andrew Fisher	0615–0959	Start: 61°F, wind 2 mph, 5% cover End: 82°F, wind 3 mph, 5% cover
1	4/18/2012	Rob Conohan	0617–0959	Start: 61°F, wind 0 mph, 10% cover End: 78°F, wind 5 mph, 5% cover
1	4/18/2012	Shelly Dayman	1711–1922	Start: 78°F, wind 3 mph, 60% cover End: 87°F, wind 1 mph, 15% cover
1	4/18/2012	Andrew Fisher	1713–1934	Start: 89°F, wind 4 mph, 90% cover End: 74°F, wind 4 mph, 10% cover
1	4/18/2012	Rob Conohan	1721–1940	Start: 94°F, wind 5 mph, 50% cover End: 74°F, wind 3 mph, 10% cover
2	5/7/2012	Rob Conohan	1751–1948	Start: 91°F, wind 1 mph, 2% cover End: 86°F, wind 0 mph, 10% cover
2	5/7/2012	Robbie Sweet	1755–1948	Start: 91°F, wind 1 mph, 2% cover End: 86°F, wind 0 mph, 10% cover
2	5/7/2012	Shelly Dayman	1755–2008	Start: 91°F, wind 1 mph, 2% cover End: 86°F, wind 2 mph, 5% cover

Survey Number	Date	Survey Personnel	Time	Weather Conditions
2	5/7/2012	Rocky Brown	1757–1954	Start: 91°F, wind 1 mph, 2% cover End: 86°F, wind 0 mph, 10% cover
2	5/8/2012	Robbie Sweet	0554–0946	Start: 66°F, wind 1 mph, 20% cover End: 87°F, wind 6 mph, 2% cover
2	5/8/2012	Shelly Dayman	0558–0947	Start: 66°F, wind 1 mph, 20% cover End: 87°F, wind 6 mph, 2% cover
2	5/8/2012	Rocky Brown	0602–1006	Start: 57°F, wind 0 mph, 15% cover End: 86°F, wind 7 mph, 2% cover
2	5/8/2012	Rob Conohan	0602–1004	Start: 71°F, wind 3 mph, 25% cover End: 87°F, wind 7 mph, 2% cover
2	5/8/2012	Shelly Dayman	1758–1952	Start: 89°F, wind 1 mph, 2% cover End: 79°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover
2	5/8/2012	Rob Conohan	1801–1947	Start: 93°F, wind 0 mph, 5% cover End: 79°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover
2	5/8/2012	Robbie Sweet	1801–1950	Start: 89°F, wind 1 mph, 2% cover End: 79°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover
2	5/8/2012	Rocky Brown	1805–1957	Start: 89°F, wind 1 mph, 2% cover End: 79°F, wind 1 mph, 0% cover
2	5/9/2012	Rob Conohan	0547–0951	Start: 60°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover End: 93°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover
2	5/9/2012	Robbie Sweet	0550–1010	Start: 60°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover End: 93°F, wind 1 mph, 0% cover
2	5/9/2012	Shelly Dayman	0551–1013	Start: 60°F, wind 2 mph, 3% cover End: 84°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover
2	5/9/2012	Rocky Brown	0554–0958	Start: 60°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover End: 84°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover
2	5/9/2012	Shelly Dayman	1745–1956	Start: 89°F, wind 2 mph, 2% cover End: 82°F, wind 1 mph, 0% cover
2	5/9/2012	Rocky Brown	1802–1957	Start: 98°F, wind 2 mph, 5% cover End: 82°F, wind 1 mph, 0% cover
2	5/9/2012	Rob Conohan	1808–2005	Start: 98°F, wind 4 mph, 0% cover End: 84°F, wind 0 mph, 0% cover
2	5/9/2012	Robbie Sweet	1814–1950	Start: 97°F, wind 4 mph, 1% cover End: 83°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover
2	5/10/2012	Robbie Sweet	0556–1011	Start: 62°F, wind 1 mph, 0% cover End: 84°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover
2	5/10/2012	Rob Conohan	0557–1001	Start: 62°F, wind 1 mph, 0% cover End: 88°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover
2	5/10/2012	Shelly Dayman	0558–1013	Start: 57°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover End: 84°F, wind 3 mph, 0% cover
2	5/10/2012	Rocky Brown	0606–1006	Start: 62°F, wind 1 mph, 0% cover End: 88°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover
2	5/10/2012	Shelly Dayman	1814–1959	Start: 95°F, wind 8 mph, 0% cover End: 83°F, wind 9 mph, 10% cover
2	5/10/2012	Rocky Brown	1831–1958	Start: 95°F, wind 11 mph, 0% cover End: 87°F, wind 11 mph, 10% cover
2	5/10/2012	Robbie Sweet	1836–2000	Start: 90°F, wind 11 mph, 0% cover End: 83°F, wind 9-2 mph, 10% cover
2	5/10/2012	Rob Conohan	1836–1958	Start: 90°F, wind 11 mph, 0% cover End: 83°F, wind 9-2 mph, 10% cover

Survey Number	Date	Survey Personnel	Time	Weather Conditions
2	5/11/2012	Rob Conohan	0550–1113	Start: 56°F, wind 2-5 mph, 0% cover End: 86°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover
2	5/11/2012	Robbie Sweet	0553–1038	Start: 56°F, wind 2-5 mph, 0% cover End: 86°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover
2	5/11/2012	Rocky Brown	0556–1037	Start: 56°F, wind 2-5 mph, 0% cover End: 84°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover
2	5/11/2012	Shelly Dayman	0616–1032	Start: 62°F, wind 1 mph, 0% cover End: 84°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover
2	5/11/2012	Shelly Dayman	1524–1727	Start: 87°F, wind 1 mph, 0% cover End: 89°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover
3	5/29/2012	Andrew Fisher	1800–2003	Start: 96.5°F, wind 4 mph, 0% cover End: 85°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover
3	5/29/2012	Michael Anguiano	1803–2006	Start: 96.5°F, wind 4 mph, 0% cover End: 85°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover
3	5/29/2012	Rocky Brown	1811–2002	Start: 93°F, wind 5-5 mph, 0% cover End: 83°F, wind 4 mph, 0% cover
3	5/29/2012	Shelly Dayman	1811–2005	Start: 93°F, wind 5-5 mph, 0% cover End: 83°F, wind 4 mph, 0% cover
3	5/30/2012	Andrew Fisher	0525–1000	Start: 59°F, wind 0 mph, 0% cover End: 89°F, wind 1 mph, 0% cover
3	5/30/2012	Michael Anguiano	0529–1009	Start: 59°F, wind 0-5 mph, 0% cover End: 90°F, wind 1 mph, 0% cover
3	5/30/2012	Rocky Brown	0536–1025	Start: 66°F, wind 2 mph, 1% cover End: 92°F, wind 1-5 mph, 0% cover
3	5/30/2012	Shelly Dayman	0537–1022	Start: 66°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover End: 92°F, wind 1-5 mph, 0% cover
3	5/30/2012	Andrew Fisher	1800–2009	Start: 96°F, wind 0 mph, 0% cover End: 78°F, wind 5 mph, 0% cover
3	5/30/2012	Michael Anguiano	1803–2013	Start: 96°F, wind 0 mph, 0% cover End: 89.6°F, wind 7-4 mph, 0% cover
3	5/30/2012	Rocky Brown	1810–2015	Start: 100°F, wind 0-5 mph, 0% cover End: 91°F, wind 2-4 mph, 0% cover
3	5/30/2012	Shelly Dayman	1810–2015	Start: 100°F, wind 0-5 mph, 0% cover End: 91°F, wind 2-4 mph, 0% cover
3	5/31/2012	Andrew Fisher	0513–1001	Start: 66°F, wind 0 mph, 0% cover End: 96°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover
3	5/31/2012	Michael Anguiano	0518–0529	Start: 66°F, wind 0 mph, 0% cover End: 96°F, wind 1-7 mph, 0% cover
3	5/31/2012	Shelly Dayman	0550–1042	Start: 68°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover End: 91°F, wind 1 mph, 0% cover
3	5/31/2012	Rocky Brown	0558–1020	Start: 68°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover End: 101°F, wind 4 mph, 0% cover
3	5/31/2012	Shelly Dayman	1746–2019	Start: 103°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover End: 94°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover
3	5/31/2012	Rocky Brown	1747–2018	Start: 110°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover End: 92°F, wind 1-5 mph, 0% cover
3	5/31/2012	Andrew Fisher	1807–2009	Start: 103°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover End: 92°F, wind 0 mph, 0% cover
3	5/31/2012	Michael Anguiano	1813–2021	Start: 105°F, wind 0 mph, 0% cover End: 91.3°F, wind 0 mph, 0% cover

Survey Number	Date	Survey Personnel	Time	Weather Conditions
3	6/1/2012	Michael Anguiano	0520–1006	Start: 66°F, wind 0 mph, 0% cover End: 104°F, wind 0 mph, 0% cover
3	6/1/2012	Marie Barrett	0524–1000	Start: 69°F, wind 3 mph, 0% cover End: 107°F, wind 0 mph, 0% cover
3	6/1/2012	Andrew Fisher	0526–1000	Start: 69°F, wind 3 mph, 0% cover End: 101°F, wind 1 mph, 0% cover
3	6/1/2012	Rocky Brown	0528–1016	Start: 74.5°F, wind 1-5 mph, 15% cover End: 109°F, wind 2-5 mph, 0% cover
3	6/1/2012	Andrew Fisher	1950–2009	Start: 97°F, wind 9-5 mph, 0% cover End: 95°F, wind 7-7 mph, 0% cover
3	6/1/2012	Michael Anguiano	1950–2015	Start: 97°F, wind 9-5 mph, 0% cover End: 95°F, wind 8 mph, 0% cover
3	6/2/2012	Marie Barrett	0504–0653	Start: 68°F, wind 0 mph, 5% cover End: 68°F, wind 0 mph, 5% cover
3	6/2/2012	Andrew Fisher	0510–0714	Start: 64°F, wind 3 mph, 2% cover End: 73°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover
3	6/2/2012	Michael Anguiano	0516–0717	Start: 67°F, wind 2-5 mph, 5% cover End: 78°F, wind 1-5 mph, 0% cover
3	6/2/2012	Rocky Brown	0520–0716	Start: 70.5°F, wind 3 mph, 20% cover End: 78°F, wind 1-5 mph, 60% cover
4	6/25/2012	James McMorran	1805–2023	Start: 97°F, wind 1 mph, 0% cover End: 91°F, wind 10 mph, 0% cover
4	6/25/2012	Shelly Dayman	1805–2034	Start: 100°F, wind 4-3 mph, 0% cover End: 90°F, wind 8 mph, 0% cover
4	6/25/2012	Rocky Brown	1808–2035	Start: 100.3°F, wind 4-3 mph, 0% cover End: 90°F, wind 8-3 mph, 0% cover
4	6/25/2012	Michael Anguiano	1959–2022	Start: 92°F, wind 5 mph, 0% cover End: 91°F, wind 10 mph, 0% cover
4	6/26/2012	Rocky Brown	0519–1006	Start: 74.3°F, wind 6-1 mph, 0% cover End: 97.5°F, wind 1-6 mph, 0% cover
4	6/26/2012	James McMorran	0519–1001	Start: 73°F, wind 6 mph, 0% cover End: 96°F, wind 1 mph, 0% cover
4	6/26/2012	Michael Anguiano	0520–1000	Start: 73°F, wind 6 mph, 0% cover End: 96°F, wind 0 mph, 0% cover
4	6/26/2012	Shelly Dayman	0533–1006	Start: 74°F, wind 6 mph, 0% cover End: 96°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover
4	6/26/2012	James McMorran	1803–2020	Start: 106°F, wind 1 mph, 0% cover End: 91°F, wind 3 mph, 0% cover
4	6/26/2012	Michael Anguiano	1804–2022	Start: 106°F, wind 1 mph, 0% cover End: 91°F, wind 3 mph, 0% cover
4	6/26/2012	Rocky Brown	1808–2023	Start: 99.5°F, wind 1-9 mph, 0% cover End: 86.3°F, wind 3-4 mph, 0% cover
4	6/26/2012	Shelly Dayman	1808–2022	Start: 101°F, wind 1 mph, 0% cover End: 93°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover
4	6/27/2012	Rocky Brown	0522–1003	Start: 73.4°F, wind 0-7 mph, 2% cover End: 97°F, wind 3-2 mph, 1% cover
4	6/27/2012	James McMorran	0532–1006	Start: 76°F, wind 0 mph, 5% cover End: 96°F, wind 1 mph, 0% cover
4	6/27/2012	Michael Anguiano	0532–1002	Start: 76°F, wind 0 mph, 0% cover End: 96°F, wind 3 mph, 0% cover

Survey Number	Date	Survey Personnel	Time	Weather Conditions
4	6/27/2012	Shelly Dayman	0534–1016	Start: 74°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover End: 96°F, wind 3 mph, 9% cover
4	6/27/2012	Michael Anguiano	1752–2016	Start: 108°F, wind 1 mph, 0% cover End: 90°F, wind 0 mph, 0% cover
4	6/27/2012	James McMorran	1756–2015	Start: 110°F, wind 1 mph, 0% cover End: 96°F, wind 4 mph, 0% cover
4	6/28/2012	Michael Anguiano	0538–0719	Start: 79°F, wind 0 mph, 0% cover End: 84°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover
4	6/28/2012	James McMorran	0543–0731	Start: 82°F, wind 0 mph, 0% cover End: 84°F, wind 2 mph, 0% cover

\* Surveys consisted of mapping potential BUOW burrows (with and without sign) during periods where surveys were not according to protocol due to high winds. All burrows mapped during these surveys were visited during protocol conditions.

# Appendix C – California Department of Fish and Wildlife Correspondence

### Anguiano, Michael

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Anguiano, Michael Thursday, May 03, 2012 7:34 AM 'mcrodriguez@dfg.ca.gov' Riley, Erin Western Burrowing Owl - Proposed Solar Project in Imperial County

#### Hi Magdalena,

Thanks for speaking with me on the phone yesterday regarding burrowing owl surveys for the proposed solar project in the Imperial Valley. I'm emailing to outline the suggested modification that I proposed to you over the phone. We'd like CDFG to consider allowing AECOM to substitute walking transects with driving surveys for the last two surveys (rounds 3 and 4) conducted for this project given the unique canal/drain nesting habitat in the agroecosystem of the Imperial Valley. The basis for this suggested modification is discussed below.

For historical background, we completed the first round of surveys April 18<sup>th</sup>. As CDFG knows, the Imperial Valley has an exceptionally high number of burrowing owls along the canals and drains in the agricultural system. During round 1 surveys, over 200 suitable owl burrows (not all of these were occupied) and over 100 owls were detected. As ornithologists approached groups of owls they could estimate pairs of owls present by scanning with binoculars, but burrows were difficult to locate on the sides of canals/drains at a distance. As ornithologists moved closer to determine where the occupied burrow was located, multiple owls would flush making it difficult to accurately associate owls with burrows. To this end we propose the following:

- As stated in the guidance, we will conduct walking surveys for round 1 (already completed) and round 2. We
  understand the importance of walking surveys in order to find potential suitable burrows, so that follow-up
  surveys can be conducted at those burrows for future surveys. In addition, it is understood that new suitable
  burrows could be found during the second round of surveys. All potential suitable burrows could not be located
  from a vehicle.
- 2. For rounds 3 and 4 we would like to request permission to conduct driving surveys along the canals/drains. Ornithologists would drive canal/drain roads no faster than 7 miles per hour and would check <u>all</u> suitable burrows marked with GPS in rounds 1 and 2. Ornithologists would exit the car, as needed, to investigate suitable burrows for burrowing owl sign and/or individuals. While newly created unoccupied suitable burrows are located and the number of new suitable burrows is likely to be minimal. Given the conspicuousness of burrowing owls in the canal/drain habitat, it is unlikely a newly created suitable burrow that became occupied would be missed. Since mitigation will be based on occupied burrows, missing newly created unoccupied suitable burrows will have no impact on mitigation requirements

The driving approach for rounds 3 and 4 has three key benefits. First, owls in this region have habituated to vehicle/machinery activity and survey methods with ornithologists on foot are 3 times more likely to displace an owl than when an ornithologist remains inside a vehicle (Manning and Kaler 2011). The vehicle essentially acts as a blind and will allow ornithologists to maneuver in close proximity to occupied burrows before owls retreat to their burrow or flush. This will allow for accurate estimation of occupied burrows and owl numbers. This will be especially important for survey rounds 3 and 4 because juveniles may also be present. Juveniles tend to retreat quickly to the burrow when they are young, but as they get older the entire family may flush. In both cases it will make it difficult to determine the number of juveniles using a specific burrow. Second, this method will minimize disturbance to nesting owls. Due to the linear nature of the canals/drains ornithologists on foot have to walk by occupied burrows which in most cases flushes the owls from burrows whereas ornithologists within a vehicle may not flush an owl at all. Third, this method will allow

ornithologists to get to occupied burrows faster so that more time can be spent discerning the number of territories in high density owl areas along each canal/drain.

Thanks for taking the time to consider this modified approach.

Mike

Manning, J. A. and Kaler, R. S. A. (2011), Effects of survey methods on burrowing owl behaviors. The Journal of Wildlife Management, 75: 525–530.

#### **Michael Anguiano**

Wildlife Biologist D +1 619.764.6812 Design + Planning michael.anguiano@aecom.com

#### AECOM

1420 Kettner Boulevard, Suite 500 San Diego, CA 92101 T +1 619.233.1454 F +1 619.233.0952 www.aecom.com

### Anguiano, Michael

From:	Magdalena Rodriguez <mcrodriguez@dfg.ca.gov></mcrodriguez@dfg.ca.gov>
Sent:	Friday, May 18, 2012 2:33 PM
То:	Anguiano, Michael
Cc:	Riley, Erin
Subject:	Re: Western Burrowing Owl - Proposed Solar Project in ImperialCounty

Michael,

I went over your proposal to adjust the survey method with some colleagues. What I'm willing to compromise on is allowing you to drive the canals for Round 4 only. The canals must be driven on both sides to ensure you see all owls. Please be aware that this is a one time exception for this project and does not constitute a variation for any future projects. In addition when you present your data can you please separate out each survey round and note what was found each time. This will help us evalute the driving survey with the walking surveys.

To answer your other question. That's fine to survey that area twice. Please just note that in your results. And if you still don't have accessjust contine to survey as best you can from a safe distance and note the variance in the results.

Thanks, Magdalena -----Original Message-----From: "Anguiano, Michael" <<u>Michael.Anguiano@aecom.com</u>> Cc: Riley, Erin <<u>Erin.Riley@aecom.com</u>> To: Rodriguez, Magdalena <<u>MCRodriguez@dfg.ca.gov</u>>

Sent: 5/18/2012 11:19:22 AM

Subject: RE: Western Burrowing Owl - Proposed Solar Project in ImperialCounty

Hi Magdalena,

Were you able to get a few more opinions on the deviation that we requested? If you could let us know by early next week, it would help us for planning Round 3 surveys which are scheduled to start on May 29th.

I also wanted to discuss a small issue that occurred during Round 2 surveys. During Round 2, we were unable to access all roads with irrigation drains/canals for one small parcel that is currently being used for active agriculture and bordered by active agriculture. This parcel was surveyed during Round 1 and no suitable burrows were found. During Round 2 this parcel was surveyed to the extent feasible from adjacent public access roads that ran parallel to irrigation drains/canals; however, two, approximately 700 meter long, dirt roads with irrigation drains/canals could not be walked and were only scoped with binoculars.

Assuming we gain access to this parcel for Round 3 surveys, we would like to propose that we survey this parcel twice during Round 3 surveys in order to ensure that this parcel receives four full coverage surveys. We would accomplish this by surveying the parcel once at the beginning of Round 3 surveys (i.e., Tuesday) and a second time at the end of Round 3 surveys (i.e., Saturday). Please let us know if this will suffice for this one parcel.

Let me know if you have questions about anything.

Thanks, Mike Michael Anguiano D +1 619.764.6812 michael.anguiano@aecom.com<mailto:michael.anguiano@aecom.com>

From: Magdalena Rodriguez [mailto:MCRodriguez@dfg.ca.gov]

Sent: Monday, May 14, 2012 4:53 PM To: Anguiano, Michael Subject: RE: Western Burrowing Owl - Proposed Solar Project in ImperialCounty

#### Michael,

I'm trying to consult with some other colleagues to get a few more opinions on the deviation that you requested. I will get back to you by Wednesday.

Magdalena

Appendix D – Site Photographs

### Appendix D Wistaria Site Photographs



View of the existing Mount Signal Solar transmission line corridor looking east from Brockman Road. The photo shows the existing disturbed ground and the existing transmission interconnection structures and the solar facilities under construction.



View of the existing Mount Signal Solar transmission line corridor looking west from Brockman Road. The photo shows the existing disturbed ground and the existing transmission interconnection structures and the solar facilities under construction.



View to south from UTM 628466 3620237. Dirt road with an earthen berm on one side.



View of Brockman to south at UTM 627525 3619680. The area consists of an elevated dirt road, a concrete-lined channel and an elevated dirt berm on the opposite side.



View to south from UTM 628730 3619847. Habitat here consists of dirt road and a concretelined channel with slightly elevated earthen sides.



View from Preston Road to west at UTM 628878 3619869. Habitat consisted of a dirt road adjacent to a raised dirt road, concrete-lined channel, raised earthen road, and a dirt channel with an earthen berm on the other side.



UTM 630015 3620682. Lyons at George. Looking to west. Habitat consists of an earthen berm, dirt road, wide concrete-lined channel, second raised earthen road, and second concrete-lined channel.



Example of IID maintenance activities. UTM 629162 3619615. Looking to south.